arXiv:1701.07942v1 [math.DG] 27 Jan 2017

SEIBERG-WITTEN MONOPOLES WITH MULTIPLE SPINORS ON A SURFACE TIMES A CIRCLE

ALEKSANDER DOAN

Abstract. The Seiberg-Witten equations with multiple spinors on a compact 3-manifold Y generalise the classical Seiberg-Witten equations. In contrast to the classical case, the moduli space of solutions $\mathcal{M}$ can be non-compact due to the appearance of so-called Fueter sections. In the absence of Fueter sections we show how to count points in $\mathcal{M}$ with signs, mimicking the definition of the Seiberg-Witten invariant. We then specialise to the case when $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$, where Σ is a Riemann surface. In this setting $\mathcal{M}$ is described in terms of holomorphic data over Σ. We define natural analytic and algebro-geometric compactifications of $\mathcal{M}$, and construct a homeomorphism between them. Next we prove that for a generic choice of circle-invariant parameters of the equations, Fueter sections do not appear and $\mathcal{M}$ is a compact Kähler manifold. After a small perturbation it splits into isolated points which can be counted with signs, yielding a number independent of the initial choice of the parameters. We compute this number for surfaces of low genus.

1. Introduction

This article addresses the questions of transversality and, in special cases, compactness for the moduli spaces of *Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles*. These are solutions to the *Seiberg-Witten equations with multiple spinors* introduced in [BW] and [HW]. We begin by considering the equations on an arbitrary closed, oriented, Riemannian 3-manifold Y, but the majority of the paper concerns the case of $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$, where Σ is a compact Riemann surface.

Our motivation comes from higher-dimensional gauge theory. We only briefly outline this relationship as it will not be essential for understanding the results presented here. In [DS] Donaldson and Segal initiated the programme of defining a Casson-type invariant of Riemannian 7-manifolds with G_2-holonomy. The presumptive invariant counts, in the sense of Fredholm differential topology, G_2-*instantons*: a special class of Yang-Mills connections solving a 7-dimensional analogue of the anti-self-duality equations. Suppose now that Y embeds in a G_2-manifold M as an *associative submanifold*. It can happen that there is a sequence of G_2-instantons that concentrates along Y, developing a bubble singularity in a way familiar from the four-dimensional theory [DK]. This phenomenon causes the moduli space of G_2-instantons to be non-compact. As a result, the count of G_2-instantons can jump when we vary the G_2-structure on M and so it fails to be a deformation invariant. Haydys and Walpuski [Hay4] have proposed to compensate for such jumps by counting Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles on Y. As we shall explain in the next subsection, the count of multi-monopoles itself is not a topological invariant of Y. It can jump when we vary the Riemannian metric on Y and other parameters of the equations. The main point is that such jumps should occur exactly when a G_2-instanton is created or destroyed along Y. It is therefore conjectured that a combination of the two numbers: the count of G_2-instantons and the count of multi-monopoles summed over

Date: 30th January 2017.

all associative submanifolds, has the correct deformation behaviour. For more information on the Haydys-Walpuski proposal we refer to [Hay1], [Wal], [HW], and the forthcoming doctoral thesis of the author.

The case $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ discussed in this article is particularly relevant when $M = S^1 \times N$ and N is a Calabi-Yau three-fold containing Σ as a holomorphic curve. The circle-invariant G_2-instantons over $S^1 \times N$ correspond to Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections on N. Thus, one expects a relation between multi-monopoles on $S^1 \times \Sigma$ and Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections on N whose energy is concentrated around Σ. From this perspective our work can be seen as the first step towards a gauge-theoretic interpretation of local Donaldson-Thomas invariants in algebraic geometry [OP], [Dia].

1.1. **Counting multi-monopoles.** In order to write down the Seiberg-Witten equations with multiple spinors fix vector bundles $E \to Y$ and $L \to Y$ with the structure groups $SU(n)$ and $U(1)$ respectively. Endow E with an $SU(n)$-connection B. Choose also a spin structure on Y with the corresponding $SU(2)$ spinor bundle $S \to Y$.

Let A be a connection on L, whose curvature we denote by F_A, and Ψ a section of $\mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)$. Let $\not{D}_{AB}$ be the Dirac operator on the bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)$ twisted by the connections A and B. We seek pairs (A, Ψ) solving

$$\begin{cases} \not{D}_{AB}\Psi = 0, \\ F_A = \Psi\Psi^* - \frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^2. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

For $n = 1$ these are the standard three-dimensional Seiberg-Witten equations. As in the classical setting, we study the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of gauge-equivalence classes of solutions to (1.1). In order to achieve transversality, the second equation is perturbed by adding a closed form $\eta \in \Omega^2(Y, i\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(g, B, \eta)$ depends on the metric g and the parameters B, η. This dependance does not play an important role in the classical setting $n = 1$, in which $\mathcal{M}$ is generically an oriented, compact, zero-dimensional manifold. Assuming that $b_1(Y) > 1$, the moduli spaces for two different choices of g and η (B is necessarily trivial) are connected through a compact, oriented, one-dimensional cobordism. As a consequence, the signed count of points in $\mathcal{M}$ is a topological invariant of Y [MT], [Lim], [Che].

The main new feature of the generalised equations for $n \geq 2$ is that $\mathcal{M}$ is not necessarily compact. Haydys and Walpuski [HW] analysed the limiting behaviour of sequences of solutions (A_i, Ψ_i) whose L^2-norms $\|\Psi_i\|_{L^2}$ diverge to infinity. In subsection 2.6 we review their work. Roughly speaking, they showed that there is a closed subset $Z \subset Y$ of Hausdorff dimension at most one and with the following property. After passing to a subsequence and rescaling, the solutions converge modulo gauge over $Y \setminus Z$. The limiting pair (A, Ψ) is defined over $Y \setminus Z$ and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \not{D}_{AB}\Psi = 0, \\ \Psi\Psi^* - \frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^2 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, A is flat with holonomy contained in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ (if we assume for simplicity that $n = 2$) and the pointwise norm $|\Psi|$ extends to a continuous function over all of Y such that $|\Psi|^{-1}(0) = Z$. A triple (A, Ψ, Z) will be referred to as a (g, B)-*Fueter section*, and Z as the *singular set*. We stress that equations (1.2) depend, via the Dirac operator, on g and B, but not on η.

Our first result, stated later as Theorem 2.37, shows that the non-compactness is the only obstacle to counting multi-monopoles. In section 2 we set up Fredholm theory for equations (1.1), which allows us to endow $\mathcal{M}$ with a real analytic structure. We then prove that generically $\mathcal{M}$ consists of isolated points. They are equipped with natural orientations given

by the spectral flow. The main novelty is that in order to achieve transversality one needs to perturb B as well as η. In the absence of reducibles and Fueter sections, the points of $\mathcal{M}$ can be counted with signs, yielding a number that does not depend on η and is invariant under small perturbations of g and B.

In what follows the spaces of sections, connections etc. are endowed with the C^∞-topology and *generic* means *from a countable intersection of open dense subsets*.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $b_1(Y) > 1$ and (g, B) are such that there exist no (g, B)-Fueter sections. Then for any triple (g', B', η) such that (g', B') is sufficiently close to (g, B) in the C^∞ topology and (B', η) is generic, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(g', B', \eta)$ is a compact, zero-dimensional manifold equipped with a natural orientation. The signed count of points in $\mathcal{M}(g', B', \eta)$ does not depend on the choice of (g', B', η) as above.*

The question which pairs (g, B) satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 remains largely open. Conjecturally, Fueter sections appear in codimension one in the space of pairs (g, B) [DS], [HW], [Tak]. If this is true, there is an open dense set $\mathcal{U}$ consisting of those (g, B) for which there exist no Fueter sections. For every such pair Theorem 1.1 allows us to define an integer $SW(g, B)$ equal to the signed count of points in $\mathcal{M}(g, B', \eta)$, where B' is a small generic perturbation of B and η is a generic closed two-form. The function $SW \colon \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is locally constant. However, there is no reason to expect that $\mathcal{U}$ is connected, so that the value of SW depends on the choice of a connected component. In other words, $SW(g, B)$ may jump as we vary (g, B) in a one-parameter family passing through a codimension one wall on which Fueter sections appear. It is exactly this jumping phenomenon that indicates a relation between the counting problems for multi-monopoles and G_2-instantons.

1.2. **Equations on Riemann surfaces.** In sections 3 and 4 we focus on the case when $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$, equipped with a product metric g. The parameters B, η are pulled back from Σ. We study the structure of the moduli space and address the question of the existence of Fueter sections. In particular, we provide the first examples of data (Y, g, B, η) for which the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied and $\mathcal{M}(g, B, \eta)$ is non-empty and compact. In the opposite direction, we construct the first examples of sequences of multi-monopoles that converge to Fueter sections as predicted by the compactness theorem of Haydys and Walpuski.

When $n = 1$, the classical Seiberg-Witten equations over $S^1 \times \Sigma$ reduce to the vortex equations over Σ and the moduli space is homeomorphic to the symmetric product of Σ [MST], [MOY], [MW]. Suppose from now on that $n = 2$. Most of the results will hold for any $n \geq 2$, but we focus here on the simplest case. In section 3 we prove that all irreducible solutions to (1.1) are pulled back from Σ and correspond to triples of the form $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta)$ where $\mathcal{L} \to \Sigma$ is a holomorphic line bundle and α and β are holomorphic sections of fixed rank two holomorphic vector bundles over Σ twisted by $\mathcal{L}$. This is a version of the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence. We construct the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ of isomorphism classes of such triples and its natural compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$, which is a compact complex analytic space containing $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ as a Zariski open dense subset. Moreover, guided by the compactness theorem of [HW] and its enhanced version for $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ [Doa] we define also a gauge-theoretic compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. It is a Hausdorff topological space containing $\mathcal{M}$ as an open subset. The points at infinity correspond to Fueter sections (A, Ψ, Z) satisfying two additional conditions. First, we require a relation between the curvature F_A and the singular set Z as currents. Second, $|\Psi|$ is assumed to vanish along Z to a sufficiently large order. The topology

of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is given by the C^∞_{loc} convergence outside Z together with the convergence of curvature forms in the sense of currents. We then prove, as Theorem 3.36, the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *There is an isomorphism of real analytic spaces $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ which extends to a homeomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$.*

The proof makes use of the earlier work of Bryan and Wentworth [BW] and methods developed in [Doa]. It is worth mentioning two consequences of this result.

Corollary 1.3. *There exist Fueter sections that are not the limits of sequences of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles in $\mathcal{M}$.*

This stems from the fact $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$ comprises only of those Fueter sections that satisfy the additional constraints mentioned above. See Example 3.50 for details.

Corollary 1.4. *The space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a compact real analytic space containing $\mathcal{M}$ as a Zariski open dense subset.*

It is desirable to define $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ and prove the statement of Corollary 1.4 without the assumption that $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$. Even though $\mathcal{M}$ is expected to be compact for a generic choice of (g, B, η), one needs a good notion of a compactification in order to study one-parameter families of moduli spaces and the wall-crossing phenomenon explained earlier. Extending Corollary 1.4 to the general case requires a better understanding of the singular sets of Fueter sections; see Remark 3.38 for more details. We hope that the results for $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ offer some guidance in the study of compactness for arbitrary 3-manifolds.

The holomorphic description of Fueter sections allows us to prove that a generic circle-invariant pair (g, B) satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that the genus g_Σ of Σ is at least one and that $L \to Y$ is pulled-back from a degree d line bundle over Σ. These assumptions are not restrictive as we will see that otherwise $\mathcal{M}$ is generically empty. For $\eta \in \Omega^2(\Sigma, i\mathbb{R})$ denote $t_\eta = \int_\Sigma i\eta - 2\pi d$. The next result is a consequence of Theorems 3.44 and 4.3.

Theorem 1.5. *Fix a product metric g on $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ and a two-form $\eta \in \Omega^2(\Sigma, i\mathbb{R})$ with $t_\eta \neq 0$. For a generic connection B pulled back from Σ there exist no (g, B)-Fueter sections and $\mathcal{M}(g, B, \eta)$ is a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}(g, B, \eta) = g_\Sigma - 1 + \operatorname{sign}(t_\eta) 2d.$$

The signed count of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles is

$$SW(g, B) = (-1)^{g_\Sigma - 1} \chi(\mathcal{M}(g, B, \eta)),$$

where χ denotes the Euler characteristic. Moreover, this number does not depend on the choice of (g, B, η) satisfying the above conditions.

The Kähler structure on the moduli space was discussed by Dey and Thakre [DT] in the context of the generalised Seiberg-Witten equations. We should stress that in the above B is generic in the space of connections pulled back from Σ, not in the space of all connections on $E \to Y$. In fact, Theorem 1.1 implies that B is not generic in the latter space because $\mathcal{M}$ is of incorrect dimension. We deal here with the situation in which the moduli space is *Zariski smooth* and *obstructed* in the sense explained in subsection 2.3. The invariant SW is then equal to the integral of the Euler class of the obstruction bundle over $\mathcal{M}$. Such a framework is familiar from the study of the Donaldson and Seiberg-Witten invariants of complex surfaces [DK, Chapter 10], [FM1, Chapter IV], and [FM2].

The main idea behind the proof of Theorem 1.5 is to interpret the existence of Fueter sections as a Fredholm problem. One difficulty in doing so stems from the possible non-smoothness of the singular sets of Fueter sections. However, an argument using integration by parts and estimates derived in [HW] shows that in fact such a set is a finite collection of circles of the form $S^1 \times \{\text{point}\}$. Next, we show that the index of the Fredholm problem in question is negative. Since we work with complex Fredholm operators, Fueter sections appear in real codimension at least two. Therefore, they can be avoided in a generic one-dimensional family of circle-invariant parameters. As a result, the count of multi-monopoles is well-defined and independent of the choice of (g, B), as long as we stay close to the circle-invariant setting.

The isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ gives an interesting interpretation of the above discussion. Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ can be defined purely in terms of the complex geometry of Σ. As a complex manifold, it depends on the complex structure of Σ, the holomorphic structure on $E \to \Sigma$ induced from the connection B, and the degree $d = \deg L$. We will see in section 4 that the biholomorphism type of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ does change when we vary this data.

Corollary 1.6. *The diffeomorphism type of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is independent on the choice of the complex structure on Σ and the holomorphic structure on $E \to \Sigma$, as long as the latter is induced by a generic connection on $E \to \Sigma$. Furthermore, the Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathcal{M}_{hol})$ does not change when we replace d by $-d$.*

See Corollary 4.4 for details. The duality between d and $-d$ follows from Corollary 4.5 which states that switching the sign of t_η has the same effect on the number SW as replacing d by $-d$. On the other hand, SW does not depend on the choice of η. In the case of the classical Seiberg-Witten equations, this duality is expressed by the identity

$$\chi(Sym^{d+g-1}\Sigma) = \chi(Sym^{-d+g-1}\Sigma).$$

Finally, we apply the previous theorems to describe $\mathcal{M}$ and compute SW in some cases. The proof relies on algebro-geometric methods and some classical results on stable vector bundles on Riemann surfaces [Ati], [NR].

Theorem 1.7. *Let g be a product metric on $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$, B a generic connection pulled-back from Σ, and $\eta \in \Omega^2(\Sigma, i\mathbb{R})$ a two-form satisfying $t_\eta > 0$.*

Set $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(g, B, \eta)$ and $SW = SW(g, B)$.

1. *If $d < (1 - g_\Sigma)/2$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is empty and $SW = 0$.*
2. *If $d \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{M}$ admits a holomorphic map to the Jacobian torus of Σ. Its fibres are projective spaces. If $d > 0$, this map is surjective. If $d = 0$, its image is a divisor in the linear system $|2\Theta|$ where Θ is the theta divisor.*
3. *If $d \geq g_\Sigma - 1$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is biholomorphic to the projectivisation of a rank $2d$ holomorphic vector bundle over the Jacobian of Σ and $SW = 0$.*
4. *If $d = 0$ and $g_\Sigma = 1$, then $\mathcal{M}$ consists of two points and $SW = 2$.*
5. *If $d = 0$ and $g_\Sigma = 2$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is biholomorphic to a closed Riemann surface of genus five and $SW = 8$.*

The above theorem combines several results discussed in section 4. In fact, we give a complete description of the moduli spaces when g_Σ is zero, one, or two, including non-generic cases for which Fueter sections appear and the moduli space is non-compact. For instance, $\mathcal{M}$ can be homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ or the total space of a vector bundle, with $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ given by the projectivisation. By Theorem 1.2, such moduli spaces provide examples for the compactness theorem of Haydys and Walpuski.

1.3. **Circle-invariance of solutions.** An important step in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is showing that all irreducible solutions to (1.1) are, up to gauge, pulled-back from configurations on Σ. In fact, we prove a more general statement. We shall explain in subsection 2.2 that equations (1.1) fit into the framework of the *generalised Seiberg-Witten equations* [Tau1], [Pid], [Hay1], [Hay2], [Nak, section 6]. These are gauge-theoretic equations over a Riemannian spin 3-manifold associated to, in the simplest setting, a quaternionic unitary representation $G \to Sp(M)$ of a compact Lie group G. Here M is a quaternionic vector space. We consider also a variant of the equations with a so-called *flavour symmetry* given by another compact Lie group H acting on M. If we set

$$M = \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{H}, \quad G = U(1), \quad H = SU(n),$$

with the standard representations, the generalised Seiberg-Witten equations recover (1.1). The circle-invariance result below will be stated in a more precise way as Theorem 3.9.

Theorem 1.8. *Every irreducible solution to the generalised Seiberg-Witten equations on $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ with a product metric and a circle-invariant perturbation is gauge equivalent to a solution pulled-back from Σ.*

This result can be interepreted in terms of the *symplectic vortex equations* [CGMiRS]. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. Let (i, j, k) be the three standard complex structures on M. There is a hyperkähler moment map $\mu\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ associated to the action of G. It splits into three components $\mu_i, \mu_j, \mu_k\colon M \to \mathfrak{g}$, which are symplectic moment maps of the G-action with respect to the symplectic forms on M induced by i, j, and k respectively. We combine μ_j and μ_k into the complex moment map $\mu_{\mathbb{C}} = \mu_j + i\mu_k$. It is holomorphic with respect to i, so the zero set $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0) \subset M$ is a Kähler subvariety of (M, i) invariant under the action of G. The action of G on $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0)$ is in fact Hamiltonian with the moment map $\mu_i\big|_{\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0)}$.

The circle-invariance theorem can be now stated as follows: there is a one-to-one correspondence between gauge equivalence classes of solutions to the Seiberg-Witten equations over $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ with the hyperkähler target M and gauge equivalence classes of solutions to the symplectic vortex equations on Σ with the Kähler target $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0)$. The correspondence is given by pulling back the solutions over Σ via the projection $S^1 \times \Sigma \to \Sigma$.

Acknowledgements. The work presented here is a part of my doctoral thesis at Stony Brook University. I am most grateful to my advisor Simon Donaldson for his guidance, support, and encouragement. Discussions with Thomas Walpuski and Andriy Haydys have contributed greatly to this paper. I thank also Aliakbar Daemi, Cristian Minoccheri, Vicente Muñoz, Benjamin Sibley, David Stapleton, Ryosuke Takahashi, Alex Waldron, and Malik Younsi for answering my questions and sharing their insights with me.

Notation. For a manifold X let $\Lambda^k X = \Lambda^k T^* X$ be the bundle of k-forms on X. For a vector bundle $E \to X$ we consider the spaces $\Gamma(X, E)$ of smooth sections and $\Omega^k(X, E) = \Gamma(X, \Lambda^k X \otimes E)$ of E-valued k-forms. If it is clear from the context what X is, we write simply $\Gamma(E)$ and $\Omega^k(E)$. We will not distinguish between principal $U(n)$ or $SU(n)$ bundles and the vector bundles associated to them via the standard representation on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Likewise, by a connection on such a vector bundle we always mean a connection on the corresponding principal bundle. Finally, for a finite-dimensional real vector space V we denote its top exterior power by $\det V$.

2. Seiberg-Witten monopoles with multiple spinors

In this section we introduce the Seiberg-Witten equations with multiple spinors and the moduli spaces of solutions. After discussing the suitable Sobolev setup, Fredholm theory, orientations, and the issues of compactness, we prove the main results in subsection 2.8. Our discussion follows closely that for the classical Seiberg-Witten equation in [Lim] and [Che]. See also [Mor] and [KM] for a comprehensive treatment of the subject.

2.1. **The equations.** Let (Y, g) be a compact, connected, oriented Riemannian 3-manifold. Equip Y with a spin structure. The corresponding spinor bundle $S \to Y$ is a rank two complex vector bundle with structure group $SU(2)$. One-forms on Y act on S via the Clifford multiplication $\mathrm{Cl}\colon \Lambda^1 Y \to \mathrm{End}(S)$. In a local orthonormal frame (e^1, e^2, e^3) of $\Lambda^1 Y$ and the induced trivialisation of S, the action of e^1, e^2, and e^3 is given by the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Alternatively, under the identification of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ (with the complex structure given by the right multiplication by i), the Pauli matrices correspond to the left multiplication by i, j, and k. The Clifford map extends to two-forms by the formula

$$\mathrm{Cl}(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathrm{Cl}(\alpha)\mathrm{Cl}(\beta) - \mathrm{Cl}(\beta)\mathrm{Cl}(\alpha)\right\},$$

which in a local trivialisation gives

$$\mathrm{Cl}(e^1 \wedge e^2) = -\sigma_3, \quad \mathrm{Cl}(e^2 \wedge e^3) = -\sigma_1, \quad \mathrm{Cl}(e^3 \wedge e^1) = -\sigma_2.$$

More generally, $\mathrm{Cl}(*\alpha) = -\mathrm{Cl}(\alpha)$ for every one-form α. After extending Cl further to a complex-linear map from $\Lambda^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ to $\mathrm{End}(S)$, we easily see that it induces an isometry

$$\mathrm{Cl}\colon \Lambda^2 Y \otimes i\mathbb{R} \to i\mathfrak{su}(S),$$

where $i\mathfrak{su}(S)$ is the bundle of traceless self-adjoint endomorphisms of S.

The Clifford multiplication gives rise to the Dirac operator acting on the sections of the spinor bundle. We also consider its twisted version. Fix an $SU(n)$ bundle $E \to Y$ and a $U(1)$ bundle $L \to Y$. A choice of connections A on L, and B on E, together with the Levi-Civita connection on Y, induces a Hermitian connection ∇_{AB} on the bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)$. It maps a section Ψ of $\mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)$ to a section $\nabla_{AB}\Psi$ of $\Lambda^1 Y \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)$. Composing ∇_{AB} with the Clifford multiplication $\Lambda^1 Y \otimes S \to S$, we obtain the twisted Dirac operator

$$\not{D}_{AB}\colon \Gamma(Y, \mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)) \to \Gamma(Y, \mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)),$$

$$\not{D}_{AB}\Psi = \mathrm{Cl}(\nabla_{AB}\Psi).$$

The Seiberg-Witten equations with multiple spinors are first-order non-linear differential equations for a pair (A, Ψ) of a unitary connection A on L and a section Ψ of $\mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)$. The Riemannian metric, spin structure, bundles, and the background connection B on E are fixed. As an additional parameter, we choose a closed imaginary-valued two-form $\eta \in \Omega^2(Y, i\mathbb{R})$. The equations read

$$\begin{cases} \not{D}_{AB}\Psi = 0, \\ F_A = \mu(\Psi) + \eta. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Here F_A is the curvature of A, which we identify with a traceless skew-adjoint endomorphism of S via the Clifford isomorphism $\mathrm{Cl}\colon \Lambda^2 Y \otimes i\mathbb{R} \to i\mathfrak{su}(S)$ (the left-hand side should really be

$\mathrm{Cl}(F_A)$, but we want to keep the notation simple). The term $\mu(\Psi)$ on the right-hand side is the image of Ψ under the quadratic map

$$\mu\colon \mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L) \to i\mathfrak{su}(S),$$
$$\mu(\Psi) = \Psi\Psi^* - \frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^2 \ \mathrm{id},$$

where Ψ^* is the Hermitian adjoint of Ψ. The analogy with the standard three-dimensional Seiberg-Witten equations is clear. If we trivialise E and represent Ψ by an n-tuple $(\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_n)$ of sections Ψ_i of $S \otimes L$, then $\mu(\Psi)$ is just the sum of the classical quadratic form applied to the components Ψ_i:

$$\mu(\Psi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\Psi_i \Psi_i^* - \frac{1}{2}|\Psi_i^2| \ \mathrm{id} \right).$$

In particular, if E is trivial of rank one and B is the product connection, we recover the standard Seiberg-Witten equations. Equations (2.1) were studied in [BW] and [HW]. In the introduction we explain their origin and possible applications.

Definition 2.1. We will call solutions to (2.1) *Seiberg-Witten monopoles with multiple spinors* or, following [BW], *Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles*[1].

The equations are invariant with respect to the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{G} = C^\infty(Y, S^1)$ of unitary automorphisms of L. An element of $u \in \mathcal{G}$ acts on a pair (A, Ψ) by

$$u(A, \Psi) = (A - u^{-1}du, u\Psi).$$

Definition 2.2. A pair (A, Ψ) is called *irreducible* if its $\mathcal{G}$-stabiliser is trivial, and *reducible* otherwise. We see that (A, Ψ) is reducible if and only if $\Psi = 0$ and in this case its stabiliser is the circle of constant maps $Y \to S^1$.

Equations (2.1) are not elliptic, even modulo the action of the gauge group. This is familiar from the standard three-dimensional Seiberg-Witten theory and is easily dealt with by introducing an additional unknown $f\colon Y \to i\mathbb{R}$. The modified equations read

$$\begin{cases} \not{D}_{AB}\Psi + f\Psi = 0, \\ F_A - *df - \mu(\Psi) - \eta = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

As before, the metric, connection B, and form η are fixed, considered as parameters of the equations, and we look for triples (A, Ψ, f) solving (2.2). The action of $\mathcal{G}$ extends by the trivial action on f and preserves the space of solutions. It turns out that introducing the additional term, while making the equations elliptic modulo gauge, does not produce new solutions. In order to prove this, we need the following simple calculation. For spinors Ψ and Φ in $\mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)$, let

$$\mu(\Psi, \Phi) = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\Psi\Phi^* + \Phi\Psi^* - \mathrm{Re}\langle\Psi, \Phi\rangle \ \mathrm{id}\right\}$$

be the polarisation of the quadratic form μ, so that $\mu(\Psi) = \mu(\Psi, \Psi)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Considering $\mu(\Psi, \Phi)$ as an imaginary-valued two-form, we have*

$$*d\left(\mu(\Psi, \Phi)\right) = i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle \not{D}_{AB}\Psi, \Phi\rangle - i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle \Psi, \not{D}_{AB}\Phi\rangle.$$

[1]The term *multi-monopoles* proposed in [BW] seems to have a well-established meaning in the gauge theory literature, referring to solutions of the Bogomolny equations.

Proof. An alternative way to define $\mu(\Psi, \Phi)$ is by the formula

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle \omega \cdot \Psi, \Phi \rangle = \langle \omega, \mu(\Psi, \Phi) \rangle$$

satisfied for all imaginary-valued two-forms ω. The dot stands for the Clifford multiplication and we use the angle bracket to denote two different pairings: on the left-hand side it is the Hermitian metric on $\mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)$, whereas on the right-hand side it is the real inner product on the space of two-forms. Setting $\omega = *\alpha$ for an imaginary-valued one-forms α, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle \alpha \cdot \Psi, \Phi \rangle = -\langle \alpha, *\mu(\Psi, \Phi) \rangle$$

Choose a local orthonormal frame e^1, e^2, e^3 of the cotangent bundle. Let $\nabla_1, \nabla_2, \nabla_3$ denote the corresponding partial covariant derivatives of the connection ∇_{AB}. We set $\alpha = ie^k$ and apply ∇_k to both sides of

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle ie^k \cdot \Psi, \Phi \rangle = -\langle ie^k, *\mu(\Psi, \Phi) \rangle,$$

which results in

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Re}\left\{ \langle i\nabla_k e^k \cdot \Psi, \Phi \rangle + \langle ie^k \cdot \nabla_k \Psi, \Phi \rangle + \langle ie^k \cdot \Psi, \nabla_k \Phi \rangle \right\} \\ = -\langle i\nabla_k e^k, *\mu(\Psi, \Phi) \rangle - \langle ie^k, \nabla_k * \mu(\Psi, \Phi) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over $k = 1, 2, 3$ and cancelling terms occurring on both sides yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Re}\left\{ \langle i\not{D}_{AB}\Psi, \Phi \rangle + \langle \Psi, i\not{D}_{AB}\Phi \rangle \right\} &= -\sum_{k=1}^{3} \langle ie^k, \nabla_k * \mu(\Psi, \Phi) \rangle \\ &= -i\ d^*(*\mu(\Psi, \Phi)) = i * d\left(\mu(\Psi, \Phi)\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.4. We do not need to assume that $d\eta = 0$ in order to write down equations (2.2), but Lemma 2.3 and the Bianchi identity imply that if $d\eta \neq 0$, then there are no solutions.

Now we are ready to show that the term f in (2.2) is neccessarily constant.

Lemma 2.5. *Let (A, Ψ, f) be a solution to the modified equations (2.2).*

(1) If $\Psi = 0$, then f is constant.
(2) If Ψ is not identically zero, then $f = 0$.

In both cases (A, Ψ) is a solution to the original equations (2.1).

Proof. Applying $*d$ to both sides of the equation $F_A - \mu(\Psi) + \eta = 0$ results in

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= *d\mu(\Psi) + *d * df \\ &= i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle \not{D}_{AB}\Psi, \Psi \rangle - i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle \Psi, \not{D}_{AB}\Psi \rangle - \Delta f \\ &= -i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle f\Psi, \Psi \rangle + i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle \Psi, f\Psi \rangle - \Delta f \\ &= -\left(2|\Psi|^2 + \Delta\right) f, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\not{D}_{AB}\Psi = -f\Psi$ and f is purely imaginary. Since the operator $\Delta + |\Psi|^2$ is invertible unless Ψ is everywhere zero, we conclude that either $f = 0$ or $\Psi = 0$ and f is constant. □

2.2. **Generalised Seiberg-Witten equations.** Before we proceed with the study of equations (2.1), it is worthwhile to explain how they fit into the framework of the *generalised Seiberg-Witten equations* introduced in [Tau1] and [Pid], see also [Hay1], [Hay2], and [Nak, section 6]. Although this is a digression from the main subject of this paper, the language developed in this subsection will be useful in section 3, where we consider a dimensional reduction of the equations.

Let M be a finite dimensional quaternionic vector space equipped with a Euclidean inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ compatible with the complex structures i, j, and k. Let $Sp(M)$ denote the group of quaternionic automorphisms of M preserving $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Suppose that we are given two compact connected Lie groups G and H – called the *gauge group* and *flavour symmetry* respectively – together with a representation $G \times H \to Sp(M)$. Since elements of $Sp(M)$ commute with multiplication by quaternions, the action of $G \times H$ commutes with the action of the group $Sp(1) = SU(2)$ of unit quaternions.

Denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G. Let $\mu \colon M \to \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ be the *hyperkähler moment map* for the action of G. One way to define it is to identify $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the space of imaginary quaternions $\mathfrak{su}(2) = \operatorname{span}\{i, j, k\}$ and declare that for all $x \in M$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ we have

$$\langle a, \mu(x) \rangle = \langle ax, x \rangle.$$

This defines uniquely $\mu(x) \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$. If we split μ into three components

$$\mu = i\mu_i + j\mu_j + k\mu_k \in \mathfrak{su}(2) \otimes \mathfrak{g},$$

then $\mu_i \colon M \to \mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$\langle \mu_i(x), \xi \rangle = \langle i\xi x, x \rangle \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$$

and similarly for μ_j and μ_k.

Let Y be a Riemannian 3-manifold, as in the previous subsection. We want to replace the algebraic data introduced above by geometric data over Y. In order to do that, choose a spin structure $S \to Y$ and principal bundles $P \to Y$ and $Q \to Y$ with structure groups G and H respectively. Consider the associated vector bundle with fibre M:

$$\mathbb{M} = (P \times_Y Q \times_Y S) \times_{G \times H \times SU(2)} M.$$

It has a structure of a left module over the Clifford bundle $Cl(T^*Y)$, which as a vector bundle is isomorphic to $\Lambda^* Y$. Furthermore the moment map descends to a fibre-preserving map $\mu \colon \mathbb{M} \to \Lambda^2 Y \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P$, where $\mathfrak{g}_P$ is the vector bundle associated to P via the adjoint representation.

A choice of connections A on P and B on Q, together with the Levi-Civita connection on S, induces a connection ∇_{AB} on $\mathbb{M}$. The operator ∇_{AB} is a Clifford module derivation, meaning that for all $v \in \Omega^*(Y)$ and $\Psi \in \Gamma(Y, \mathbb{M})$ we have

$$\nabla_{AB}(v \cdot \Psi) = dv \cdot \Psi + v \cdot \nabla_{AB} \Psi.$$

In other words, the pair $(\mathbb{M}, \nabla_{AB})$ is a *Dirac bundle* in the sense of [LM, Definition 5.2]. Combining ∇_{AB} with the Clifford multiplication, we define the associated Dirac operator $\not{D}_{AB}$ acting on the sections of $\mathbb{M}$.

Suppose that the connection B on Q is fixed. We consider the (G, M)-*Seiberg-Witten equations* for a pair (A, Ψ) of a connection A on P and a section $\Psi \in \Gamma(Y, \mathbb{M})$:

$$\begin{cases} \not{D}_{AB} \Psi = 0, \\ F_A = \mu(\Psi). \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

The gauge group of automorphisms of P acts on the space of pairs (A, Ψ) preserving the set of solutions to (2.3). Modulo this action, the equations are determined and can be easily modified to be elliptic as in (2.2). Thus, we expect that after a suitable perturbation the moduli space of solutions to (2.3) is a zero-dimensional manifold. If the issues of compactness and reducibles can be resolved, one should be able to count points in the moduli space as in the classical Seiberg-Witten theory.

The Seiberg-Witten equations with multiple spinors (2.1) correspond to the choice

$$M = \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, \qquad H = SU(n), \qquad G = U(1)$$

with the standard actions. Other gauge-theoretic problems that can be formulated in this setup (and its four-dimensional counterpart) include: flat $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connections, Kapustin-Witten equations, instantons, $PU(2)$-monopoles, Vafa-Witten equations, and Haydys-Witten equations. Finally, we remark that this framework can be extended to the case when M is a hyperkähler manifold rather than a vector space [Hay2].

2.3. **The moduli space of solutions.** We come back to equations (2.1). Our goal is to study the space of solutions divided by the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$. This space depends on the parameters chosen: the Riemannian metric g on Y, closed two-form η, and background connection B. For convenience, we will often denote the pair (B, η) by a single symbol $\sigma = (B, \eta)$. For the moment, assume all the parameters to be fixed.

The space of solution can be equipped with the C^∞ topology, but in order to study its properties it is convenient to pass to the usual setup of Sobolev spaces. In what follows k is an integer satisfying $k \geq 2$. For a Hermitian vector bundle $V \to Y$, we consider the Hilbert spaces

- $\mathcal{A}_k(V)$ of unitary connections on V of Sobolev class $W^{k,2}$,
- $\Gamma_k(V)$ of sections of V of Sobolev class $W^{k,2}$,
- $\Omega^p_k(V)$ of V-valued differential p-forms of Sobolev class $W^{k,2}$.

If the bundle V has structure group $SU(n)$, we will assume connections in $\mathcal{A}_k(V)$ to be compatible with that structure.

Definition 2.6. We introduce the *$W^{k,2}$-configuration space*

$$\mathcal{C}_k = \mathcal{A}_k(L) \times \Gamma_k(\mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)).$$

The *space of irreducible configurations* $\mathcal{C}^*_k$ is the open subset of $\mathcal{C}_k$ consisting of pairs (A, Ψ) with Ψ not identically zero.

Remark 2.7. Occasionally we will consider configurations which are only locally of class $W^{k,2}$. For an open subset $U \subset Y$ we denote by $\mathcal{C}_k(U)$ the space of $W^{k,2}_{loc}$-configurations over U. It consists of all measurable configurations (A, Ψ) on U such that for every $U' \subset U$ open and compactly contained in U the restriction $(A, \Psi)\big|_V$ belongs to the space

$$\mathcal{A}_k(L\big|_{U'}) \times \Gamma_k(U', \mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)).$$

Both $\mathcal{C}_k$ and $\mathcal{C}^*_k$ are Hilbert manifolds modelled on the Hilbert space

$$\Omega^1_k(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Gamma_k(\mathrm{Hom}(E, S \otimes L)).$$

We also consider the gauge group of gauge transformations of class $W^{k+1,2}$, denoted by $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$. It is a Hilbert Lie group modelled on $\Omega^0_{k+1}(i\mathbb{R})$. A basic fact is that the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ can be naturally extended to the Sobolev setting and that is well-behaved in the following sense.

Proposition 2.8. *The action of $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{A}(L)\times\Gamma(Y,\mathrm{Hom}(E,S\otimes L))$ extends to a smooth, proper action of $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$ on $\mathcal{C}_k$ with a metrisable, second countable quotient $\mathcal{B}_k = \mathcal{C}_k/\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$. Moreover, at any point of $\mathcal{C}_k$ there exists a local slice for the action. It follows that $\mathcal{B}_k^* = \mathcal{C}_k^*/\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$ has the structure of a Hilbert manifold.*

For the definition of local slices and a proof of the theorem see [Mor, sections 4.3-4.5]. What is important for us is that there is a concrete model for a local slice passing through $(A,\Psi)\in\mathcal{C}_k$. It is a submanifold of $\mathcal{C}_k$ of the form

$$U = (A,\Psi) + K_{A,\Psi}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $K_{A,\Psi}\subset T_{(A,\Psi)}\mathcal{C}_k$ is the subset of the tangent space of $\mathcal{C}_k$ at (A,Ψ) consisting of sufficiently small elements of $\ker G^*$. Here, G is the linearised action of the gauge group at (A,Ψ), and the map G^* is its formal adjoint restricted to $\mathcal{C}_k$. The action of the gauge group preserves U. The local slice theorem states that there is a neighbourhood of (A,Ψ) in $\mathcal{C}_k$ which is equivariantly homeomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\times_{\mathrm{Stab}(A,\Psi)} U$, where $\mathrm{Stab}(A,\Psi)$ is the stabiliser of (A,Ψ) in $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$.

Definition 2.9. The *moduli space of solutions* $\mathcal{M}(g,B,\eta)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{B}_k$ consisting of gauge equivalence classes of pairs (A,Ψ) satisfying equations (2.1). We distinguish also the *moduli space of irreducible solutions* $\mathcal{M}^*(g,B,\eta) = \mathcal{M}(g,B,\eta)\cap\mathcal{B}_k^*$.

Remark 2.10. We will often write $\sigma = (B,\eta)$ and denote the corresponding moduli spaces by $\mathcal{M}(g,\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{M}^*(g,\sigma)$. When the parameters (g,σ) are obvious from the context we will write simply $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^*$.

Both $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^*$ are endowed with the subspace topology, so are in particular metrisable and second countable. The particular choice of $k\geq 2$ is immaterial. The next proposition shows that if the parameter σ is of class $W^{l,2}$ say, then the moduli spaces for different choices of $k\leq l+1$ are naturally homeomorphic. Furthermore, in the case $l=\infty$ they are all homeomorphic to the space of smooth solutions modulo smooth gauge transformations.

Proposition 2.11. *Assume that $\sigma=(B,\eta)$ is of class $W^{l,2}$ for $l\geq 1$.*

1. *For every $(A,\Psi)\in\mathcal{C}_2$ satisfying (2.1) there exists a gauge transformation $u\in\mathcal{G}_3$ such that $u(A,\Psi)\in\mathcal{C}_{l+1}$. Two such gauge transformations must differ by an element of $\mathcal{G}_{l+2}$.*
2. *If (A_i,Ψ_i) is a sequence of solutions in $\mathcal{C}_2$ converging in $\mathcal{C}_2$, then there is a sequence $u_i\in\mathcal{G}_3$ such that $u_i(A_i,\Psi_i)$ are in $\mathcal{C}_{l+1}$ and converge in $\mathcal{C}_{l+1}$.*

This is a direct consequence of the Hodge theory, Sobolev multiplication theorem, and elliptic regularity for operators $\not{D}$ and $d+d^*$.

Without loss of generality we fix $k=2$ and drop the subscript k, writing $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{G}$ and so on. The moduli spaces will be considered as subspaces of $\mathcal{B}$. We will see the following subsections that in favourable cases $\mathcal{M}$ equals $\mathcal{M}^*$ and is a smooth finite dimensional manifold. In general, the local structure of $\mathcal{M}$ is encoded in the *deformation complex*. For a solution $(A,\Psi)\in\mathcal{C}^*$ is takes the form

$$\Gamma_3(i\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{G_{A,\Psi}} \Omega^1_2(i\mathbb{R})\oplus\Gamma_2(E^*\otimes S\otimes L)\oplus\Omega^0_2(i\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{S_{A,\Psi}} \Gamma_1(E^*\otimes S\otimes L)\oplus\Omega^2_1(i\mathbb{R}).$$

Here, $G_{A,\Psi}$ is the linearisation of the gauge group action

$$G_{A,\Psi}(h) = (-dh, h\Psi, 0),$$

and $S_{A,\Psi}$ is the linearisation of the modified equations (2.2) at $(A, \Psi, 0)$

$$S_{A,\Psi}(a, \phi, v) = (\not{D}_{AB}\phi + a \cdot \Psi + v\Psi, da - 2\mu(\Psi, \phi) - *dv). \tag{2.5}$$

The complex is well-defined by the Sobolev multiplication theorem. It is elliptic and has index zero. One way to see this is to introduce the formal adjoint

$$G^*_{A,\Psi}(a, \phi, v) = -d^*a + i \operatorname{Im}\langle \Psi, \phi \rangle$$

and consider the *extended Hessian* $L_{A,\Psi} = S_{A,\Psi} \oplus G^*_{A,\Psi}$, restricted to a suitable domain. After rearranging the direct sums and identifying the spaces of two-forms and functions via the Hodge star, $L_{A,\Psi}$ is given by

$$\Omega^1_2(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Omega^0_2(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Gamma_2(E^* \otimes S \otimes L) \xrightarrow{L_{A,\Psi}} \Omega^1_1(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Omega^0_1(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Gamma_1(E^* \otimes S \otimes L),$$

$$L_{A,\Psi} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ v \\ \phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} *da - dv - 2 * \mu(\phi, \Psi) \\ -d^*a + i \operatorname{Im}\langle \Psi, \phi \rangle \\ a \cdot \Psi + v\Psi + \not{D}_{AB}\phi \end{pmatrix}.$$

The extended Hessian is elliptic because up to terms of order zero it agrees with the direct sum of the Dirac operator $\not{D}_{AB}$ and the total operator of the de Rham complex. Representing $L_{A,\Psi}$ by the matrix

$$L_{A,\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} *d & -d & -2 * \mu(\Psi, \cdot) \\ -d^*a & 0 & i \operatorname{Im}\langle \Psi, \cdot \rangle \\ \mathrm{Cl}(\cdot)\Psi & (\cdot)\Psi & \not{D}_{AB} \end{pmatrix}.$$

we also see that it is self-adjoint. This shows that the deformation complex is elliptic and has index zero.

Let $H^0_{A,\Psi}$, $H^1_{A,\Psi}$, and $H^2_{A,\Psi}$ be the homology groups of the deformation complex at (A, Ψ). By ellipticity, they are finite dimensional vector spaces. If the solution is irreducible, then $H^0_{A,\Psi} = 0$ and $\dim H^1_{A,\Psi} = \dim H^2_{A,\Psi}$. In fact, since $L_{A,\Psi}$ is self-adjoint $H^1_{A,\Psi} = \ker L_{A,\Psi}$ and $H^2_{A,\Psi} = \mathrm{coker} L_{A,\Psi}$ are naturally isomorphic. We will later need an explicit description of these groups.

Lemma 2.12. *$H^1_{A,\Psi}$ consists of pairs (a, ϕ) solving*

$$\begin{cases} -d^*a + i \operatorname{Im}\langle \Psi, \phi \rangle = 0, \\ \not{D}_{AB}\phi + a \cdot \Psi = 0, \\ da - 2\mu(\Psi, \phi) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $H^1_{A,\Psi}$ is the kernel of $S_{A,\Psi} \oplus G^*_{A,\Psi}$, the content of the lemma is that every triple (a, ϕ, v) in the kernel of $S_{A,\Psi}$ must satisfy $v\Psi = 0$ and $dv = 0$. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.5. Suppose that $S_{A,\Psi}(a, \phi, v) = 0$. Applying $*d$ to

$$da - 2\mu(\Psi, \phi) - *dv = 0$$

results in

$$i \operatorname{Im}\langle \Psi, \not{D}_{AB}\phi \rangle - \Delta v = 0,$$

where we have used Lemma 2.3 and condition $\not{D}_{AB}\Psi = 0$ to compute $*d\mu(\Psi, \phi)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\not{D}_{AB}\phi = -a \cdot \Psi - v\Psi.$$

Plugging it into the previous equation, we obtain

$$-i \operatorname{Im}\langle \Psi, a \cdot \Psi \rangle - i \operatorname{Im}\langle \Psi, v\Psi \rangle - \Delta v = 0.$$

Now under the Clifford multiplication a corresponds to a matrix in $i\mathfrak{su}(2)$, which in particular is self-adjoint. Therefore, $\langle \Psi, a \cdot \Psi \rangle$ is a real number. On the other hand, v is imaginary and so we get

$$-(\Delta + |\Psi|^2)v = 0,$$

which implies that either $v = 0$ or $\Psi = 0$ and v is constant. □

Definition 2.13. We call an irreducible solution (A, Ψ) *regular* if $H^1_{A,\Psi} = H^2_{A,\Psi} = 0$.

Remark 2.14. The property of being a regular solution does not depend on the chosen Sobolev setup (compare with [MS, Proposition 3.1.10]). To be precise, if we choose a perturbation of class $W^{k,2}$ and (A, Ψ) is a solution of class $W^{k+1,2}$, then we can write down the deformation complex with the middle space consisting of sections of class $W^{k+1,2}$. The resulting homology groups are naturally isomorphic to the ones computed using sections of class $W^{2,2}$. This follows from elliptic regularity for the operator $L_{A,\Psi}$.

The elliptic complex gives rise to a Kuranishi model for the moduli space.

Lemma 2.15. *For an irreducible solution (A, Ψ) there is a smooth map*

$$\kappa \colon H^1_{A,\Psi} \to H^2_{A,\Psi}$$

such that $\kappa(0) = 0$, $d\kappa(0) = 0$, and a neighbourhood of (A, Ψ) in $\mathcal{M}^*$ is homeomorphic to a neighbourhood of 0 in $\kappa^{-1}(0)$. In particular, if all irreducible solutions are regular, then $\mathcal{M}^*$ consists of isolated point.

Proof. Let U be a local slice passing through (A, Ψ) as in (2.4). Let $V = \mathcal{C} \times \Omega^0_2(i\mathbb{R})$, $W = \Gamma_1(E^* \times S \times L) \times \Omega^2_1(i\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathcal{F} \colon V \to W$ be the smooth function given by the left-hand side of (2.2). Since the deformation complex is elliptic, it follows that the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $U \times \Omega^0_2(i\mathbb{R})$, which we denote by f, is a smooth Fredholm map. It follows from the implicit function theorem [DK, section 4.2.4] that there is a neighbourhood of (A, Ψ) in $U \times \Omega^0_2(i\mathbb{R})$ and local charts in which f takes the form

$$f \colon E \times \ker df_{A,\Psi,0} \to E \times \operatorname{coker} df_{A,\Psi,0},$$

$$f(e, x) = (e, K(e, x))$$

for a Banach space E and a smooth mapping $K \colon \ker df_{A,\Psi,0} \to \operatorname{coker} df_{A,\Psi,0}$. The point (A, Ψ) corresponds to $(0, 0)$ in $E \times \ker df_{A,\Psi,0}$. Furthermore, $K(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ and the derivative of K at $(0, 0)$ vanishes. Let $\kappa(x) = K(0, x)$. Then the zero set $f^{-1}(0)$ is locally homeomorphic to $\kappa^{-1}(0)$. This proves the proposition because $H^1_{A,\Psi} \cong \ker df_{A,\Psi,0}$ and $H^2_{A,\Psi} \cong \operatorname{coker} df_{A,\Psi,0}$. □

Remark 2.16. The existence of local Kuranishi models allows us to equip $\mathcal{M}^*$ with the structure of a real analytic space. Indeed, the map $\mathcal{F}$ from the proof of Lemma 2.15 is real analytic as the sum of linear and quadratic terms. By the analytic implicit function theorem, we can choose the Kuranishi map κ to be analytic as well. Thus $\mathcal{M}^*$ is locally homeomorphic to a finite-dimensional real analytic set $V = \kappa^{-1}(0)$. As we vary κ and V, the rings of analytic functions $\mathcal{O}/I(V)$ glue to a globally defined structure sheaf making $\mathcal{M}^*$ into a real analytic space. For details see [FM1, sections 4.1.3-4.1.4].

In section 3 we will face a situation in which the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^*$ is not regular, but it still has a structure of a smooth manifold.

Definition 2.17. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be *Zariski smooth* if it consists of irreducible solutions and for every $[A, \Psi] \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a local Kuranishi model with the map $\kappa\colon H^1_{A,\Psi} \to H^2_{A,\Psi}$ being zero.

For a Zariski smooth moduli space the homology group $H^1_{A,\Psi}$ has the interpretation of the tangent space to $\mathcal{M}$ at $[A, \Psi]$. Likewise, the groups $H^2_{A,\Psi}$ form a vector bundle over the moduli space. In order to see this, consider the trivial bundles $\mathcal{V}_0$ and $\mathcal{W}_0$ over $\mathcal{C}^*$ with fibres $\Gamma_2(E^* \otimes S \otimes L) \oplus \Omega^2_2(i\mathbb{R})$ and $\Omega^1_1(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Gamma_1(E^* \otimes S \otimes L) \oplus \Omega^0_1(i\mathbb{R})$ respectively. Let $s_0\colon \mathcal{V}_0 \to \mathcal{W}_0$ be the section of $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{W}_0)$ given at a point (A, Ψ) by the formal adjoint $S^*_{A,\Psi}$. The triple $(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{W}_0, s_0)$ is $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant and descends to a triple $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, s)$ of vector bundles $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ over $\mathcal{B}^*$ and a section s of $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$. By construction the kernel of s at a point $[A, \Psi]$ is isomorphic to $H^2_{A,\Psi}$.

Definition 2.18. The *obstruction bundle* is the subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{Ob} = \{([A, \Psi], v) \mid [A, \Psi] \in \mathcal{M}^*,\ v \in \ker s([A, \Psi])\}$$

together with the map $\mathfrak{Ob} \to \mathcal{M}^*$ induced from the bundle projection $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{B}^*$.

Despite the name, in general $\mathfrak{Ob} \to \mathcal{M}^*$ is not a vector bundle because the rank of $H^2_{A,\Psi}$ might jump as we vary (A, Ψ). However, we will only deal with situations in which $\mathfrak{Ob}$ is a genuine vector bundle.

Lemma 2.19. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is Zariski smooth, then it is a smooth submanifold of $\mathcal{B}^*$ and $\mathfrak{Ob} \to \mathcal{M}$ forms a vector bundle isomorphic to the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$.*

Remark 2.20. More precisely, $\mathcal{M}$ is a disjoint union of smooth manifolds of possibly different dimensions. If C is a connected component containing a solution (A, Ψ), then C is a smooth submanifold of $\mathcal{B}^*$ of dimension $\dim H^1_{A,\Psi}$. Likewise, the restriction of $\mathfrak{Ob} \to \mathcal{M}$ to C is a smooth vector bundle isomorphic to TC as unoriented real vector bundles.

Proof. Let $[A_0, \Psi_0] \in \mathcal{M}$ and C be the connected component of $\mathcal{M}$ containing $[A_0, \Psi_0]$. Denote $n = \dim H^1_{A_0,\Psi_0}$. By the invariance of domain theorem for every $[A, \Psi] \in C$ we have $\dim H^1_{A,\Psi} = n$. For the same reason in every Kuranishi model the map $H^1_{A,\Psi} \to H^2_{A,\Psi}$ vanishes identically on a neighbourhood of zero. By the proof of Lemma 2.15 there is a Banach space E and a chart of the form $E \times H^1_{A,\Psi}$ in $\mathcal{B}^* \times \Omega^0_2(i\mathbb{R})$ in which $[A, \Psi, 0]$ is identified with $(0, 0)$ and $\mathcal{M}$ with $H^1_{A,\Psi}$. This shows that the connected component C is an n-dimensional submanifold of $\mathcal{B}^* \times \Omega^0_2(i\mathbb{R})$ with $H^1_{A,\Psi}$ trivialising the tangent bundle to C around $[A, \Psi]$. By Lemma 2.5 we have $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}^* \times \{0\}$, so C is in fact a submanifold of $\mathcal{B}^*$.

In the Zariski smooth case the section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}))$ defined earlier has kernel of constant finite rank at each point of $\mathcal{M}$. Thus, the spaces $\{\ker s(x)\}_{x \in \mathcal{M}}$ form a subbundle of $\mathcal{V}$ [DK, section 3.1.3]. This proves that $\mathfrak{Ob} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a vector bundle. Over each component C it is isomorphic to the tangent bundle TC via the natural identifications

$$\ker s([A, \Psi]) = \ker S^*_{A,\Psi} = \ker L^*_{A,\Psi} = \ker L_{A,\Psi} = H^1_{A,\Psi} = T_{[A,\Psi]}C,$$

where we have used that the extended Hessian $L_{A,\Psi}$ is formally self-adjoint. □

2.4. **Perturbations and transversality.** The moduli space $\mathcal{M}(g, \sigma)$ depends on the choice of the parameters g and $\sigma = (B, \eta)$. They belong, respectively, to the Fréchet manifolds $\mathfrak{Met}$ of Riemannian metrics on Y and $\mathcal{P}$ of smooth pairs (B, η). We have $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A}(E) \times \mathcal{Z}$, where $\mathcal{Z}$

is the space of closed imaginary-valued two-forms. In this subsection we fix a metric $g \in \mathfrak{Met}$ and study the properties of $\mathcal{M}(g, \sigma)$ for a generic choice of $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$.

It is convenient to consider the Sobolev completions of $\mathcal{P}$. For $k \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{P}_k$ be the space of parameters of class $W^{k,2}$. It is a Hilbert affine space modelled on the Hilbert space $\Omega^1_k(\mathfrak{su}(E)) \times \mathcal{Z}_k$, where $\mathcal{Z}_k$ is the kernel of the exterior derivative d acting on $\Omega^2_k(i\mathbb{R})$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem, $\mathcal{P} = \bigcap_k \mathcal{P}_k$. A subset of $\mathcal{P}$ is closed if and only if it is closed in every $\mathcal{P}_k$.

Recall that a subset of a topological space is *of the second Baire category* if it contains a countable intersection of open dense sets. In a complete metric space a subset of the second Baire category is dense.

Proposition 2.21. *Fix a Riemannian metric $g \in \mathfrak{Met}$. The subset of those $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$ for which all solutions in $\mathcal{M}^*(g, \sigma)$ are regular is of the second Baire category.*

Proof. First, we prove the corresponding statement with the space $\mathcal{P}_k$ in place of $\mathcal{P}$. We will therefore consider solutions in the configuration space $\mathcal{C}^*_{k+1}$. The advantage of working in the Sobolev setup is that we work with Banach rather than Fréchet manifolds, and so we can use the implicit function theorem and the Sard-Smale theorem.

Consider the map

$$\mathcal{S} \colon \mathcal{P}_k \times \mathcal{C}^*_{k+1} \times \Omega^0_{k+1}(i\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_k(E^* \otimes S \otimes L) \times \Omega^2_k(i\mathbb{R})$$

given by

$$\mathcal{S}(B, \eta, A, \Psi, f) = \left(\not{D}_{AB}\Psi + f\Psi, F_A - *df - \mu(\Psi) - \eta\right).$$

It is a smooth map of Banach manifolds, well-defined by the Sobolev multiplication theorem. We will show that this map is a submersion at all irreducible solutions.

Assume that $(A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{C}^*_{k+1}$ and $\mathcal{S}(B, \eta, A, \Psi, 0) = 0$. The derivative of $\mathcal{S}$ at $(B, \eta, A, \Psi, 0)$ is

$$d\mathcal{S}(b, \xi, a, \phi, v) = S_{A,\Psi}(a, \phi, v) + (b \cdot \Psi, -\xi).$$

Recall that $S_{A,\Psi}$ is the linearisation operator (2.5) introduced in the previous subsection. Since the operator $S_{A,\Psi} \oplus G^*_{A,\Psi}$ is Fredholm, so is $d\mathcal{S} \oplus G^*_{A,\Psi}$ because it differs from the former by a compact operator. Projecting the image of $d\mathcal{S} \oplus G^*_{A,\Psi}$ along

$$\Gamma_k(E^* \otimes S \otimes L) \oplus \Omega^2_k(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Gamma_k(i\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_k(E^* \otimes S \otimes L) \oplus \Omega^2_k(i\mathbb{R}),$$

we see that the range of $d\mathcal{S}$ is closed and of finite codimension. Therefore, in order to show that $d\mathcal{S}$ is onto, it is enough to prove that its L^2-orthogonal complement consists only of the zero vector. Let (Ξ, ω) be an element of this complement.

First we will show that $\omega = 0$. For every $v \in \Gamma_k(i\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle d\mathcal{S}(0,0,0,0,v), (\Xi, \omega)\rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\langle v\Psi, \Xi\rangle_{L^2} - \langle *dv, \omega\rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \langle v, -i\operatorname{Im}\langle\Psi, \Xi\rangle\rangle_{L^2} + \langle v, *d\omega\rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \langle v, -i\operatorname{Im}\langle\Psi, \Xi\rangle + *d\omega\rangle_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since v is arbitrary, we conclude that (Ξ, ω) satisfies the equation

$$-i\operatorname{Im}\langle\Psi, \Xi\rangle + *d\omega = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

On the other hand, for every $\phi \in \Gamma_k(E^* \otimes S \otimes L)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle d\mathcal{S}(0,0,0,\phi,0), (\Xi,\omega)\rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \mathrm{Re}\langle \not{D}_{AB}\phi, \Xi\rangle_{L^2} - 2\langle \mu(\Psi,\phi), \omega\rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \mathrm{Re}\langle \phi, \not{D}_{AB}\Xi\rangle_{L^2} - 2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle \phi, \omega\cdot\Psi\rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \mathrm{Re}\left\langle \phi, \not{D}_{AB}\Xi - 2\omega\cdot\Psi\right\rangle_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\not{D}_{AB}\Xi - 2\omega\cdot\Psi = 0.$$

We apply $\not{D}_{AB}$ to this equation. Since the section Ψ is harmonic,

$$\not{D}_{AB}\not{D}_{AB}\Xi - 2(d\omega)\cdot\Psi = 0.$$

The Clifford multiplication by the three-form $d\omega$ is the same as multiplication by the scalar $*d\omega$, so equation (2.6) implies that

$$\not{D}_{AB}\not{D}_{AB}\Xi - 2i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle\Psi,\Xi\rangle\Psi = 0.$$

Taking the real part of the Hermitian product with Ξ and integrating over Y yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathrm{Re}\left\langle \not{D}_{AB}\not{D}_{AB}\Xi - 2i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle\Psi,\Xi\rangle\Psi, \Xi\right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \|\not{D}_{AB}\Xi\|^2_{L^2} + 2\int_Y (\mathrm{Im}\langle\Psi,\Xi\rangle)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\not{D}_{AB}\Xi = 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}\langle\Psi,\Xi\rangle = 0$, which together with equation (2.6) implies that $d\omega = 0$. At the same time, ω is L^2-orthogonal to the space $\mathcal{Z}_k$ of closed two-forms because $d\mathcal{S}(0,\xi,0,0,0) = (0,-\xi)$ for $\xi \in \Omega^2_k(i\mathbb{R})$. In particular

$$0 = \langle\omega,\omega\rangle_{L^2} = \|\omega\|^2_{L^2},$$

which shows that $\omega = 0$.

Next we prove that $\Xi = 0$. Suppose by contradiction Ξ is not identically zero. By the unique continuation theorem for harmonic spinors [Bär], the set $\{|\Xi| > 0\}$ is open and dense in Y. The same is true for Ψ because we have assumed the solution (A,Ψ) to be irreducible. Thus, there is a point $x \in Y$ such that $|\Psi(x)| > 0$ and $|\Xi(x)| > 0$. On the other hand, for all $a \in \Omega^1_{k+1}(i\mathbb{R})$ and $\Omega^1_k(\mathfrak{su}(E))$

$$0 = \langle d\mathcal{S}(b,0,a,0,0), \Xi\rangle_{L^2} = \mathrm{Re}\langle (a+b)\cdot\Psi, \Xi\rangle_{L^2}.$$

However, since $\Psi(x) \neq 0$ and $\Xi(x) \neq 0$, at the point x we can find $a(x) \in \Lambda^1_x \otimes i\mathbb{R}$ and $b(x) \in \Lambda^1_x \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E_x)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle (a(x)+b(x))\cdot\Psi(x), \Xi(x)\rangle > 0.$$

This is an elementary fact of linear algebra and details are provided in Lemma 2.22 below. Once such $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are found, we use a bump function to extend them to differential forms a and b supported in a small neighbourhood of x and satisfying

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle (a+b)\cdot\Psi, \Xi\rangle_{L^2} > 0.$$

This is a contradiction, which shows that $\Xi = 0$ and $d\mathcal{S}$ is surjective.

We have shown that $\mathcal{S}$ is a submersion at all irreducible solutions. Thus, by the implicit function theorem for Banach manifolds, the zero set $\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$ is a submanifold of $\mathcal{P}_k \times \mathcal{C}^*_{k+1} \times$

$\Omega^0_{k+1}(i\mathbb{R})$. The gauge group $\mathcal{G}_{k+2}$ acts freely on $\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$. Denote the quotient space by $\mathcal{X}$. The projection on $\mathcal{P}_k$ induces a smooth map

$$\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}_k$$

whose fibre over $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_k$ is by construction the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^*(g,\sigma)$. Furthermore, the derivative $d\pi$ of π is Fredholm and at a point $(A,\Psi) \in \mathcal{M}^*(g,\sigma)$ there is a natural identification

$$\operatorname{coker} d\pi_{A,\Psi} = H^2_{A,\Psi}.$$

The details are the same as in the finite-dimensional setting, see for example [DK, section 4.3.1]. By the Sard-Smale theorem, the set of regular values of π is of the second Baire category in $\mathcal{P}_k$. The discussion above shows that for every such regular value σ all solutions in $\mathcal{M}^*(g,\sigma)$ are regular. This proves the statement for $\mathcal{P}_k$.

The final part of the proof is to replace $\mathcal{P}_k$ by the space $\mathcal{P}$ of smooth perturbations. We will follow an argument due to Taubes used in [MS, Theorem 3.1.5] in the context of pseudo-holomorphic curves. Let N be a positive integer and $\mathcal{U}_N \subset \mathcal{P}$ the subset defined by the property that for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_N$ all solutions (A,Ψ) in $\mathcal{M}^*(g,\sigma)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{N} \leq \|\Psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq N \tag{2.7}$$

are regular. We need to show that the intersection

$$\bigcap_{N\geq 1} \mathcal{U}_N$$

is a set of the second Baire category. We will do that by proving that each $\mathcal{U}_N$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{P}$.

First, we show that the complement of $\mathcal{U}_N$ is closed, so that $\mathcal{U}_N$ is open. Let σ_i be a sequence in $\mathcal{P}\setminus\mathcal{U}_N$ convering in C^∞ to σ. We have to argue that σ also lies in $\mathcal{P}\setminus\mathcal{U}_N$. By the definition of $\mathcal{U}_N$, there is a sequence of solutions (A_i,Ψ_i) solving equations (2.2) with parameter σ_i and satisfying (2.7) and $H^2_{A_i,\Psi_i} \neq 0$. It is shown in [HW] that after passing to a subsequence such a sequence converges smoothly modulo gauge. The limit (A,Ψ) satisfies the equations with parameter σ and condition (2.7). Moreover, the extended Hessian operators L_{A_i,Ψ_i} converge to $L_{A,\Psi}$. If the former are not surjective, so must be the latter. Hence, $H^2_{A,\Psi} = 0$ and σ does not belong to $\mathcal{U}_N$. We conclude that $\mathcal{P}\setminus\mathcal{U}_N$ is closed.

The last step is to show that $\mathcal{U}_N$ is dense in $\mathcal{P}$. For that, we use the statement for $\mathcal{P}_k$ proved earlier. Let $\mathcal{U}_{k,N}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{P}_k$ defined analogously to $\mathcal{U}_N$. More precisely, $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_k$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}_{k,N}$ if and only if all solutions in $\mathcal{M}^*(g,\sigma)$ satisfying (2.7) are regular. In particular, $\mathcal{U}_{k,N}$ contains the set of regular values of π discussed earlier, and so is dense in $\mathcal{P}_k$. It is also open in $\mathcal{P}_k$ by the same argument as before.

By Proposition 2.11 and Remark 2.14

$$\mathcal{U}_N = \mathcal{U}_{k,N} \cap \mathcal{P}.$$

This holds for all k. Every element σ of $\mathcal{P}$ can be approximated in the $W^{k,2}$ norm by elements of $\mathcal{U}_{k,N}$. In fact, it can be approximated by elements of the intersection $\mathcal{U}_{k,N}\cap\mathcal{P}$ because $\mathcal{U}_{k,N}$ is open in $\mathcal{P}_k$ and $\mathcal{P}$ is dense in $\mathcal{P}_k$. Therefore, we have shown that σ can be approximated by elements in $\mathcal{U}_N$ in any Sobolev norm. By the usual diagonal argument and Sobolev embedding theorem, we find a sequence in $\mathcal{U}_N$ converging to σ in the C^∞ topology. This shows that $\mathcal{U}_N$ is dense in $\mathcal{P}$, which finishes the proof of the proposition. □

It remains to prove the following elementary fact.

Lemma 2.22. *Let Ψ and Ξ be non-zero vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. Then there exist matrices $a \in \mathrm{id} \otimes i\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $b \in \mathfrak{su}(n) \otimes \mathfrak{su}(2)$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Re}\,\langle (a+b)\Psi, \Xi\rangle > 0.$$

Proof. One easily checks that the statement is true for $n = 1$. (In fact this is how transversality is proved for the classical Seiberg-Witten equations.)

Assume that $n \geq 2$. Choose an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then Ψ and Ξ are identified with pairs of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Xi = \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_1 \\ \Xi_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $a \in \mathrm{id} \otimes i\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $b \in \mathfrak{su}(n) \otimes \mathfrak{su}(2)$ the sum $a + b$, as an element of

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes i\mathfrak{su}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(n) \otimes \mathfrak{su}(2) = (\mathrm{id} \oplus i\mathfrak{su}(n)) \otimes i\mathfrak{su}(2) = i\mathfrak{u}(n) \otimes i\mathfrak{su}(2),$$

is be identified with a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & -A \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A \in i\mathfrak{u}(n)$ and $B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle (a+b)\Psi, \Xi\rangle = \mathrm{Re}\,\{\langle A\Psi_1, \Xi_1\rangle + \langle B\Psi_2, \Xi_1\rangle + \langle B^*\Psi_1, \Xi_2\rangle - \langle A\Psi_2, \Xi_2\rangle.\} \tag{2.8}$$

The proof of the lemma consists of three steps.

Step 1. Assume that Ψ_1, Ψ_2, Ξ_1, and Ξ_2 are all scalar multiples of a non-zero vector $X \in \mathbb{C}^n$, say. Then there are vectors $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$\Psi = X \otimes v_1, \qquad \Xi = X \otimes v_2.$$

By the $n = 1$ case there is a matrix $a \in \mathrm{id} \otimes i\mathfrak{su}(2)$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\langle av_1, v_2\rangle > 0$. Then

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle a\Psi, \Xi\rangle = |X|^2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle av_1, v_2\rangle > 0,$$

which finishes the proof (we set $b = 0$).

Step 2. Assume that $\Xi_1 = \lambda_1\Psi_1$ and $\Xi_2 = \lambda_2\Psi_2$ for some complex numbers λ_1 and λ_2. Set $B = 0$. Then the right-hand side of equation (2.8) is

$$\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_1\langle A\Psi_1, \Psi_1\rangle - \mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_2\langle A\Psi_2, \Psi_2\rangle.$$

If Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are linearly independent, we can find a matrix $A \in i\mathfrak{u}(n)$ making this expression positive. If Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are linearly dependent we are in the case discussed in the previous step.

Step 3. The case when one of the vectors Ξ_1, or Ξ_2 vanishes is easy and similar to the previously considered cases. Thus, the only remaining possibility is when Ψ_1 and Ξ_1 or Ψ_2 and Ξ_2 are linearly independent. Without loss of generality assume that this is true for the second pair. Let $A = 0$ and $B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a matrix such that $B\Psi_2 = \Xi_1$ and $B\Xi_2 = \Psi_1$. Then the right-hand side of (2.8) simplies to

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle B\Psi_2, \Xi_1\rangle + \mathrm{Re}\langle B\Xi_2, \Psi_1\rangle = |\Xi_1|^2 + |\Psi_1|^2,$$

which is positive under the assumption that $\Xi_1 \neq 0$. □

Remark 2.23. Our proof of Lemma 2.22 is based on a rather *ad hoc* argument. It would be interesting to find a general, easily verifiable condition for a linear subspace $V \subset \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{R})$ that would guarantee that for any non-zero vectors Ψ and Ξ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ there is an element $a \in V$ such that $\langle a\Psi, \Xi\rangle \neq 0$.

2.5. **Avoiding reducibles.** We have shown so far that generically $\mathcal{M}^*$ consists of regular solutions. The full moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ might contain reducible solutions at which it develops singularities. In this paper we focus on the favourable case when reducibles can be avoided in one-dimensional families. This will be guaranteed by the assumption $b_1(Y) > 1$, where $b_1(Y) = \dim H^1(Y, \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 2.24. *Fix a Riemannian metric $g \in \mathfrak{Met}$. The subset of those parameters $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$ for which $\mathcal{M}(g, \sigma)$ contains a reducible solution is contained in a closed affine subspace of $\mathcal{P}$ of codimension b_1.*

Proof. If $(A, 0)$ is a reducible solution to equations (2.1) with a parameter $\sigma = (B, \eta)$, then $F_A = \eta$ and passing to the de Rham cohomology we obtain

$$[\eta] = -2\pi i c_1(L) \in H^2(Y, i\mathbb{R}).$$

Consider the affine subspace of $\mathcal{Z}$ given by

$$V = \{\eta \in \mathcal{Z} \mid [\eta] = -2\pi i c_1(L)\}.$$

In other words, V is the preimage of $-2\pi i c_1(L)$ under the projection $\pi\colon \mathcal{Z} \to H^2(Y, \mathbb{R})$ associating to each closed form its de Rham class. The map π is linear and surjective. It is also continuous because by the Hodge decomposition theorem it is continuous with respect to the $W^{k,2}$-topology for all k. Therefore, V is a closed affine subspace of codimension $\dim H^2(Y, \mathbb{R}) = \dim H^1(Y, \mathbb{R}) = b_1$. □

2.6. **Compactness and Fueter sections.** In contrast to the classical Seiberg-Witten theory, the moduli space of multi-monopoles needs not be compact. Recall that in the classical setting compactness is a consequence of the Weitzenböck formula and algebraic identity

$$\langle \mu(\Psi)\Psi, \Psi \rangle = |\mu(\Psi)|^2.$$

Both formulae hold in the generalised setting, independently on $n = \mathrm{rk}E$. However, when $n = 1$, the map μ satisfies the additional relation $|\mu(\Psi)| = |\Psi|^2$. This allows us to control the L^4 norm of Ψ in terms of the expression $|\mu(\Psi)|^2$ appearing in the Weitzenböck formula. Together with elliptic regularity, this leads to C^∞ bounds for solutions. For $n > 1$ the map μ is no longer proper and there is no *a priori* bound for the spinor part of a solution to (2.1). In particular, the condition $\mu(\Psi) = 0$ does not imply the vanishing of Ψ.

Haydys and Walpuski [HW] pursued these ideas in their study of sequences of solutions whose L^2 norm diverges to infinity. They proved that in the limit we obtain a harmonic section lying in the zero set $\mu^{-1}(0)$. In general, it is defined only on the complement of a closed, nowhere dense subset of Y.

Definition 2.25. Let $Z \subsetneq Y$ be a closed, proper subset and $(A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{C}_k(Y \setminus Z)$ (see Remark 2.7). A triple (A, Ψ, Z) is called a *Fueter section* if it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1, \\ \not{D}_{AB}\Psi = 0, \\ \mu(\Psi) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and $|\Psi|$ extends to a continuous function on Y such that $Z = |\Psi|^{-1}(0)$. The set Z is called the *singular set* of the Fueter section.

Remark 2.26. The definition of a Fueter section depends, through the Dirac equation, on the choice of the metric g and background connection B. We will call (A, Ψ, Z) a (g, B)-*Fueter section* when we want to stress this dependence.

There is elliptic regularity theory for Fueter sections [HW], which implies that if B is of class $W^{l,2}$, then after a gauge transformation (A, Ψ) is in fact in $\mathcal{C}_{l+1}(Y \setminus Z)$. Furthermore, Taubes [Tau2] proved that the singular set of a Fueter section has Hausdorff dimension at most one.

Theorem 2.27 (Haydys-Walpuski [HW])**.** *Let $(g_i, \sigma_i) \in \mathfrak{Met} \times \mathcal{P}$ be a sequence converging to (g, σ) and $[A_i, \Psi_i]$ a sequence in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $[A_i, \Psi_i] \in \mathcal{M}(g_i, \sigma_i)$.*

(1) If $\limsup_{i\to\infty} \|\Psi_i\|_{L^2} < \infty$, then after passing to a subsequence and applying gauge transformations the sequence (A_i, Ψ_i) converges smoothly to a solution (A, Ψ) representing a point in $\mathcal{M}(g, \sigma)$.

(2) If $\limsup_{i\to\infty} \|\Psi_i\|_{L^2} = \infty$, then there exists a (g, B)-Fueter section (A, Ψ, Z) such that after passing to a subsequence and applying gauge transformations $A_i \to A$ weakly in $W^{1,2}_{loc}$ and $\Psi_i/\|\Psi_i\|_{L^2} \to \Psi$ weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}$ over $Y \setminus Z$.

Remark 2.28. In the original statement of [HW] the sequence (g_i, σ_i) is constant. As has been communicated to me by Thomas Walpuski, the proof can be easily adapted to the more general setting described above.

The following fact is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.27 and is important for defining the count of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles.

Corollary 2.29. *Let $g \in \mathfrak{Met}$ and $\sigma = (B, \eta) \in \mathcal{P}$. Suppose that there are no (g, B)-Fueter sections. Then there is an open neighbourhood of (g, σ) in $\mathfrak{Met} \times \mathcal{P}$ such that for all (g', σ') from this neighbourhood the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(g', \sigma')$ is compact.*

Proof. Let K be the supremum of the norms $\|\Psi\|_{L^2}$ taken over all solutions (A, Ψ) representing points of $\mathcal{M}(g, \sigma)$. It is finite by Theorem 2.27 and the assumption that there are no (g, B)-Fueter sections. We claim that there is an open neighbourhood of (g, σ) such that for all (g', σ') from this neighbourhood

$$\sup_{[A,\Psi]\in\mathcal{M}(g',\sigma')} \|\Psi\|_{L^2} < K + 1. \tag{2.9}$$

Once the claim is proved, the compactness of $\mathcal{M}(g', \sigma')$ follows from Theorem 2.27.

Assume by contradiction that there is a sequence (g_i, σ_i) converging to (g, σ) which violates the inequality 2.9. It means that there is a corresponding sequence of solutions (A_i, Ψ_i) representing points in $\mathcal{M}(g_i, \sigma_i)$ and satisfying $\|\Psi_i\|_{L^2} \geq K + 1$. Clearly the first alternative of Theorem 2.27 cannot hold because otherwise we would extract a subsequence converging modulo gauge to a solution (A, Ψ) with $[A, \Psi] \in \mathcal{M}(g, \sigma)$ and $\|\Psi\|_{L^2} \geq K + 1$, contradicting the definition of K. On the other hand, the second alternative would imply the existence of a (g, B)-Fueter section, a possibility excluded by the hypothesis. This contradiction shows that there exists a neighbourhood of (g, σ) with the desired property. □

2.7. **Orientations.** Suppose that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^*$ is regular, so that it consists of isolated points. We want to prescribe each of these points an orientation in a way consistent with the deformation theory. This is done in the same way as for the classical Seiberg-Witten equations [Lim]. We briefly outline the construction as we will need it in the case $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$.

The main ingredient is the determinant line bundle of the extended Hessian introduced in subsection 2.3. For a configuration $(A, \Psi, f) \in \mathcal{C} \times \Omega^0_2(i\mathbb{R})$ let $G^*_{A,\Psi}$ be the formal adjoint to the linearised gauge action. We consider also the operator $S_{A,\Psi,f}$ obtained from linearising the Seiberg-Witten equations (2.2) at (A, Ψ, f). (Previously we have only dealt with the

linearisation at irreducible solutions, for which $f = 0$.) Let $L_{A,\Psi,f} = G^*_{A,\Psi} \oplus S_{A,\Psi,f}$. The one-dimensional vector spaces

$$\mathrm{Det}_{(A,\Psi,f)} = \det \ker L_{A,\Psi,f} \otimes (\det \operatorname{coker} L_{A,\Psi,f})^*$$

form a real line bundle Det over $\mathcal{C}^* \times \Omega^0_2(i\mathbb{R})$. We refer to [MS, Appendix A.2] for details on determinant line bundles. As explained in [Lim], Det can be constructed in a $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant way so that it descent to a real line bundle Λ over $\mathcal{B}^* \times \Omega^0_2(i\mathbb{R})$. The last bundle is shown to be trivial by considering the $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant homotopy of operators

$$\{L_{A,t\Psi,tf}\}_{t\in[0,1]}\,.$$

It connects the extended Hessian $L_{A,\Psi,f}$ with the operator $L_{A,0,0}$, which is the direct sum of the de Rham operator $d + d^*$ and the Dirac operator $\not{D}_{AB}$. The kernel and cokernel of the former are naturally identified with $H^0(Y,\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^1(Y,\mathbb{R})$, while the latter is complex-linear and so has trivial determinant. It follows that the determinant bundle of the family of operators $L_{A,0,0}$ is trivial, and therefore so is Λ. Denote the global orientation on Λ given by this trivialisation by or_Λ.

On the other hand the operator $L_{A,\Psi,f}$ is formally self-adjoint, so that coker $L_{A,\Psi,f}$ and $\ker L_{A,\Psi,f}$ are naturally isomorphic. More precisely, for such a self-adjoint Fredholm operator T we define the *Knudsen-Mumford isomorphism* $\det(\ker T) \otimes \det(\operatorname{coker} T)^* \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\omega \otimes \eta \mapsto (-1)^{\frac{\dim\ker T(\dim\ker T+1)}{2}} \eta(\omega),$$

where $\operatorname{coker} T = \ker T^* = \ker T$. These isomorphisms do not glue together to yield a global trivialisation of Det because the global construction of Det involves a stabilisation procedure. However, we do obtain in this way a natural trivialisation of Det over every stratum of $\mathcal{B}^* \times \Omega^0_2(i\mathbb{R})$ consisting of those $[A,\Psi,f]$ for which $\ker L_{A,\Psi,f}$ have constant rank [Boh, Appendix B]. We denote the induced orientation on Λ, restricted to every stratum, by or_0.

Definition 2.30. Assuming that $\mathcal{M}^*$ is regular, we equip it with an orientation, which in this case is just a sign ± 1, in the following way.

$$\mathrm{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \mathrm{or}_\Lambda(x) = \mathrm{or}_0(x) \\ -1 & \text{if } \mathrm{or}_\Lambda(x) \neq \mathrm{or}_0(x) \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, if $x = [A,\Psi]$, then $\mathrm{sgn}(x)$ is given by the parity of the spectral flow of the family of self-adjoint operators $\{L_{A,t\Psi,0}\}_{t\in[0,1]}$. For the proof see for example [Boh, Appendix C].

We can extend this definition to the case when $\mathcal{M}$ is only Zariski smooth. Under this assumption we have $\Lambda = \det T\mathcal{M} \otimes (\det \mathfrak{Ob})^*$, so we do not get an orientation $\mathcal{M}$ but rather a *relative orientation* on the obstruction bundle $\mathfrak{Ob} \to \mathcal{M}$. This means that for every section s of $\mathfrak{Ob}$ which is transverse to the zero section the zero set $Z = s^{-1}(0)$ is equipped with an orientation. Indeed, the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow TZ \longrightarrow T\mathcal{M}\big|_Z \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Ob}\big|_Z \longrightarrow 0$$

implies that $\det TZ \cong \det T\mathcal{M} \otimes (\det \mathfrak{Ob})^*\big|_Z$.

Alternatively, we can imitate the definition for the regular case and for every connected component C of $\mathcal{M}$ trivialise the restriction $\Lambda\big|_C$ in two different ways. The first trivialisation uses the Knudsen-Mumford isomorphisms and is well-defined because the dimension of $\ker L_{A,\Psi} = H^1_{A,\Psi}$ is constant over C. In this way we obtain an orientation $\mathrm{or}_0(C)$ of $\Lambda\big|_C$. We also have the global orientation or_Λ.

Definition 2.31. Assuming that $\mathcal{M}$ is Zariski smooth, we associate a sign ± 1 to every connected component C of $\mathcal{M}$ in the following way. For any point x of C set

$$\operatorname{sgn}(C) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \operatorname{or}_\Lambda(x) = \operatorname{or}_0(x) \\ -1 & \text{if } \operatorname{or}_\Lambda(x) \neq \operatorname{or}_0(x) \end{cases}$$

As before, $\operatorname{sgn}(C)$ is equal to the sign of the spectral flow of the family $\{L_{A,t\Psi,0}\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ for any $[A,\Psi] \in C$. It does not depend on the choice of $[A,\Psi]$ because the dimension of $\ker L_{A,\Psi}$ is constant over C.

2.8. **Counting solutions.** We come to the main point of this section, that is defining the integer count of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles. The main assumption we need is that the moduli space is compact and regular. As discussed in subsection 2.6 compactness is a major issue and has to be treated separately. On the other hand, regularity can be always achieved by perturbing the equations. The following two theorems summarise the discussion from the previous subsections.

Theorem 2.32. *Let g be a Riemannian metric on Y. Then there exists a subset $\mathcal{P}^{reg}(g) \subset \mathcal{P}$ of the second Baire category such that for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{reg}(g)$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^*(g,\sigma)$ is an oriented zero-dimensional manifold. If $b_1(Y) > 0$, we may additionally assume that there are no reducible solutions and $\mathcal{M}^*(g,\sigma) = \mathcal{M}(g,\sigma)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}^{reg}(g)$ be the set of those $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$ for which all solutions in $\mathcal{M}^*(g,\sigma)$ are regular. By Proposition 2.21 it is of the second Baire category in $\mathcal{P}$. From the local Kuranishi model described in Lemma 2.15 it follows that for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{reg}(g)$ the moduli space is a zero-dimensional manifold. A way of assigning orientations is described in the previous subsection. Let $V \subset \mathcal{P}$ be the set of those σ for which $\mathcal{M}(g,\sigma)$ contains a reducible solution. If $b_1(Y) > 0$, then V is nowhere dense by Proposition 2.24. Thus, replacing $\mathcal{P}^{reg}(g)$ by its intersection with $\mathcal{P} \setminus V$, we can guarantee that for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{reg}(g)$ we have $\mathcal{M}(g,\sigma) = \mathcal{M}^*(g,\sigma)$. □

Before stating the next theorem, which deals with one-parameter families of moduli spaces, we introduce one more piece of notation.

Definition 2.33. Let σ_0 and σ_1 be perturbations in $\mathcal{P}$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\sigma_0,\sigma_1)$ the space of smooth paths $\{\sigma_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ of elements in $\mathcal{P}$ connecting σ_0 and σ_1. The subspace topology induced from the space $C^\infty([0,1],\mathcal{P})$ makes $\mathcal{P}(\sigma_0,\sigma_1)$ into a Fréchet manifold.

Theorem 2.34. *Let $\{g_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ be a smooth path of Riemannian metrics on Y. Let $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{P}^{reg}(g_0)$ and $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{P}^{reg}(g_1)$. Then there exists a subset of $\mathcal{P}(\sigma_0,\sigma_1)$ of the second Baire category such that for all smooth paths $\{\sigma_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ from this subset the one-parameter moduli space*

$$\bigcup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{M}^*(g_t,\sigma_t)$$

is an oriented one-dimensional cobordism between $\mathcal{M}^*(g_0,\sigma_0)$ and $\mathcal{M}^*(g_1,\sigma_1)$. If $b_1(Y) > 1$, then we may additionally assume that $\mathcal{M}^*(g_t,\sigma_t) = \mathcal{M}(g_t,\sigma_t)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.

Proof. First we remark that the spinor bundles for metrics g_t can be identified in a natural way with the spinor bundle S of the initial metric g_0 [Lim, section 3]. Then each moduli space $\mathcal{M}(g_t,\sigma_t)$ can be seen as a subspace of the same Banach manifold $\mathcal{B}$. The statement is proved in the same way as Theorem 2.32. It relies on the fact that a generic path in $\mathcal{P}$ is transverse to the projection $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}$ from the proof of Proposition 2.21. By Proposition 2.24 the

subspace $V \subset \mathcal{P}$ of parameters for which the moduli space contains a reducible solution is of codimension b_1, thus for $b_1 > 1$ a generic path in $\mathcal{P}$ is disjoint from V. □

When the moduli space is regular and compact we can count the solutions with signs. In general it will depend on the choice of the parameters (g, σ).

Definition 2.35. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}(g, \sigma)$ is compact and consists of irreducible and regular solutions. Then $\mathcal{M}(g, \sigma)$ is a finite set of points, to which we assign orientations as in the previous subsection. We define the count of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles by

$$SW(g, \sigma) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{M}(g,\sigma)} \operatorname{sgn}(x).$$

The definition of SW can be extended to the more general situation in which $\mathcal{M}$ is Zariski smooth in the sense of Definition 2.17. Suppose also that it is compact. Then by Lemma 2.19 it is a finite union of compact connected components, each of which is a finite-dimensional manifold. We also have the obstruction bundle $\mathfrak{Ob} \to \mathcal{M}$ which is endowed with a relative orientation and isomorphic (as unoriented real vector bundles) to the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$. If a section s of $\mathfrak{Ob}$ is transverse to zero, then the relative orientation induces an orientation on the zero set $s^{-1}(0)$. Since $s^{-1}(0)$ consists of finitely many points, the orientation is just a choice of $\operatorname{sgn}(x) = \pm 1$ for every $x \in s^{-1}(0)$. We consider the sum

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} e(\mathfrak{Ob}) \coloneqq \sum_{x \in s^{-1}(0)} \operatorname{sgn}(x). \tag{2.10}$$

This notation is justified by the following observation. Suppose that all components of $\mathcal{M}$ are orientable (otherwise pass to an orientable cover). Equip them with arbitrary orientations. Then the relative orientation on $\mathfrak{Ob} \to \mathcal{M}$ induces a bundle orientation on $\mathfrak{Ob}$. As an oriented vector bundle $\mathfrak{Ob}$ has the Euler class $e(\mathfrak{Ob})$, which we can integrate over the moduli space using the chosen orientations on the connected components. In this way we make sense of the left-hand side of (2.10) and the formula above holds by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. In particular, the sum on the right-hand side does not depend on the transverse section.

Definition 2.36. Suppose that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(g, \sigma)$ is compact and Zariski smooth. In this case we define the count of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles by

$$SW(g, \sigma) = \int_{\mathcal{M}(g,\sigma)} e(\mathfrak{Ob}),$$

where the right-hand side is defined by (2.10).

The number SW has an alternative description as the signed Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{M}$. In the previous subsection we associated a sign $\operatorname{sgn}(C)$ to every connected component of $\mathcal{M}$. Denote by $\chi(C)$ the topological Euler characteristic of C. Since $\mathfrak{Ob}$ is isomorphic to the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{M}$, it follows from our orientation conventions and the Poincaré-Hopf index theorem that

$$SW = \sum_{C} \operatorname{sgn}(C)\chi(C),$$

where the sum is taken over all connected components of $\mathcal{M}$.

We can finally state the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.37. *Let g be a Riemannian metric on Y and $\sigma = (B, \eta) \in \mathcal{P}$. Suppose that $b_1(Y) > 1$ and there exist no (g, B)-Fueter sections. Then there is an open neighbourhood U of (g, σ) in $\mathfrak{Met} \times \mathcal{P}$ with the following significance.*

(1) For all $(g', \sigma') \in U$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(g', \sigma')$ is compact.
(2) The subset $U_s = \{(g', \sigma') \in U \mid \mathcal{M}(g', \sigma')$ is Zariski smooth$\}$ is dense in U.
(3) The number $SW(g', \sigma')$ does not depend on the choice of $(g', \sigma') \in U_s$.

Proof. The existence of U satisfying condition (1) is the content of Corollary 2.29. As regards the next statement, U_s contains in particular all pairs (g', σ') for which $\mathcal{M}(g', \sigma')$ is irreducible and regular. By Theorem 2.32 the set of such pairs is of the second Baire category, which proves (2).

For all $(g', \sigma') \in U$ such that $\mathcal{M}(g', \sigma')$ is irreducible and regular there is a well-defined count of solutions $SW(g', \sigma')$. If (g_0, σ_0) and (g_1, σ_1) are two such pairs, by Theorem 2.34 we can connect them with a path (g_t, σ_t) such that the union

$$\bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{M}(g_t, \sigma_t)$$

is an oriented cobordism between $\mathcal{M}(g_0, \sigma_0)$ and $\mathcal{M}(g_1, \sigma_1)$. If the endpoints are sufficiently close to (g_0, σ_0) we may assume that the path (g_t, σ_t) is contained entirely in U, which guarantees that the cobordism is compact. It then follows from the definition of SW as the oriented count of points that $SW(g_0, \sigma_0) = SW(g_1, \sigma_1)$. We conclude that the number $SW(g', \sigma')$ is independent of the choice of $(g', \sigma') \in U$ as long as $\mathcal{M}(g', \sigma')$ is irreducible and regular.

It remains to show that the integer obtained from counting regular solutions is equal to $SW(g', \sigma')$ for every $(g', \sigma') \in U$ such that $\mathcal{M}(g', \sigma')$ is Zariski smooth (see Definition 2.36). This is a consequence of the following general fact proved in [FM2, Lemma 3.3]. Let $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a Hilbert vector bundle over a Hilbert manifold. Suppose that there are two Fredholm sections s_0 and s_1 of $\mathcal{E}$ which are sufficiently C^1-close to each other. Suppose moreover that the zero sets $Z_0 = s_0^{-1}(0)$ and $Z_1 = s_1^{-1}(0)$ are compact, s_0 is transverse to the zero section, and Z_1 is Zariski smooth as in Definition 2.17 (see also the proof of Lemma 2.19). Let $\mathfrak{Ob} \to Z_1$ be the obstruction bundle as in Definition 2.18. Under these assumptions there is a smooth section $\alpha \in \Gamma(Z_1, \mathfrak{Ob})$ which is transverse to zero and such that $\alpha^{-1}(0)$ is isotopic to $s_0^{-1}(0)$ as oriented submanifolds of $\mathcal{X}$. To apply the lemma to our situation we choose the sections s_0 and s_1 to be given by the Seiberg-Witten equations (2.2) for two choices of the parameters (g', σ'), so that the moduli space is irreducible and regular for one of them and Zariski smooth for the other. □

In order to apply Theorem 2.37 we need to guarantee that there are no Fueter sections for some choice of the metric and the parameters. In the next two sections we exhibit examples for which this assumption is satisfied and Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles can be counted using methods of complex geometry.

3. A dimensional reduction

In this section we consider the case when Y is the product of a circle and a Riemann surface. Under the assumption that the parameters of the equations are circle-invariant, we show that all irreducible solutions to (2.1) are pulled back from configurations on Σ satisfying vortex-type equations. As a consequence, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is described in terms of holomorphic data

over Σ. This is analogous to the correspondence between classical Seiberg-Witten monopoles and vortices, which in turn correspond to effective divisors [MST], [MOY], [MW]. We end the section with a discussion of Fueter sections and compactifications of the moduli space.

3.1. **Circle-invariant solutions.** In order to prove the circle-invariance of solutions it is convenient to use the language of quaternionic representations and moment maps introduced in subsection 2.2. In particular the statement will be proved in the setting of generalised Seiberg-Witten equations (2.3). In what follows we use the notation of subsection 2.2. Therefore, we have the quaternionic representation $(G \times H, M)$, principal bundles P, Q, associated vector bundle $\mathbb{M}$, and fixed connection B on Q.

Definition 3.1. A configuration $(A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{A}(P) \times \Gamma(Y, \mathbb{M})$ is called *irreducible* if there exists $x \in Y$ such that the G-stabiliser of $\Psi(x)$ in M is trivial.

We are interested in irreducible solutions to (2.3) in the following setup.

(A) The base 3-manifold Y is of the form $S^1 \times \Sigma$ for an oriented closed surface Σ. We choose a metric on Σ and equip Y with the product metric. The orientation and metric induce a complex structure on Σ.

(B) The spin structure on Y is induced from a spin structure on Σ. A spin structure on Σ is equivalent to a complex line bundle $K^{1/2} \to \Sigma$ together with an isomorphism of $K^{1/2} \otimes K^{1/2}$ with the canonical bundle $K = \Lambda^{1,0}\Sigma$. As a principal bundle, the induced spin bundle S on Y is pulled back from the $SU(2)$ bundle over Σ associated to $K^{-1/2}$, the dual of $K^{1/2}$, via the inclusion $U(1) \subset SU(2)$. (Note that it is the anti-canonical bundle K^{-1} rather than K that is naturally identified with the tangent bundle of Σ). As a vector bundle $S = K^{1/2} \oplus K^{-1/2}$.

(C) The principal bundle Q and background connection B are pulled back from a bundle and a connection over Σ.

We also make an assumption about the circle-invariance of $P \to Y$. Eventually it will follow from the previous conditions and the existence of an irreducible solution to (2.3).

(D) The principal bundle P is pulled back from a bundle over Σ.

To keep the notation simple we use the same letters $K^{1/2}$, P, Q, B, and so forth for the data over Σ and its pull-back to Y.

From conditions $(A) - (D)$ it follows that the vector bundle $\mathbb{M} \to Y$ is also pulled back from a vector bundle over Σ, namely

$$\mathbb{M} = (P \times_\Sigma Q \times_\Sigma K^{-1/2}) \times_{G \times H \times U(1)} M.$$

Recall that the action of unit quaternions $SU(2)$ on M rotates the sphere of complex structures $\{ai + bj + ck \mid a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1\}$. The subgroup $U(1) \subset SU(2)$ is the stabiliser of i under this action. As a result, $\mathbb{M}$ inherits the structure of a complex vector bundle. In fact, if we introduce the quaternionic vector bundle $V = (P \times_\Sigma Q) \times_{G \times H} M$, then we have $\mathbb{M} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K^{-1/2}$, where the complex structure on V is given by i. The rest of the quaternionic structure is encoded in an anti-linear involution $j \colon V \to V$. Taking the tensor product of j with the anti-linear map $K^{1/2} \to K^{-1/2}$ given by the metric, we obtain a complex anti-linear bundle map

$$\sigma \colon V \otimes K^{1/2} \to V \otimes K^{-1/2}.$$

We also have an analogously defined map going in the reverse direction, so that $\sigma^2 = -1$. Note that σ can be seen as a map

$$\sigma\colon \mathbb{M} \otimes K \to \mathbb{M},$$

which is a two-dimensional manifestation of the Clifford multiplication.

The next step is to relate sections and connections on Y to those on Σ. We write $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, P)$ and $\mathcal{A}(Y, P)$ for the spaces of connections on the bundle $P \to \Sigma$ and its pull-back to Y. Let $t \in [0, 1]$ be the cooordinate on the circle factor in $S^1 \times \Sigma$. Then any connection $A_Y \in \mathcal{A}(Y, P)$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$A_Y = A(t) + b(t)dt$$

for one-periodic families $A(t)$ of connections in $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, P)$ and sections $b(t)$ of the associated bundle $\mathfrak{g}_P$. The space $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, P)$ embeds in $\mathcal{A}(Y, P)$ via the pull-back construction. Its image consists of those $A_Y = A(t) + bdt$ for which $b(t) = 0$ and $A(t)$ is independent of t. Likewise, a section Ψ of $\Gamma(Y, \mathbb{M})$ can be identified with a one-periodic family of sections $\Psi(t)$ in $\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$. Thus $\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ embeds into $\Gamma(Y, \mathbb{M})$ as sections independent of t. In the same way the gauge group $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma, P)$ is naturally a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(Y, P)$.

Definition 3.2. We refer to pairs in the image of $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, P) \times \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ inside $\mathcal{A}(Y, P) \times \Gamma(Y, \mathbb{M})$ as *circle-invariant configurations*. We will not distinguish between a circle-invariant configuration on Y and the corresponding pair on Σ.

The space of circle-invariant configurations divided by the action of $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma, P)$ embeds into the space of all configurations modulo the action of $\mathcal{G}(Y, P)$. This is a consequence of the following basic fact.

Lemma 3.3. *If two circle-invariant configurations differ by a gauge transformation g in $\mathcal{G}(Y, P)$, then g belongs to $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma, P)$.*

Proof. Let A_1 and A_2 be two circle-invariant connections. We identify g with a one-periodic family $g(t)$ of gauge transformations in $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma, P)$. If $A_2 = g(A_1)$, then

$$A_2 - A_1 = g(t)^{-1} d_{A_1}(g(t)) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} dt.$$

Since the one-form $A_2 - A_1$ does not have a dt part, it follows that $\partial g/\partial t = 0$ and $g(t)$ does not depend on t. We conclude that $g \in \mathcal{G}(\Sigma, P)$. □

The relation between the spin geometry of Y and the complex geometry of Σ is encoded in a simple formula involving the Dirac and Dolbeault operators. Consider the simplest case when $Y = \mathbb{R}^3$ and $M = \mathbb{H}$. We identify $\mathbb{R}^3$ with $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ with coordinates t and $z = x + iy$ respectively. For a map $\Psi\colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{H}$ we have

$$\not{D}\Psi = i\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + j\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} + k\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + j\left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}\right) = i\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + 2j\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z}. \tag{3.1}$$

In general, the operator $\partial/\partial z$ is replaced by the Dolbeault operator

$$\partial_{AB}\colon \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathbb{M}) \to \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathbb{M} \otimes K)$$

or equivalently

$$\partial_{AB}\colon \Gamma(\Sigma, V \otimes K^{-1/2}) \to \Gamma(\Sigma, V \otimes K^{1/2}).$$

It is defined as the $(1, 0)$-part of the covariant derivative on $\mathbb{M}$ induced from connections $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, P)$, B, and the Levi-Civita connection.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $A_Y = A(t) + b(t)dt$ be a connection in $\mathcal{A}(Y, P)$ and $\Psi = \Psi(t)$ a section in $\Gamma(Y, \mathbb{M})$. The Dirac operator $\not{D}_{A_Y,B}$ acting on $\Gamma(Y, \mathbb{M})$ is given by*

$$\not{D}_{A_Y B}\Psi = i\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} + b(t)\Psi\right) + \sqrt{2}\sigma\left(\partial_{A(t)B}\Psi\right).$$

Proof. The proof is a local calculation similar to (3.1). To keep the notation simple suppose that the bundle Q is trivial and B is the product connection. Choose local trivialisations of P and K over an open subset $U \subset \Sigma$ so that Ψ is given by a map $\Psi\colon S^1 \times U \to M$. Let t be the coordinate on S^1, and $z = x + iy$ conformal coordinates on U. The metric on Σ is

$$g_\Sigma = \lambda^2(dx^2 + dy^2),$$

for a positive function $\lambda\colon U \to (0, \infty)$. Thus, we have a local orthonormal framing of TY given by the vector fields

$$e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad e_2 = \lambda^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_3 = \lambda^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

We identify the connection A_Y with a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form

$$A_Y = a_x(t)dx + a_y(t)dy + b(t)dt,$$

where a_x, a_y, and b are functions from $S^1 \times U$ to $\mathfrak{g}$. The sum of the first two terms corresponds to the family $A(t)$ of connections on P.

The local formula for the Dirac operator is

$$\begin{aligned}\not{D}_{A_Y}\Psi &= i\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} + b\Psi\right) + \lambda^{-1}j\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} + a_x\Psi\right) + \lambda^{-1}k\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y} + a_y\Psi\right)\\ &= i\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} + b\Psi\right) + \lambda^{-1}j\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} + a_x\Psi\right) - i\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y} + a_y\Psi\right)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Note that i induces the complex structure on the bundle $\mathbb{M}$, so the first term of (3.2) agrees with the first term of the formula that we want to prove. The Dolbeault operator, in turn, is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_A\Psi &= (d_A\Psi)^{1,0} = (d_A\Psi(\partial/\partial_x)dx + d_A\Psi(\partial/\partial_y)dy)^{1,0}\\ &= \left\{\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} + a_x\Psi\right)dx + \left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y} + a_y\Psi\right)dy\right\}^{1,0}\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} + a_x\Psi\right) - i\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y} + a_y\Psi\right)\right\}dz.\end{aligned}$$

The one-form dz has norm $\lambda^{-1}\sqrt{2}$ with respect to the Hermitian metric on K induced by g_Σ, so after applying the norm-preserving map σ, we get

$$\sigma\left(\partial_A u\right) = \frac{\lambda^{-1}\sqrt{2}}{2}j\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} + a_x\Psi\right) - i\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y} + a_y\Psi\right)\right\},$$

which differs from the second term of (3.2) by the factor $\sqrt{2}$. □

Remark 3.5. The difference between constants 2 from (3.1) and $\sqrt{2}$ from Lemma 3.4 comes from the fact that $|dz| = \sqrt{2}$ with respect to the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}$. Indeed, we have $\partial u = (\partial_z u)dz$ and $\sigma(\partial u) = \sqrt{2}j(\partial_z u)dz$, so that $\sqrt{2}\sigma(\partial u) = 2j(\partial_z u)$ and the two formulae agree.

A consequence of Lemma 3.4 is that circle-invariant harmonic sections over Y correspond to aholomorphic sections over Σ. Indeed, if (A, Ψ) is a circle-invariant configuration, then the Dirac equation is equivalent to $\partial_{AB}\Psi = 0$.

Since it is more common to consider holomorphic rather than aholomorphic sections, we can complete the picture by considering the conjugate bundle

$$\overline{\mathbb{M}} = (Q \times P \times K^{1/2}) \times_{G\times H\times U(1)} M = V \otimes K^{1/2} = \mathbb{M} \otimes K.$$

We have the Dolbeault operators

$$\partial_{AB} \colon \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathbb{M}) = \Gamma(\Sigma, V \otimes K^{-1/2}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma, V \otimes K^{1/2}) = \Gamma(\Sigma, \overline{\mathbb{M}}),$$
$$\overline{\partial}_{AB} \colon \Gamma(\Sigma, \overline{\mathbb{M}}) = \Gamma(\Sigma, V \otimes K^{1/2}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma, V \otimes K^{-1/2}) = \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathbb{M}),$$

and the maps $\sigma \colon \overline{\mathbb{M}} \to \mathbb{M}$ and $\sigma \colon \mathbb{M} \to \overline{\mathbb{M}}$ that intertwine them:

$$\sigma\partial_{AB} = \overline{\partial}_{AB}\sigma.$$

Thus, σ maps aholomorphic sections of $\mathbb{M}$ to holomorphic sections of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ and vice versa. We need one more fact about the Dolbeault operators.

Lemma 3.6. *$\overline{\partial}_{AB}$ is equal to $-\partial^*_{AB}$, where ∂^*_{AB} is the formal adjoint of ∂_{AB}.*

Proof. It can be deduced from the Kähler identities or directly from the following calculation. We use the notation from the proof of Lemma 3.4. Consider local sections of $V \otimes K^{-1/2}$ and $V \otimes K^{1/2}$ represented by smooth maps $u, v \colon U \to \mathbb{C}^n$. Assume that at least one of them has compact support. For simplicity we take Q to be trivial and B to be the product connection. Then integrating by parts and using the fact that the Lie $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^n$ by skew-Hermitian matrices, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_U \langle \partial_A u, v\rangle \mathrm{vol}_\Sigma &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \int_U \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + a_x u\right) - i\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + a_y u\right), v \right\rangle dx \wedge dy \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \int_U \left\{ \left\langle u, -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - a_x v \right\rangle + \left\langle u, -i\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - i a_y v \right\rangle \right\} dx \wedge dy \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \int_U \left\langle u, \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + a_x v\right) + i\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + a_y v\right) \right\rangle dx \wedge dy \\
&= -\int_U \langle u, \overline{\partial}_A u\rangle \mathrm{vol}_\Sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

(Observe that $\mathrm{vol}_\Sigma = \lambda dx \wedge dy$. On the other hand $|dz| = \lambda^{-1}\sqrt{2}$, so that the λ factor does not appear in the integral – a manifestation of the conformal nature of our discussion.) When u and v are global sections over Σ, use a partition of unity to present them as finite sums of sections supported in local trivialisations as above. □

Another simplification that occurs in the two-dimensional setting is a splitting of the hyperkähler moment map $\mu \colon M \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ into two components: the real moment map $\mu_\mathbb{R} \colon M \to \mathfrak{g}$ and the complex moment map $\mu_\mathbb{C} \colon M \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The latter is holomorphic with respect to the complex structure i. In the notation from subsection 2.2

$$\mu_\mathbb{R} = \mu_i, \qquad \mu_\mathbb{C} = \mu_j + i\mu_k.$$

We will use later that for every $x \in M$ the complex moment map satisfies

$$\langle \mu_\mathbb{C}(x) jx, x\rangle = |\mu_\mathbb{C}(x)|^2 \tag{3.3}$$

Under the reduction of the structure group of Y from $SO(3)$ to $U(1)$, the splitting $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ corresponds to the decomposition of $\mathfrak{su}(S)$ into the direct sum of the trivial real line bundle and K^{-1}. Accordingly, the fibrewise moment map $\mu\colon \mathbb{M} \to \mathfrak{su}(S) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P$ splits into the direct sum of maps

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}}\colon \mathbb{M} \to \mathfrak{g}_P \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu_{\mathbb{C}}\colon \mathbb{M} \to K^{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P.$$

Indeed, such maps are obviously defined in local trivialisations and using the equivariance of the moment maps we easily check that they give rise to globally defined objects. Note that $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}$ is holomorphic on each fibre. In the same way we define the conjugate maps (denoted for simplicity by the same symbols):

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}}\colon \overline{\mathbb{M}} \to \mathfrak{g}_P \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu_{\mathbb{C}}\colon \overline{\mathbb{M}} \to K \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P,$$

which are related to the previous ones by the formulae

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \sigma = -\mu_{\mathbb{R}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \sigma = \overline{\mu_{\mathbb{C}}},$$

which are just global versions of the identities $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(jx) = -\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x)$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(jx) = \overline{\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(x)}$ for any $x \in M$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $A_Y = A(t) + b(t)dt$ a connection in $\mathcal{A}(Y, P)$ and $\Psi = \Psi(t)$ a section in $\Gamma(Y, \mathbb{M})$. The generalised Seiberg-Witten equations (2.3) for (A_Y, Ψ) are equivalent to*

$$\begin{cases} i\left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + b\Psi\right) + \sqrt{2}\sigma\left(\partial_{AB}\Psi\right) = 0, \\ \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + d_A b\right)^{0,1} = -\frac{i}{2}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Psi), \\ *F_A = \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Psi). \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

In particular, for a circle-invariant configuration (A, Ψ) they simplify to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{AB}\Psi = 0, \\ \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Psi) = 0, \\ *F_A = \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Psi). \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 the first equation in (3.4) is equivalent to $\not{D}_{A_Y B}\Psi = 0$. As regards the remaining two equations, they come from the identifications

$$\mathfrak{su}(S) \cong \Lambda^0\Sigma \oplus K^{-1}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\mu \cong \mu_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mu_{\mathbb{C}}$$

discussed earlier. The product structure $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ induces the decomposition

$$\Lambda^2 Y = \left(\Lambda^2\Sigma\right) \oplus \left(\Lambda^1 S^1 \otimes \Lambda^1\Sigma\right), \tag{3.7}$$

under which F_{A_Y} decomposes into

$$F_{A_Y} = F_A + dt \wedge \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + d_A b\right).$$

We need to identify the splittings (3.6) and (3.7) under the isomorphism $\Lambda^2 Y \cong \mathfrak{su}(S)$. For simplicity, consider the flat case $Y = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, with coordinates t and $z = x + iy$ (the general case differs from it by a conformal factor). The isomorphism between $\Lambda^2\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is given by

$$dx \wedge dy \mapsto i, \quad dy \wedge dt \mapsto j, \quad dt \wedge dx \mapsto k.$$

On the other hand, $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is identified with $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ via the map

$$ai + bj + ck \mapsto (a, b + ic).$$

Let $\alpha + dt \wedge \beta$ be a two-form on $\mathbb{R}^3$, where

$$\alpha = a dx \wedge dy, \quad \beta = b_1 dx + b_2 dy.$$

Then under the identifications $\Lambda^2\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathfrak{su}(2) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ defined above,

$$\alpha + dt \wedge \beta \mapsto ai - b_2 j + b_1 k \mapsto (a, -b_2 + ib_1).$$

Observe that $a = *\alpha$ and $(-b_2 + ib_1)d\bar{z} = 2i\beta^{0,1}$, where $\beta^{0,1}$ is the image of β under the projection of $\Lambda^1\mathbb{C} \to \Lambda^{0,1}\mathbb{C}$. It follows that under the splittings (3.6) and (3.7) the isomorphism

$$\left(\Lambda^2\Sigma\right) \oplus \left(\Lambda^1 S^1 \otimes \Lambda^1\Sigma\right) \cong \Lambda^0\Sigma \oplus K^{-1}$$

is the direct sum of the Hodge star $\Lambda^2\Sigma \to \Lambda^0\Sigma$ and the map $\Lambda^1\Sigma \to \Lambda^{0,1}\Sigma$ taking a one-form β to $2i\beta^{0,1}$. Thus, equation $F_{A_Y} = \mu(\Psi)$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} *F_A = \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Psi), \\ 2i\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + d_A b\right)^{0,1} = \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Psi), \end{cases}$$

which ends the proof of (3.4). For a circle-invariant configuration we have $\partial A/\partial t = 0$, $\partial u/\partial t = 0$, and $b = 0$, so (3.5) follows immediately from the general case. □

Remark 3.8. Using σ, we can rewrite (3.5) as a system of equations for the conjugate section $\overline{\Psi} = \sigma(\Psi)$ of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$:

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{AB}\overline{\Psi} = 0, \\ \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{\Psi}) = 0, \\ *F_A + \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\overline{\Psi}) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

These equations are an example of the *symplectic vortex equations* discussed in [CGMiRS]. The target symplectic manifold is the zero locus $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0)$ of the complex moment map, with the Kähler structure induced from M. Note, however, that this subvariety may be singular. For example, for the classical Seiberg-Witten equations

$$\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid xy = 0\}.$$

We now proceed to the main result of this subsection, which states that all irreducible solutions to (2.3) are of the circle-invariant form (3.5).

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose that conditions* (A), (B), *and* (C) *are satisfied, and that* (A_Y, Ψ) *is an irreducible solution to the generalised Seiberg-Witten equations* (2.3). *Then* $P \to Y$ *is pulled-back from a bundle over* Σ *and* (A_Y, Ψ) *is gauge-equivalent to a circle-invariant configuration satisfying equations* (3.5).

Proof. For simplicity we assume that the flavour symmetry H is trivial. The general proof is the same, one just needs to include the background bundle Q and connection B in the notation.

We identify S^1 with the interval $[0, 1]$ with the endpoints glued together. Pull-back all the data on $S^1 \times \Sigma$ to one-periodic data on $[0, 1] \times \Sigma$. Since $[0, 1] \times \Sigma$ is homotopy equivalent to Σ, there is a principal G-bundle $P_\Sigma \to \Sigma$ and a gauge transformation $g \in \mathcal{G}(\Sigma, P_\Sigma)$ such that P is the quotient of $[0, 1] \times P_\Sigma$ by the relation $(0, p) \sim (1, g(p))$. The isomorphism class of P depends only on the homotopy class of g. Similarly, the associated bundle $\mathbb{M} = (P \times S) \times_{G \times SU(2)} M$ over Y is obtained from pulling-back the bundle $\mathbb{M}_\Sigma = (P_\Sigma \times K^{-1/2})_{G \times U(1)} M$ to $[0, 1] \times \Sigma$ and identifying the fibres over 0 and 1 by the gauge transformation g. As before there is an anti-linear map $\sigma \colon \mathbb{M}_\Sigma \otimes K \to \mathbb{M}_\Sigma$.

We describe connections and sections on Y in terms of t-dependent data on Σ. And so for $A_Y \in \mathcal{A}(Y, P)$ and $\Psi \in \Gamma(Y, \mathbb{M})$ we have

$$A_Y = A(t) + b(t)dt, \quad \Psi = \Psi(t),$$

where $A(t), b(t)$, and $\Psi(t)$ are families of connections and sections on Σ, as discussed earlier. The only difference now is that the families are periodic with respect to the action of g:

$$A(1) = g\left(A(0)\right), \quad b(1) = g\left(b(0)\right), \quad \Psi(1) = g\left(\Psi(0)\right).$$

Define a gauge transformation h over $[0,1] \times \Sigma$ by

$$h(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t b(s)ds\right). \tag{3.9}$$

Note that $h(t)$ does not necessarily descend to an automorphism of $P \to Y$. Indeed, this happens if and only if $h(1) = \mathrm{Ad}_g(h_0) = \mathrm{id}$. In any case, h is well-defined over $[0,1] \times \Sigma$ and the new connection

$$C \coloneqq h(A_Y) = A_Y - h^{-1} d_{A_Y} h = h(t)\left(A(t)\right)$$

does not have a dt part. (In other words, we A_Y is in a *temporal gauge*.) Thus, C can be identified with a smooth path of connections $\{C(t)\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ on P_Σ satisfying $C(1) = h(1)g(C(0))$. Likewise, we identify the section $h(\Psi)$ with a path $\{\Phi(t)\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ of sections in $\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathbb{M}_\Sigma)$ satisfying $\Phi(1) = h(1)g(\Phi(0))$.

By Lemma 3.7, the generalised Seiberg-Witten equations for (C, Φ) are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} i\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \sqrt{2}\sigma\left(\partial_C \Phi\right) = 0, \\ \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}\right)^{0,1} = -\frac{i}{2}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Phi), \\ *F_C = \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Phi). \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Differentiating the first equation with respect to t and using (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= i\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} + \sqrt{2}\sigma\left\{\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}\right)^{1,0}\Phi + \partial_C\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}\right)\right\} \\ &= i\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sigma i\overline{\mu_{\mathbb{C}}}(\Phi)\Phi + 2\sigma i\partial_C\sigma\partial_C\Phi \\ &= i\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} i\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Phi)\sigma\Phi - 2i\sigma\partial_C\sigma\partial_C\Phi. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the anti-linearity of σ and the fact that $\partial C/\partial t$ is a real $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form, so its $(1,0)$ part is conjugate to the $(0,1)$ part. Multiplying the obtained identity by i and taking the pointwise inner product with Φ yields

$$0 = \left\langle -\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2}, \Phi \right\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left\langle \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Phi)\sigma\Phi, \Phi\right\rangle + 2\left\langle \sigma\partial_C\sigma\partial_C\Phi, \Phi\right\rangle. \tag{3.11}$$

By formula (3.3) the second term simplifies to $\sqrt{2}/2|\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Phi)|^2$. As regards the third term, by Lemma 3.6 we have

$$\sigma\partial_C\sigma\partial_C = \overline{\partial}_C\left(\sigma^2\right)\partial_C = -\overline{\partial}_C\partial_C = \partial_C^*\partial_C.$$

We conclude that

$$\left\langle \sigma\partial_C\sigma\partial_C\Phi, \Phi\right\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \left\langle \partial_C^*\partial_C\Phi, \Phi\right\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \left\|\partial_C\Phi\right\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)}.$$

For a fixed value of t integration of (3.11) over Σ yields

$$0 = \int_\Sigma \left\langle -\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2}, \Phi \right\rangle \mathrm{vol}_\Sigma + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \|\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Phi)\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)} + 2\|\partial_C \Phi\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma)}.$$

Integrate the last equality by parts with respect to $t \in [0,1]$. The boundary terms vanish because Φ is periodic up to the action of $h(1)g$ which preserves the inner product. We obtain

$$0 = \left\| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right\|^2_{L^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \|\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Phi)\|^2_{L^2} + 2 \|\partial_C \Phi\|^2_{L^2},$$

which shows that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = 0.$$

Thus, the families $C(t) = C$ and $\Phi(t) = \Phi$ are constant and

$$C = C(1) = k\,(C(0)) = k(C), \quad \Phi = \Phi(1) = k\,(\Phi(0)) = k(\Phi)$$

for the gauge transformation $k = h(1)g$ over Σ. The first equality implies that $d_C k = 0$, so k is covariantly constant. On the other hand, there exists a point $x \in \Sigma$ such that the G-stabiliser of $\Phi(x)$ is trivial since we have assumed the solution to be irreducible. It follows that $k(x) = \mathrm{id}$ and consequently that $k = \mathrm{id}$ everywhere. Therefore, $g = h(1)^{-1}$. Now the path $h(t)^{-1}$ is a homotopy of gauge transformations connecting g with $h(0)^{-1} = \mathrm{id}$. We conclude that our initial bundle $P \to Y$ is pulled-back from $P_\Sigma \to \Sigma$. In particular, we could have chosen g to be the identity map to begin with. Then $h(1) = \mathrm{id}$ and according to what we have said earlier h descends to a gauge transformation of P, which maps the solution (A_Y, Ψ) to the circle-invariant solution (C, Φ). By Lemma 3.7, (C, Φ) satisfies equations (3.5). □

Remark 3.10. Much of the above discussion generalises to the setting when M is a hyperkähler manifold with an $SU(2)$-action rotating the sphere of complex structures. As we have already pointed out, the Dirac operator $\not{D}_{AB}$ and equations (2.3) still make sense [Hay1], [Hay2]. For $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ we can introduce the non-linear Dolbeault operator ∂_{AB} as in [CGMiRS]. Lemmas 3.4, 3.6, and 3.7 still hold and are proved in the same way after defining appropriately all the objects involved. However, our proof of Theorem 3.9 makes use of the vector space structure on M and does not immediately generalise to the non-linear setting. The result most likely still holds, but in order to prove it one needs to use the Weitzenböck formulae for non-linear Dirac operators. Such formulae were derived first by Taubes [Tau1], and then in greater generality by Pidstrygach [Pid] and Callies [Cal].

Finally, we apply Theorem 3.9 to the Seiberg-Witten equations with multiple spinors. In this case $M = \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$, $H = SU(n)$, and $G = U(1)$. The action of $SU(n)$ on M is the transpose of the standard representation. We identify $\mathbb{H}$ with $\mathbb{C} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ via

$$a + bi + cj + dk = (a + bi) + (c - di)j \mapsto (a + bi, c + di),$$

so that the action of $U(1)$ from the left preserves the complex structure given by the left multiplication by i. Then M is identified with $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \overline{\mathbb{C}}^n$ and the moment maps $\mu_{\mathbb{R}} \colon M \to i\mathbb{R}$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{C}} \colon M \to \mathbb{C}$ are

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x, y) = i(|x|^2 - |y|^2), \qquad \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y) = y^* x.$$

Suppose that the bundles $E \to Y$ and $L \to Y$ are pulled back from bundles over Σ, and so is the background connection B. We form the associated bundle

$$\overline{\mathbb{M}} = (E \times L \times K^{1/2}) \times_{SU(n) \times U(1)} M = (E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2}) \oplus (E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}).$$

Every circle-invariant section Ψ of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ can be written in the form $\Psi = (\beta, \alpha)$ for

$$\alpha \in \Gamma(\Sigma, E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \in \Gamma(\Sigma, E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2}).$$

The real moment map is $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\beta, \alpha) = i(|\beta|^2 - |\alpha|^2)$ and the complex moment map coincides with the natural pairing

$$\Gamma(\Sigma, E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}) \times \Gamma(\Sigma, E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2}) \to \Gamma(\Sigma, K),$$
$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha\beta.$$

Suppose that the closed one-form $\eta \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, i\mathbb{R})$ used to perturb the Seiberg-Witten equations with multiple spinors (2.1) is pulled back from Σ. Then it follows from Remark 3.8 that for circle-invariant configurations equations (2.1) reduce to

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{AB}\alpha = 0, \\ \overline{\partial}_{AB}\beta = 0, \\ \alpha\beta = 0, \\ i * F_A + |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 - i * \eta = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

As a special case of Theorem 3.9 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.11. *Suppose that $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$, the bundle E is pulled back from a bundle over Σ, and the metric g, spin structure S, and parameter $\sigma = (B, \eta)$ are circle-invariant. If (A, Ψ) is an irreducible solution to the Seiberg-Witten equations with multiple spinors (2.1), then L is pulled back from a bundle over Σ and (A, Ψ) is gauge-equivalent to a circle invariant configuration satisfying equations (3.12).*

3.2. **A holomorphic description.** Consider the situation described in Theorem 3.11. In other words, conditions $(A)-(D)$ from the previous subsection are satisfied and the perturbing two-form η is pulled-back from Σ. In this case, the moduli space of irreducible multi-monopoles $\mathcal{M}^*$ has the following description. First, denote

$$\mathcal{C}_\Sigma = \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, L) \times \Gamma(\Sigma, E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}) \times \Gamma(\Sigma, E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2}).$$

Consider the subspace of $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$ consisting triples (A, α, β) satisfying equations (3.12) and condition $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$. The gauge group $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma) = C^\infty(\Sigma, S^1)$ acts freely on this subspace. By Theorem 3.11 and Lemma 3.3, the quotient is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{M}^*$.

The next result provides an alternative description of $\mathcal{M}^*$ in terms of holomorphic data over Σ. It is based on the work of Bryan and Wentworth [BW] who described Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles on Kähler surfaces, assuming that the background bundle E is trivial and B is the product connection. Before stating the theorem, we introduce

$$d = \deg(L) = \langle c_1(L), [\Sigma] \rangle \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tau = \int_\Sigma \frac{i\eta}{2\pi}.$$

If $d - \tau < 0$, then the last equation of (3.12) forces α to be non-zero because

$$0 = \int_\Sigma \left\{ iF_A - i\eta + (|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2)\mathrm{vol}_\Sigma \right\} = 2\pi(d - \tau) + \|\alpha\|^2_{L^2} - \|\beta\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Likewise, if $d - \tau > 0$, then β must be non-zero. In both cases there are no reducible solutions and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^*$. When $d - \tau = 0$, either both α and β are non-zero or both of them vanish yielding a reducible solution.

Recall that in dimension two every unitary connection equips the underlying Hermitian vector bundle with a holomorphic structure, see for example [DK, section 2.1.5]. Denote by

$\mathcal{E}_B \to \Sigma$ the holomorphic $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$-bundle obtained from E^* with the dual connection B^* (we will write simply $\mathcal{E}$ in the context when B is fixed). Likewise, $K^{1/2}$ and K are naturally holomorphic line bundles over Σ.

Theorem 3.12. *Assume that $d - \tau < 0$. Then $\mathcal{M}^*$ is isomorphic as real analytic spaces to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ of triples $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta)$ such that*

- *$\mathcal{L} \to \Sigma$ is a holomorphic line bundle of degree d,*
- *α is a holomorphic section in $H^0(\Sigma, \mathcal{E}_B \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2})$,*
- *β is a holomorphic section in $H^0(\Sigma, \mathcal{E}_B^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes K^{1/2})$,*
- *α is non-zero and $\alpha\beta = 0 \in H^0(\Sigma, K)$.*

Two such triples $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta)$ and $(\mathcal{L}', \alpha', \beta')$ are considered equivalent if there is a holomorphic isomorphism $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}'$ mapping α to α' and β to β'.

The statement still holds when $d - \tau \geq 0$, except that for $d - \tau > 0$ it is β, not α, that is required to be non-zero, and for $d - \tau = 0$ both α and β are non-zero.

One can define the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ within the framework of algebraic geometry. From our point of view it is convenient to use $\overline{\partial}$-methods. For related material see [DK, section 2.1.5] and [FM2, section 1]. Consider the first three of equations (3.12):

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{AB}\alpha = 0, \\ \overline{\partial}_{AB}\beta = 0, \\ \alpha\beta = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

They are invariant not only under the action of $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$, but also that of the *complexified gauge group* $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$. It consists of complex automorphisms of L and can be identified with the group of smooth maps from Σ to $\mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. The action of such a map $g\colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ on $(A, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_\Sigma$ is given by

$$g(A, \alpha, \beta) = \left(A + \overline{g}^{-1}\partial\overline{g} - g^{-1}\overline{\partial}g, g\alpha, g^{-1}\beta\right).$$

In terms of the associated Dolbeault operators we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\partial}_{g(A)B} &= g\overline{\partial}_{BA}g^{-1} \quad \text{on } \Gamma(\Sigma, E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}), \\ \overline{\partial}_{g(A)B} &= g^{-1}\overline{\partial}_{BA}g \quad \text{on } \Gamma(\Sigma, E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.13. Consider the subspace of $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$ consisting of triples (A, α, β) satisfying equations (3.13) and subject to the condition

$$\begin{cases} \alpha \neq 0 & \text{if } d - \tau < 0, \\ \beta \neq 0 & \text{if } d - \tau > 0, \\ \alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } \beta \neq 0 & \text{if } d - \tau = 0. \end{cases}$$

We define $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ to be the quotient of this subspace by the action of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$.

Remark 3.14. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ depends on the conformal class of the metric g on Σ, the holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E}_B$, the degree d of L, and the sign of $d - \tau$.

Employing methods developed in subsection 2.3, we can show that $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is metrisable, second countable (see Proposition 2.8), and has a natural complex analytic structure given by local Kuranishi models (see Remark 2.16). The discussion is almost the same as that for

the Seiberg-Witten equations, so we only outline the details. To set up the Fredholm theort we consider the modified equations

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{AB}\alpha + if\bar{\beta} = 0, \\ \overline{\partial}_{AB}\beta - if\bar{\alpha} = 0, \\ \alpha\beta + \partial f = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

A solution to (3.14) is a quadruple (A, α, β, f) where A, α, and β are as before and $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C})$. The modified equations (3.14) are elliptic modulo the action of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$.

Lemma 3.15. *If (A, α, β, f) is a solution to (3.14) with $(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$, then $f = 0$.*

Using the linearisation of (3.14) together with the complex Coulomb gauge fixing we represent $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ as the zero set of a Fredholm section. The local structure of the moduli space is encoded in the elliptic complex at a solution $(A, \alpha, \beta, 0)$:

$$\Omega^0(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{G^c_{A,\alpha,\beta}} \Omega^{0,1} \oplus \Gamma(E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}) \oplus \Gamma(E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2}) \oplus \Omega^0(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\xrightarrow{F_{A,\alpha,\beta}} \Gamma(E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{-1/2}) \oplus \Gamma(E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{-1/2}) \oplus \Omega^{1,0}$$

where $G^c_{A,\alpha,\beta}$ is the linearised action of the complexified gauge group

$$G^c_{A,\alpha,\beta}(h) = (-\overline{\partial}h, h\alpha, -h\beta, 0),$$

and $F_{A,\alpha,\beta}$ is the linearisation of equations (3.14)

$$F_{A,\alpha,\beta}(a^{0,1}, u, v, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\partial}_{AB}u + a^{0,1}\alpha + it\bar{\beta} \\ \overline{\partial}_{AB}v - a^{0,1}\beta - it\bar{\alpha} \\ u\beta + \alpha v + \partial t \end{pmatrix}.$$

An important observation is that even though the map given by the left-hand side of (3.14) is not holomorphic, its derivative $F_{A,\alpha,\beta}$ at every solution $(A, \alpha, \beta, 0)$ is complex linear. As in subsection 2.3, we introduce the cohomology of the elliptic complex $H^0_{A,\alpha,\beta}$, $H^1_{A,\alpha,\beta}$, and $H^2_{A,\alpha,\beta}$. They are naturally complex vector spaces. If the solution is irreducible, that is $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$, then $H^0_{A,\alpha,\beta}$ vanishes. We are left with complex vector spaces $H^1_{A,\alpha,\beta}$ and $H^2_{A,\alpha,\beta}$ of the same dimension. They have the following description.

Lemma 3.16. *Let (A, α, β, f) be a solution to (3.13) with $(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ and $f = 0$. Then the deformation space $H^1_{A,\alpha,\beta}$ is the quotient of the space of solutions*

$$(a^{0,1}, u, v) \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \Gamma(E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}) \oplus \Gamma(E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2}),$$

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{AB}u + a^{0,1}\alpha = 0, \\ \overline{\partial}_{AB}v - a^{0,1}\beta = 0, \\ u\beta + \alpha v = 0. \end{cases}$$

by the subspace generated by $(-\overline{\partial}h, h\alpha, -h\beta)$ for $h \in \Omega^0(\mathbb{C})$. The obstruction space $H^2_{A,\alpha,\beta}$ is canonically isomorphic to the dual space $(H^1_{A,\alpha,\beta})^*$ as complex vector spaces.

Proof. The map $h \mapsto (-\overline{\partial} h, h\alpha, -h\beta)$ is the linearised action of the complexified gauge group. The linearisation of equations (3.13) at $(A, \alpha, \beta, 0)$ is

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{AB} u + a^{0,1}\alpha + it\bar{\beta} = 0, \\ \overline{\partial}_{AB} v - a^{0,1}\beta - it\bar{\alpha} = 0, \\ u\beta + \alpha v + \partial t = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

where the quadruple $(a^{0,1}, u, v, t)$ is an element of

$$\Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \Gamma(E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}) \oplus \Gamma(E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2}) \oplus \Omega^0(\mathbb{C}).$$

Thus, the first statement follows if we show that any solution $(a^{0,1}, u, v, t)$ to (3.15) satisfies $t = 0$. The proof is the same as that of Lemma 2.12. First, we apply $\overline{\partial}$ to the third equation:

$$(\overline{\partial}_{AB} u)\beta - \alpha(\overline{\partial}_{AB} v) + \overline{\partial}\partial f = 0.$$

Then we use the first two equations and relation $\Lambda\overline{\partial}\partial = 2i\Delta$ to obtain

$$\left(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + 2\Delta\right) t = 0,$$

which implies that $t = 0$. Finally, in order to prove that $H^2_{A,\alpha,\beta}$ is isomorphic to $(H^1_{A,\alpha,\beta})^*$ we look at the L^2-orthogonal complement to the image of the operator given by the left-hand side of (3.15). As in the proof of Proposition 2.21 we check that it consists of triples

$$(p, q, s) \in \Gamma(E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{-1/2}) \oplus \Gamma(E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{-1/2}) \oplus \Omega^{1,0}(\mathbb{C})$$

satisfying the equations

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}^*_{AB} p + s\bar{\beta} = 0, \\ \overline{\partial}^*_{AB} q + s\bar{\alpha} = 0, \\ p\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta} q = 0, \\ \langle \bar{\beta}, p\rangle - \langle \bar{\alpha}, q\rangle + \partial^* s = 0, \end{cases}$$

Set $(a^{0,1}, u, v) = (\bar{s}, -\bar{p}, \bar{q}a)$. Using the relation $\overline{\partial}^*_{AB} = -\partial_{AB}$ stated in Lemma 3.6, we conclude that the first three equations for (p, q, s) are equivalent to (3.15) for $(a^{0,1}, u, v)$. The last equation says that $(a^{0,1}, u, v)$ is L^2-orthogonal to the image of the linearised action of the complexified gauge group. Thus, the map $(p, q, s) \mapsto (a^{0,1}, u, v,)$ is an anti-holomorphic isomorphism between $H^2_{A,\alpha,\beta}$ and $H^1_{A,\alpha,\beta}$. □

The analytic structure on a neighbourhood of $[A, \alpha, \beta]$ in $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is induced from a Kuranishi map $\kappa\colon H^1_{A,\alpha,\beta} \to H^2_{A,\alpha,\beta}$. Since $F_{A,\alpha,\beta}$ is complex linear, κ can be taken to be complex analytic. This shows that $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is in fact a complex analytic space.

It is clear that points of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ parametrise isomorphism classes of holomorphic triples $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta)$ considered in Theorem 3.12. Moreover, since $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$, every point of $\mathcal{M}^*$ gives rise to a point in $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$. To prove the converse statement we need the following generalisation of a classical theorem of Kazdan and Warner.

Lemma 3.17 (Bryan-Wentworth [BW])**.** *Let X be a compact Riemannian manifold and let P, Q, and w be smooth functions on X with P and Q non-negative, and*

$$\int_X P - Q > 0, \qquad \int_X w > 0.$$

Then the equation

$$\Delta u + Pe^u - Qe^{-u} = w$$

has a unique solution $u \in C^\infty(X)$.

Remark 3.18. We refer to [BW] for the proof of Lemma 3.17, but let us remark that it can be easily extended to the case when $\int w = 0$ and both P and Q are not identically zero (without the assumption on the sign of $\int P - Q$). First, observe that if we replace P and Q by $P' = e^C P$ and $Q' = e^{-C} Q$ respectively, then solving the corresponding equation

$$\Delta u + P' e^u - Q' e^{-u} = w$$

is equivalent to solving the original equation with P and Q. Indeed, if u solves the former, then the function $u + C$ is a solution the latter. Since both P and Q are not identically zero, their integrals are positive and by choosing the constant

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\int_X Q}{\int_X P} \right),$$

we can guarantee that $\int P' - Q' = 0$. Thus, we may as well assume that this holds for the original functions P and Q. After this adjustment, we simply repeat the proof from [BW]. The only difference is the construction of sub- and super-solutions, that is functions u_- and u_+ satisfying $u_- \leq u_+$ and

$$\Delta u_- + P e^{u_-} - Q e^{-u_-} - w \leq 0,$$
$$\Delta u_+ P e^{u_+} - Q e^{-u_+} - w \geq 0.$$

Let v_1 and v_2 be solutions to $\Delta v_1 = w$ and $\Delta v_2 = -P + Q$. Choose a constant M such that $M \geq \sup |v_1 + v_2|$ and set

$$u_+ = v_1 + v_2 + M \qquad \text{and} \qquad u_- = v_1 + v_2 - M.$$

Then clearly $u_- \leq u_+$ and

$$\Delta u_- + P e^{u_-} - Q e^{-u_-} - w = P \left(e^{v_1 + v_2 - M} - 1 \right) + Q \left(1 - e^{-v_1 - v_2 + M} \right) \leq 0,$$
$$\Delta u_+ + P e^{u_+} - Q e^{-u_+} - w = P \left(e^{v_1 + v_2 + M} - 1 \right) + Q \left(1 - e^{-v_1 - v_2 - M} \right) \geq 0.$$

The remaining part of the proof from [BW] goes through unchanged.

Using the lemma, we now prove that $\mathcal{M}^*$ and $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ are homeomorphic.

Proposition 3.19. *The natural map $\mathcal{M}^* \to \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. If $[A_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i]$ is a convergent sequence of points in $\mathcal{M}^*$, then by definition there are gauge transformations $u_i \in \mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$ such that $u_i(A_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$ converges smoothly in $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$. Since $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$, the corresponding sequence $[A_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i]$ in $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ also converges. This proves that the map $\mathcal{M}* \to \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is continuous.

We need to construct a continuous inverse

$$\mathcal{M}_{hol} \to \mathcal{M}^*.$$

Let (A, α, β) be a solution to (3.13) corresponding to a point in $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$. We seek a complex gauge transformation $h \in \mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$ such that $h(A, \alpha, \beta) = (A', \alpha', \beta')$ satisfies also the third equation of (3.12). Any such a transformation is of the form $h = e^f u$ for a map $f \colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ and a unitary gauge transformation $u \colon \Sigma \to S^1$. Since the latter preserves (3.12), we will assume that $h = e^f$. We have

$$(A', \alpha', \beta') = (A - \overline{\partial} f + \partial f, e^f \alpha, e^{-f} \beta)$$

so the curvature of the new connection A' is given by

$$F_{A'} = F_A - 2 \partial \overline{\partial} f = F_A - i * \Delta f,$$

where Δ is the positive definite Hodge Laplacian. The last equation of (3.12) for the configuration (A', α', β') is equivalent to the following p.d.e. for f:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= i * F_{A'} + |\alpha'|^2 - |\beta'|^2 - i\eta \\ &= \Delta f + e^{2f}|\alpha|^2 - e^{-2f}|\beta|^2 + i(*F_A - \eta). \end{aligned}$$

We want to solve it for f. Assume first that $d - \tau < 0$ and set $P = |\alpha|^2$, $Q = |\beta|^2$ and $w = -i * (F_A - \eta)$. Then the equation we need to solve is

$$\Delta f + Pe^{2f} - Qe^{-2f} = w. \tag{3.16}$$

In the case $d - \tau < 0$, the section α is assumed to be non-zero. Therefore, applying a gauge transformation of the form $h = e^C$ for a constant C large enough and replacing α and β by $e^C\alpha_0$ and $e^{-C}\beta_0$, respectively, we may assume that

$$\int_\Sigma (P - Q) = \int_\Sigma |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 > 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int_\Sigma w = -\int_\Sigma (iF_A - \eta) = -2\pi(d - \tau) > 0.$$

Hence, the hypotheses of Lemma (3.17) are satisfied and there is a unique solution $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ to the equation (3.16). This shows that there is a complex gauge transformation $h \in \mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$, unique up to an element of $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$, mapping (A, α, β) to a solution of (3.12). The same is true for $d - \tau \geq 0$. In the case $d - \tau > 0$ the proof is the same with $P = |\beta|^2$, $B = |\alpha|^2$, $w = i * (F_A - \eta)$ and f replaced in the equation by $-f$. The case $d = 0$ follows from Remark 3.18.

To sum up, we have constructed a map $\mathcal{M}_{hol} \to \mathcal{M}^*$, which is clearly inverse to $\mathcal{M}^* \to \mathcal{M}_{hol}$. It remains to show that it is continuous. Let $[A_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i]$ be a convergent sequence of points in $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$. Let $(A'_i, \alpha'_i, \beta'_i)$ be the corresponding solutions to (3.12). It means that there is a sequence of complex gauge transformations $h_i = u_i e^{f_i}$ such that $h_i(A'_i, \alpha'_i, \beta'_i)$ converges smoothly in $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$. If we can show that functions f_i converge smoothly (perhaps after passing to a subsequence), then the sequence $u_i(A'_i, \alpha'_i, \beta'_i)$ also converges, proving the convergence of $[A'_i, \alpha'_i, \beta'_i]$ in $\mathcal{M}^*$. Hence, the map $\mathcal{M}_{hol} \to \mathcal{M}^*$ is continuous. The convergence of f_i can be established using methods of [Doa]. Indeed, functions f_i all satisfy a p.d.e. similar to (3.16) with coefficients P_i, Q_i, and w_i converging in $C^\infty(\Sigma)$. It follows from the proof of [Doa, Proposition 3.1] that there is a C^k bound for f_i, independent of i, for every k. Therefore, the Arzela-Ascoli theorem guarantees the existence of a subsequence of f_i converging in $C^\infty(\Sigma)$ □

Finally we prove the main result of this subsection.

Proof of Theorem 3.12. We have already shown that there is a homeomorphism $\mathcal{M}^* \to \mathcal{M}_{hol}$. It remains to compare the deformation theories of the two moduli spaces. We do it in two steps.

The first step is to show an isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}^*$ with the moduli space of solutions to (3.12), that is the space of $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$-orbits of triples

$$(A, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, L) \times \Gamma(\Sigma, E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}) \times \Gamma(\Sigma, E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2})$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{AB}\alpha = 0, \\ \overline{\partial}_{AB}\beta = 0, \\ \alpha\beta = 0, \\ i * F_A + |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 - i * \eta = 0 \end{cases}$$

and $(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$. Denote this moduli space by $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$. We endow $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ with a real analytic structure using local Kuranishi models. (As in the case of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ one has to introduce an extra term $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma, i\mathbb{R})$ to make the equations elliptic. It is not important for the purpose of this proof because analogues of Lemmas 2.5 and 2.12 hold.) Let $\mathcal{C}^*_\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{C}^*$ be the spaces of irreducible configurations (A, Ψ) over Σ and Y respectively. It follows from Theorem 3.9 that the natural inclusion

$$\mathcal{B}^*_\Sigma = \mathcal{C}^*_\Sigma/\mathcal{G}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^*/\mathcal{G}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{B}^*$$

induces a homeomorphism $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma \to \mathcal{M}^*$. The Seiberg-Witten moduli space $\mathcal{M}^*$ is, at least locally, given as the zero set of a Fredholm section s of a bundle over $\mathcal{B}^*$. On the other hand, the restriction of s to $\mathcal{B}^*_\Sigma$ gives the Fredholm section defining $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$. In order to show that the real analytic structure on $\mathcal{M}^*$ and $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ are isomorphic it is enough to prove what follows. For any point $[A, \Psi] \in \mathcal{M}_\Sigma = \mathcal{M}^*$ we have

$$H^1_{A,\Psi} = \ker ds_{(A,\Psi)} = \ker d(s\big|_{\mathcal{B}^*_\Sigma})_{(A,\Psi)}.$$

The corresponding equality of cokernels follows then from the natural isomorphism between $H^1_{A,\Psi}$ and $H^2_{A,\Psi}$ (and likewise for the equations over Σ).

The equality above is essentially the linearised version of Theorem 3.9. Let (A, Ψ) be a circle-invariant solution. According to Lemma 2.12, the deformation space $H^1_{A,\Psi}$ is the kernel of $S_{A,\Psi} \oplus G^*_{A,\Psi}$, where $S_{A,\Psi}$ is the linearisation of the equations (ignoring the extra term f) and $G_{A,\Psi}$ the infinitesimal gauge group action. See subsection 2.3 for more details. Using Lemma 3.7 and the notation of subsection 3.1, we identify $H^1_{A,\Psi}$ with the space of pairs

$$(a(t) + b(t)dt, \phi(t)) \in \Gamma(S^1 \times \Sigma, \Lambda^1(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Lambda^0(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus (E^* \otimes S \otimes L))$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} i\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} + b\Psi\right) + \sqrt{2}\sigma\left(\partial_{AB}\phi + a^{1,0}\Psi\right) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial a^{1,0}}{\partial t} + \partial b - i\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Psi, \phi) = 0, \\ *da + 2\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Psi, \phi) = 0, \\ -d^*a - \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle\Psi, \phi\rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

We aim to show that any solution $(a + bdt, \phi)$ to these equations must satisfy

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0, \quad b = 0.$$

This is done in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.9. First, we apply $\partial/\partial t$ to the first two equations. Then we use the equations to get rid of all the terms involving t-derivatives, namely $\partial\phi/\partial t$, $\partial b/\partial t$, and $\partial a^{1,0}/\partial t$. This results in the equalities

$$-\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial t^2} + 2\partial^*_{AB}\partial_{AB}\phi - i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle\Psi, \phi\rangle\Psi + d^*a \cdot \Psi + 2(\partial^* a^{1,0})\Psi + \sqrt{2}\sigma\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Psi, \phi)\Psi = 0,$$

$$-\frac{\partial^2 a^{1,0}}{\partial t^2} + \partial\partial^* a^{1,0} + i\partial\,\mathrm{Im}\langle\Psi, \phi\rangle + \sqrt{2}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Psi, \sigma\partial\phi) + \sqrt{2}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Psi, \sigma a^{1,0}\Psi) = 0.$$

Take the real L^2-product of the first equation with ϕ and the second equation with $a^{1,0}$. Integrating by parts as in the proof of Theorem 3.9 we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2} + \left\|\frac{\partial a}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2} + 2\left\|\partial_{AB}\phi + a^{1,0}\Psi\right\|^2_{L^2} + \|-d^*a + i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle\Psi,\phi\rangle\|^2_{L^2} + \sqrt{2}\,\|\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Psi,\phi)\|^2_{L^2} = 0.$$

We have used identity (3.3) to relate $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the inner product. Thus, we have proved that $b = 0$, ϕ and a are pulled-back from Σ and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{AB}\phi + a^{1,0}\Psi = 0, \\ \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\Psi,\phi) = 0, \\ *da + 2\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Psi,\phi) = 0, \\ -d^*a - i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle\Psi,\phi\rangle = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

Recall that with the conventions of subsection 3.1 we identify $\overline{\Psi}$ with a pair (α,β). After conjugation equations (3.17) translate to the following equations for a and $\overline{\phi} = (u,v)$

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{AB}u + a^{0,1}\alpha = 0, \\ \overline{\partial}_{AB}v - a^{0,1}\beta = 0, \\ \alpha v + u\beta = 0, \\ *ida + 2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle\alpha,u\rangle - 2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle\beta,v\rangle = 0, \\ -d^*a - i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle\alpha,u\rangle - i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle\beta,v\rangle = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

The set of equations above is exactly the linearisation of (3.12) together with the Coulomb gauge fixing condition over Σ. We conclude that the deformation space of $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ at $[A,\Psi]$ is isomorphic to $H^1_{A,\Psi}$ via the natural inclusion. This shows that $\mathcal{M}^*$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ as real analytic spaces.

The second step is to show an isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ as real analytic spaces. The proof is similar to that of [FM1, Theorem 2.6], so we only outline the argument. As before, the main point is to show that there is a natural isomorphism of the deformation spaces for $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$. The former is given by (3.18), whereas the latter consists of solutions to the first three equations together with a choice of a local slice for the action of the complexified gauge group $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$. The Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$ splits into the direct sum of $C^\infty(\Sigma,\mathbb{R})$ and the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$. Under this splitting, we can choose a slice of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$-action imposing the standard Coulomb gauge condition for $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$, which is the last equation of (3.18), together with a choice of a slice for the action of $C^\infty(\Sigma,\mathbb{R})$:

$$e^f(A,\alpha,\beta) = (A + \partial f - \overline{\partial} f, e^f\alpha, e^{-f}\beta).$$

The linearisation of this action at (A,α,β) is

$$f \mapsto (-\overline{\partial} f + \partial f, f\alpha, -f\beta). \tag{3.19}$$

A local slice for the action of $C^\infty(\Sigma,\mathbb{R})$ can be obtained from any subspace of

$$\Omega^1(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Gamma(E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}) \oplus \Gamma(E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2})$$

which is complementary to the image of (3.19). Therefore, to show that the deformation spaces of $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ are naturally isomorphic it is enough to prove that the subspace given by the equation

$$i * da + 2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle\alpha,u\rangle - 2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle\beta,v\rangle = 0$$

is complementary to the image of (3.19). In other words, we need to know that for any triple (a, u, v) there is a unique function $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= i * d(a - \overline{\partial} f + \partial f) + 2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle \alpha, u + f\alpha\rangle - 2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle \beta, v - f\beta\rangle \\ &= \left\{\Delta + 2(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)\right\} f + i * da + 2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle \alpha, u\rangle - 2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle \beta, v\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This is true because $(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ and so the operator $\Delta + 2(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)$ is invertible on $C^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$. In the same way as in [FM1, Theorem 2.6] we conclude that equations (3.18) provide a local Fredholm model for both $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ and so the two spaces have isomorphic analytic structures. □

3.3. **Compactifications.** In this subsection we show that the homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}^* \cong \mathcal{M}_{hol}$$

extends to a homeomorphism between natural compactifications of the moduli spaces. We assume from now on that $d - \tau < 0$, so in particular there are no reducibles and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^*$. The discussion can be easily extended to the other cases.

The holomorphic moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ has an obvious compactification analogous to the one described in [BW]. Consider the subspace $S \subset \mathcal{C}_\Sigma \times \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$S = \{(A, \alpha, \beta, t) \mid (A, \alpha, \beta) \text{ satisfies equations (3.13)}, \alpha \neq 0, \text{ and } (\beta, t) \neq (0, 0)\}.$$

The complexified gauge group $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma) \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ acts freely on S by the standard action of the first factor on $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$ and

$$\lambda(A, \alpha, \beta, t) = (A, \alpha, \lambda\beta, \lambda t) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Definition 3.20. We define $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$ to be the quotient of S by $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma) \times \mathbb{C}^\times$.

An obvious analogy is the compactification of the affine space $\mathbb{C}^N$ by its projectivisation $\mathbb{CP}^N$, which is the quotient of $(\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ by the standard free action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$. In fact, $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$ is obtained by fibrewise projectivisation. In order to see this, we consider the following subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$.

Definition 3.21. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ consisting of triples of the form $(A, \alpha, 0)$. In other words, $\mathcal{N}$ is the space of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$-orbits of pairs (A, α) satisfying $\overline{\partial}_{AB}\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$.

Remark 3.22. As $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$, the space $\mathcal{N}$ depends on the degree $d = \deg L$, the conformal structure on Σ, and the background holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E}_B$. (We assume here that τ is so that $d - \tau < 0$, otherwise we should replace the condition $\alpha \neq 0$ by $\beta \neq 0$.)

We will see momentarily that $\mathcal{N}$ is compact. The natural projection $(A, \alpha, \beta) \mapsto (A, \alpha)$ induces a surjective map $\pi\colon \mathcal{M}_{hol} \to \mathcal{N}$. Let $[A, \alpha] \in \mathcal{N}$ and denote by $\mathcal{L}_A$ the holomorphic structure on L induced by A. Then the fibre of $\pi^{-1}([A, \alpha])$ can be identified with the kernel of the homomorphism

$$H^0(\Sigma, \mathcal{E}_B^* \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \otimes K^{1/2}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^0(\Sigma, K)$$

given by pairing with α. The compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$ simply replaces each fibre $\ker \alpha$, which is a vector space, with the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\ker \alpha \oplus \mathbb{C})$ containing it.

Proposition 3.23. *The space $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$ is metrisable, compact, and contains $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ as an open dense subset. Moreover, the complex analytic structure on $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ extends to a complex analytic structure on $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$ with respect to which $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is Zariski open.*

Proof. There is an obvious metric on S. Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$ is Hausdorff, it is also metrisable as a quotient of S. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is naturally identified with the subspace of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$ given by $\{[A,\alpha,\beta,t] \mid t \neq 0\}$. It is open and dense in the same way as the affine space $\mathbb{C}^N$ is open and dense in $\mathbb{CP}^N$.

In order to show that $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$ is compact, consider a sequence of points $[A_i,\alpha_i,\beta_i,t_i]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$. We need to argue that there is a sequence of $h_i \in \mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that after passing to a subsequence $h_i\lambda_i(A_i,\alpha_i,\beta_i,t_i)$ converges smoothly in S. This is proved in [Doa, proof of Theorem 1.4], so we only outline the argument. First, choose h_i so that the connections $h_i(A_i)$ converge. This is possible because the quotient $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)/\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$ is homeomorphic to the Jacobian torus of Σ, which is compact. Recall that $\alpha_i \neq 0$. Thus, after altering h_i by a sequence of constant maps, which act trivially on connections, we can assume that $\|h_i\alpha_i\|_{L^2} = 1$. Then it follows from the convergence of $h_i(A_i)$ and the elliptic estimate for the operators $\overline{\partial}_{h_i(A_i)}$ that after passing to a subsequence $h_i\alpha_i$ converges smoothly. Hence, after renaming and renumbering the original sequence we may assume that (A_i,α_i) converges. It remains to find λ_i so that $(\lambda_i\beta_i,\lambda_i t_i)$ is convergent as well. If infinitely many of t_i are zero, set $\lambda_i = \|\beta_i\|_{L^2}^{-1}$. Then $\|\lambda_i\beta_i\|_{L^2} = 1$ and again by elliptic regularity $\lambda_i\beta_i$ converges smoothly after passing to a subsequence. On the other hand, if $t_i \neq 0$ for all i, then we may as well assume that $t_i = 1$. Then we take $\lambda_i = 1$ if the sequence of norms $\|\beta_i\|_{L^2}$ is bounded, or $\lambda_i = \|\beta_i\|_{L^2}^{-1}$ otherwise. In the first situation a subsequence of $(\lambda_i\beta_i,\lambda_i)$ converges to a pair $(\beta,0)$ with $\beta \neq 0$ and in the second one to $(\beta,1)$ with no constraint on β. In both cases the limiting configuration lies in S. Therefore, we have shown that a subsequence of $[A_i,\alpha_i,\beta_i,t_i]$ converges in $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$, which proves the compactness.

The complex analytic structure on $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is induced by the construction of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ as the zero set of a Fredholm section. Let $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma^* \subset \mathcal{C}_\Sigma$ be the subset of configurations (A,α,β) with $\alpha \neq 0$. The group $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$ acts freely on this space with quotient $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^*$ which is an infinite-dimensional complex Banach manifold. Then there is a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{B}_\Sigma^*$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is given as the zero set of a holomorphic Fredholm section $\mathcal{S}\colon \mathcal{B}_\Sigma^* \to \mathcal{W}$. (This is analogous to the construction of $\mathcal{M}$ in subsection 2.3.) As explained in [FM1, sections 4.1.3-4.1.4] in a situation like the one just described the zero set of $\mathcal{S}$ carries a natural complex analytic structure. With this background, it is easy to extend the complex analytic structure to $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$. Replace $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma^*$ by the subspace of $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma \times \mathbb{C}$ consisting of those quadruples (A,α,β,t) for which $\alpha \neq 0$ and $(\beta,t) \neq (0,0)$. Likewise, instead of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$ we consider the group $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma) \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Denote the quotient by $\overline{\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^*}$. It contains $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^*$ as an open subset. The vector bundle $\mathcal{W}$ is replaced by the quotient of the total space of $\mathcal{W} \times \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ by the lifted action of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma) \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. We still have a Fredholm section $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ extending $\mathcal{S}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$ is its zero set. When restricted to the open subset $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^*$, this reduces to the description of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ sketched above, so the inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{hol} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$ is compatible with the induced analytic structures. The difference $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is the intersection of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(0)$ with the analytic subset of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^*} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\Sigma^*$ given by the condition $t = 0$ for an equivalence class $[A,\alpha,\beta,t]$. We conclude that $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is an analytic subset of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$, and so $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is Zariski open. □

Corollary 3.24. *The subspace $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is compact. Furthermore, $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is compact if and only if $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}_{hol}$.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{N}$ consists of those equivalence classes $[A,\alpha,\beta]$ for which $\beta = 0$. The compactness of $\mathcal{N}$ follows immediately from the proof of Proposition 3.23. In particular, if $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}_{hol}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is compact. To prove the converse statement, observe that the

compactifying divisor $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ consists of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma) \times \mathbb{C}^\times$-orbits of the form $[A, \alpha, \beta, 0]$ with $\beta \neq 0$. Thus, if the complement $\mathcal{M}_{hol} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ is non-empty, every its element gives rise to an element of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is dense in $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$, so if $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is non-empty, then $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ cannot be compact.

□

Next, we construct a gauge-theoretic compactification of $\mathcal{M}$. For an arbitrary 3-manifold such a compactification is yet to be defined. The main technical issue is the formation of singularities described in subsection 2.6. One would wish to form the moduli space of Fueter sections with singularities. This is closely related to the work of Takahashi [Tak], but more needs to be done when the singular set is not a smooth submanifold. Another problem is the convergence of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles to a Fueter section. So far it has only been shown in weak Sobolev norms, whereas for a meaningful compactification we need strong convergence (or equivalently C^∞_{loc}). In the case $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ we can overcome these obstacles thanks to the result below.

Remark 3.25. For the rest of this section we make an assumption that E is an $SU(2)$-bundle. In this case the description of Fueter section simplifies [HW, Proposition A.3], [Hay3], [Doa]. The discussion below should easily generalise to the higher rank case.

Theorem 3.26 ([Doa])**.** *Suppose that $(A_i, \Psi_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i))$ is a sequence of solutions to (3.12) such that $\|\Psi_i\|_{L^2}$ diverges to infinity. Then there exists a finite subset $D = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\} \subset \Sigma$ such that after applying gauge transformations from $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$ and passing to a subsequence $(A_i, \Psi_i/\|\Psi_i\|_{L^2})$ converges smoothly outside D. The limiting configuration $(A, \Psi = (\alpha, \beta))$ on $\Sigma \setminus D$ satisfies the following conditions.*

- $\|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1$ *and* $|\Psi| > 0$ *on* $\Sigma \setminus D$,
- $\overline{\partial}_{AB}\alpha = 0$, $\overline{\partial}_{AB}\beta = 0$, $\alpha\beta = 0$, *and* $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ *on* $\Sigma \setminus D$.
- A *is flat on* $\Sigma \setminus D$ *and has holonomy contained in* $\mathbb{Z}_2$.
- *There are non-zero integers* $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ *such that* $\sum_{k=1}^N a_k = 2d$ *and*

$$*\frac{i}{2\pi} F_{A_i} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \delta_{x_k}$$

  in the sense of measures.
- *For each* $k = 1, \ldots, N$ *we have*

$$|\Psi(x)| = O(\mathrm{dist}(x_k, x)^{|a_k|/2}).$$

In order to compactify the moduli space of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles we have to define the moduli space of the limiting data from Theorem 3.26. Two collections of such data will correspond to the same point in the moduli space if they differ by a gauge equivalence of the following type.

Definition 3.27. Let $D \subset \Sigma$ be a finite set. We say that a gauge transformation in $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma \setminus D)$ is *simple* if it has degree zero around each point of D. We call a complex gauge transformation in $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma \setminus D)$ simple if its unitary part is simple. We denote by $\mathcal{G}_0(\Sigma \setminus D)$ and $\mathcal{G}_0^c(\Sigma \setminus D)$ the subgroups of simple gauge transformations.

The limiting data from Theorem 3.26 involves a measure concentrated at D. In fact, any flat connection on $\Sigma \setminus D$ gives rise to such a measure.

Definition 3.28. Let $D \subset \Sigma$ be a finite subset. To a flat connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma \setminus D, L)$ we associate a real measure $i * F_A$ on Σ as follows. For a point $x \in D$ choose a small disc $B \subset \Sigma$ centred at x and not containing other points of D. In a unitary trivialisation of $L\big|_B$ we have $A = d + a$ for a one-form $a \in \Omega^1(B \setminus \{x\}, i\mathbb{R})$. Then we denote

$$q_x = \int_{\partial B} ia.$$

The measure $i * F_A$ is defined by

$$i * F_A = \sum_{x \in D} q_x \delta_x.$$

In other words, for any continuous function $f \colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ we have by definition

$$\int_\Sigma (i * F_A) f = \sum_{x \in D} q_x f(x).$$

Lemma 3.29. *The measure $i * F_A$ is well-defined and depends only on the simple gauge equivalence class of the flat connection A.*

Proof. First we need to check that the coefficient q_x does not depend on the choice of B and a local trivialisation of $L\big|_B$. Let B' be any other small disc centred at x. Then the circles ∂B and $\partial B'$ are homotopic in $\Sigma \setminus D$ and there is a smooth map from the annulus $A = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 1 \le |z| \le 2\}$ to $\Sigma \setminus D$ which restricted to the boundary $\{|z| = 1\}$ and $\{|z| = 2\}$ gives the embeddings of ∂B and $\partial B'$ respectively. Since A is flat on $\Sigma \setminus D$, Stokes' theorem yields

$$\int_{\partial B} ia - \int_{\partial B'} ia = \int_A ida = \int_A iF_A = 0,$$

which shows that q_x does not depend on the choice of B.

Let $u \colon B \setminus \{x\} \to S^1$ be a gauge transformation of degree zero around x. Lifting u to the universal cover $\mathbb{R} \to S^1$ we find a map $v \colon B \setminus \{x\} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = e^{iv}$. Then the connection $u(A)$ is represented on $B \setminus \{x\}$ by the one-form

$$a' = a - u^{-1} du = a - idv.$$

By Stokes' theorem,

$$\int_{\partial B} ia - \int_{\partial B} ia' = -\int_{\partial B} dv = 0.$$

In particular, q_x does not depend on the choice of a trivialisation of $L\big|_B$. Since any simple gauge transformation $u \in \mathcal{G}_0(\Sigma \setminus D)$ is locally of the form as above, the measure $i * F_A$ does not change when we replace A by $u(A)$. □

After these preliminary remarks we introduce the moduli space that will be used to compactify $\mathcal{M}$. It consists of circle-invariant Fueter sections subject to additional conditions inspired by Theorem 3.26.

Definition 3.30. Let (A, Ψ, D) be a triple comprising of a finite subset $D = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ of *Sigma*, a connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma \setminus D, L)$, and a pair $\Psi = (\alpha, \beta)$ of nowhere-vanishing sections $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Sigma \setminus D, E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2})$ and $\beta \in \Gamma(\Sigma \setminus D, E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2})$. We call (A, Ψ, D) a *limiting configuration* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1$,
- $\overline{\partial}_{AB}\alpha = 0$, $\overline{\partial}_{AB}\beta = 0$, $\alpha\beta = 0$, and $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ on $\Sigma \setminus D$.

- A is flat on $\Sigma \setminus D$ and has holonomy contained in $\mathbb{Z}_2$.
- There are non-zero integers $a_1, \dots, a_N$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^N a_k = 2d$ and

$$*\frac{i}{2\pi} F_A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \delta_{x_k} \quad \text{as measures.}$$

- For each $k = 1, \dots, N$ we have

$$|\Psi(x)| = O(\operatorname{dist}(x_k, x)^{|a_k|/2}).$$

Two limiting configurations (A, Ψ, D) and (A', Ψ', D') are said to be *simple gauge equivalent* if $D = D'$ and there exists $u \in \mathcal{G}_0(\Sigma \setminus D)$ such that $(A', \Psi') = u(A, \Psi)$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the set of simple gauge equivalence classes of limiting configurations.

The space $\mathcal{F}$ can be equipped with a natural topology in which a sequence $[A_i, \Psi_i, D_i]$ converges to $[A, \Psi, D]$ if and only if $i * F_{A_i'} \to i * F_A$ weakly as measures and after applying a sequence of simple gauge transformations in $\mathcal{G}_0(\Sigma \setminus D)$ we have

$$A_i \to A \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Psi_i \to \Psi$$

smoothly over compact subsets of $\Sigma \setminus D$. Such a topology is consistent with the convergence statement of Theorem 3.26. We introduce it by defining bases of local neighbourhoods at each point. For $\epsilon > 0$ and a closed subset $D \subset \Sigma$ denote

$$D_\epsilon = \{x \in \Sigma \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, D) < \epsilon\}.$$

Definition 3.31. Let $[A, \Psi, D]$ be an equivalence class in $\mathcal{F}$. For $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$, an integer $k \geq 0$, and a continuous function $f \colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ we define a subset $V_{\epsilon,\delta,k,f}(A, \Psi, D) \subset \mathcal{F}$ as follows. An equivalence class in $\mathcal{F}$ belongs to $V_{\epsilon,\delta,k,f}(A, \Psi, D)$ if it has a representative (A', Ψ', D') such that

- $D' \subset D_\epsilon$,
- $\|A' - A\|_{C^k(\Sigma \setminus D_\epsilon)} < \delta$,
- $\|\Psi' - \Psi\|_{C^k(\Sigma \setminus D_\epsilon)} < \delta$.
- $\left| \int_\Sigma (i * F_{A'}) f - \int_\Sigma (i * F_A) f \right| < \delta$.

Lemma 3.32. *The family of subsets*

$$\{V_{\epsilon,\delta,k,f}(A, \Psi, D)\}$$

forms a base of a Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Consider two base subsets

$$V_1 = V_{\epsilon_1,\delta_1,k_1,f_1}(A_1, \Psi_1, D_1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad V_2 = V_{\epsilon_2,\delta_2,k_2,f_2}(A_2, \Psi_2, D_2).$$

Suppose that $[A, \Psi, D] \in V_1 \cap V_2$. We want to find ϵ, δ, k, and f such that $V_{\epsilon,\delta,k,f}(A, \Psi, D)$ is contained in $V_1 \cap V_2$. By the definitions of V_1 and V_2 we have $D \subset (D_1)_{\epsilon_1} \cap (D_2)_{\epsilon_2}$. Since this intersection is open there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $D_\epsilon \subset (D_1)_{\epsilon_1} \cap (D_2)_{\epsilon_2}$. Set $\delta < \min\{\delta_1/2, \delta_2/2\}$, $k = \max\{k_1, k_2\}$, and $f = f_1 + f_2$. Then it follows from the triangle inequality that $V_{\epsilon,\delta,k,f}(A, \Psi, D) \subset V_1 \cap V_2$. This proves that the family in question forms a base of a topology on $\mathcal{F}$.

It remains to check the Hausdorff condition. Suppose that $[A_1, \Psi_1, D_1]$ and $[A_2, \Psi_2, D_2]$ are two points in $\mathcal{F}$ whose all open neighbourhoods intersect. By considering base neighbourhoods

with $\epsilon \to 0$, $\delta \to 0$, and $k \to \infty$ we see that $D_1 = D_2$ and there exists a sequence (A_i, Ψ_i, D_i) and $u_i, v_i \in \mathcal{G}_0(\Sigma \setminus D_1)$ such that

$$D_i \to D_1,$$
$$u_i(A_i, \Psi_i) \longrightarrow (A_1, \Psi_1),$$
$$v_i(A_i, \Psi_i) \longrightarrow (A_2, \Psi_2)$$

in C^∞_{loc} over $\Sigma \setminus D_1$. A standard argument [DK, Proposition 2.3.15] shows that there exist $u, v \in \mathcal{G}(\Sigma \setminus D_1)$ such that $u_i \to u$ and $v_i \to v$ in C^∞_{loc} over $\Sigma \setminus D_1$. By continuity of the degree, u and v have degree zero around points of D_1. Therefore, we have found a gauge transformation $(uv) \in \mathcal{G}_0(\Sigma \setminus D_1)$ mapping (A_1, Ψ_1) to (A_2, Ψ_2). We conclude that $[A_1, \Psi_1, D_1] = [A_2, \Psi_2, D_2]$ and so $\mathcal{F}$ is Hausdorff. □

The next step is to combine the topologies on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ into one topological space. For this purpose it is convenient to identify points of $\mathcal{M}$ with gauge equivalence classes of triples (A, Ψ, t), where $t \in (0, \infty)$, $\|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1$, and

$$\begin{cases} \not{D}_{AB}\Psi = 0, \\ t^2 F_A = \mu(\Psi). \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

The map $(A, \Psi, t) \mapsto (A, t^{-1}\Psi)$ gives a homeomorphism between the space of such classes and the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^*$. Recall that in our setting there are no reducibles so there is no boundary at $t \to \infty$. The boundary at $t \to 0$ is obtained by gluing in the space of limiting configurations.

Definition 3.33. Let $[A, \Psi, D]$ be an equivalence class in $\mathcal{F}$. For $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$, an integer $k \geq 0$, and a continuous function $f \colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ we define an open subset

$$W_{\epsilon,\delta,k,f}(A, \Psi, D) \subset \mathcal{M}$$

as follows. An equivalence class in $\mathcal{M}$ belongs to $W_{\epsilon,\delta,k,f}(A, \Psi, D)$ if it has a representative (A', Ψ', t) satisfying

- $t < \delta$,
- $\|A' - A\|_{C^k(\Sigma \setminus D_\epsilon)} < \delta$,
- $\|\Psi' - \Psi\|_{C^k(\Sigma \setminus D_\epsilon)} < \delta$,
- $\left| \int_\Sigma (i * F_{A'}) f - \int_\Sigma (i * F_A) f \right| < \delta$.

Definition 3.34. We introduce the *compactified moduli space*

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} \coloneqq \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{F}.$$

Consider also subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ of the form

$$\overline{W}_{\epsilon,\delta,k,f}(A, \Psi, D) \coloneqq W_{\epsilon,\delta,k,f}(A, \Psi, D) \cup V_{\epsilon,\delta,k,f}(A, \Psi, D).$$

We can now endow the compactified moduli space with a Hausdorff topology with respect to which $\mathcal{M}$ is an open subset. The proof of the lemma below is almost the same as that of Lemma 3.32.

Lemma 3.35. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a base of the topology on $\mathcal{M}$. The family of subsets*

$$\left\{ \overline{W}_{\epsilon,\delta,k}(A, \Psi, D) \right\} \cup \mathcal{U}$$

forms a base of a Hausdorff topology on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

The following theorem is the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 3.36. *The isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ extends to a homeomorphism of the compactifications $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$.*

As a consequence of Proposition 3.23 and Theorem 3.36 we obtain some topological information about the compactified moduli space.

Corollary 3.37. *$\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is metrisable, compact, and contains $\mathcal{M}$ as an open dense subset.*

Remark 3.38. It would be interesting to prove Corollary 3.37 directly from the definition of the compactified moduli space. Showing metrisability should be similar to the case of the Uhlenbeck compactification of the instanton moduli space [DK, section 4.4.1]. To prove that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is sequentially compact we use Theorem 3.26. As stated it implies that the closure of $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. In order to show the compactness of $\mathcal{F}$ we need to extend Theorem 3.26 so that it deals with sequences of Fueter sections. Such an extension is implicit in the proofs of Proposition 3.23 and Theorem 3.36. Finally, to show that $\mathcal{M}$ is dense one needs a gluing theorem which would guarantee that any limiting configuration is the limit of a sequence of solutions to (3.12). See [MSWW] for a related result for the Hitchin equations.

The remaining part of the subsection contains the proof of Theorem 3.36. First we prove some auxilliary results. The first one is a holomorphic analogue of the statement that a Fueter section induces a flat connection with holonomy $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Lemma 3.39. *Let (A, α, β) be a solution to (3.13) with $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the holomorphic line bundle $(L, \overline{\partial}_A)$. Let D_1 and D_2 be the zero divisors of α and β respectively, and $\mathcal{O}(D_1 - D_2)$ the holomorphic line bundle associated to their difference. There is a canonical holomorphic isomorphism*

$$\varphi_{\alpha\beta} \colon \mathcal{O}(D_1 - D_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^2.$$

Proof. Recall that α is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}$ and β is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes K^{1/2}$. Since $\alpha\beta = 0$ and the rank of $\mathcal{E}$ is two, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K^{-1/2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K^{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_2) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.21}$$

The associated isomorphism of the determinant line bundles is

$$\mathcal{L}^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_1 - D_2) \cong \det \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O},$$

where the last isomorphism follows from the fact that $\mathcal{E}$ is a holomorphic $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$-bundle. Tensoring both sides with $\mathcal{L}^2$, we obtain the desired isomorphism $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$. It is canonically determined by α and β. □

The lemma below provides an upper bound on the number of components (counted with multiplicities) of the singular set of a limiting configuration.

Lemma 3.40. *There exists $M \geq 0$, depending only on the holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E}$, with the following significance. If $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta)$ is a triple as in Lemma 3.39, then*

$$\deg D_1 + \deg D_2 \leq M.$$

Proof. Tensoring exact sequence (3.21) with $K^{1/2}$ we see that $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_1)$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\mathcal{E} \otimes K^{1/2}$. It is an elementary fact that the degrees of line subbundles of a given holomorphic bundle are bounded above [Muk, Corollary 10.9]. (In fact, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle and $A \subset \mathcal{F}$ a line subbundle, then

$$\deg A \leq h^0(\Sigma, \mathcal{F}) + 2g_\Sigma - 2 \tag{3.22}$$

where g_Σ is the genus of Σ. We will use this bound later.) Thus we have an upper bound for the degree of $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_1)$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{L}^2$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(D_1 - D_2)$, so

$$\deg\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_1)\right) = -\deg \mathcal{L} + \deg D_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\deg D_1 + \deg D_2\right),$$

which proves the lemma. □

The next result will be useful in proving the convergence of measures of limiting configurations.

Lemma 3.41. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, $\gamma > 0$, and $D \subset \Sigma$ a finite subset. Then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ with the following property. Suppose that $D' \subset \Sigma$ is another finite subset, and A and A' are two flat connections over $\Sigma \setminus D$ and $\Sigma \setminus D'$ respectively, satisfying*

- *$D' \subset D_\epsilon$,*
- *$\|A' - A\|_{C^0(\Sigma \setminus D_\epsilon)} < \delta$,*
- *the measures $*iF_A$ and $*iF_{A'}$ have integer weights.*

Then we have

$$\left|\int_\Sigma (i * F_{A'}) f - \int_\Sigma (i * F_A) f\right| \leq \gamma \|i * F_{A'}\|.$$

where $\|i * F_{A'}\|$ is the total variation *of the measure $i * F_{A'}$ given by*

$$\|i * F_{A'}\| = \sum_{x \in D'} |q_x|$$

for $i * F_{A'} = \sum_{x \in D'} q_x \delta_x$.

Proof. Let $D = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ be the integer weights of the measure $i * F_A$ as in Definition 3.28. Suppose that ϵ is small enough so that the discs B_i of radius ϵ centred x_i are pairwise disjoint. Partition the set D' into disjoint subsets $D'_1, \ldots, D'_N$ consisting of points within ϵ-distance from $x_1, \ldots, x_N$ respectively. For each i choose small disjoint discs $E_{i1}, E_{i2}, \ldots$ centred at points of D'_i and contained in B_i. Let $b_{i1}, b_{i2} \ldots$ be the weights of the points in D'_i in the measure $i * F_{A'}$.

In a unitary trivialisation of L over each B_i we have

$$A = d + a \qquad \text{and} \qquad A' = d + a',$$

where one-form a is defined on $B_i \setminus \{x_i\}$ and a' is defined on $B_i \setminus D'_i$. By the hypothesis of the lemma $\|a - a'\|_{C^0(\partial B_i)} < \delta$. Thus, for sufficiently small δ we have

$$\left|a_i - \sum_j b_{ij}\right| = \left|\int_{\partial B_i} ia - \sum_j \int_{\partial E_{ij}} ia'\right| = \left|\int_{\partial B_i} ia - \int_{\partial B_i} ia'\right| < 1.$$

Since all numbers a_i, b_{ij} are integers, so we conclude that

$$a_i = \sum_j b_{ij}.$$

For each i denote the points of D'_i by $\{x_{ij}\}$. Then

$$\left|\int_\Sigma (i * F_{A'})f - \int_\Sigma (i * F_A)f\right| = \left|\sum_i a_i f(x_i) - \sum_{ij} b_{ij} f(x_{ij})\right| \leq \sum_{ij} |b_{ij}|\,|f(x_i) - f(x_{ij})|\,.$$

By the continuity of f we can choose ϵ sufficiently small so that

$$\sup_{x \in B_i} |f(x_i) - f(x)| \leq \gamma$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Then

$$\left|\int_\Sigma (i * F_{A'})f - \int_\Sigma (i * F_A)f\right| \leq \gamma \|i * F_{A'}\|$$

as desired. □

The last lemma allows us to extend a limiting configuration to holomorphic data.

Lemma 3.42. *Let L be a Hermitian line bundle over the unit disc $B \subset \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that A is a unitary connection on $L\big|_{B\setminus\{0\}}$ and φ a section of L over $B \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\overline{\partial}_A \varphi = 0$ and $|\varphi| = 1$. Denote by $\deg \varphi$ the degree of $\varphi\big|_{\partial B}$. Then*

1. *$F_A = 0$ on $B \setminus \{0\}$ and $i * F_A = (2\pi \deg \varphi)\delta_0$ as measures.*
2. *There exists a complex gauge transformation $h\colon B \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that h has degree zero around zero and in some trivialisation of L around zero $h(A)$ is the trivial connection and $h\varphi = z^k$.*

Proof. We have

$$\langle \partial_A \varphi, \varphi\rangle = \langle \partial_A \varphi, \varphi\rangle + \langle \varphi, \overline{\partial}_A \varphi\rangle = \partial |\varphi|^2 = 0,$$

where the angle bracket denotes the inner product on the line bundle factor. Since φ is nowhere vanishing on $B \setminus \{0\}$, it follows that $\partial_A \varphi = 0$ and as a consequence

$$d_A \varphi = \partial_A \varphi + \overline{\partial}_A \varphi = 0.$$

In particular, A is flat, since it admits a non-zero covariantly constant section.

Choose a unitary trivialisation of L. Then $A = d + a$ for a one-form $a \in \Omega^1(D \setminus \{0\}, i\mathbb{R})$. The section φ is identified with a function $\varphi\colon B \setminus \{0\} \to S^1$ satisfying

$$d_A \varphi = d\varphi + a\varphi = 0.$$

Hence, $a = -d \log \varphi$. However, the function $\log \varphi$ is not well-defined on $B \setminus \{0\}$. On the other hand, if we set $k = \deg \varphi$ and $\varphi_0(z) = \exp(ik \arg z)$, then the map $\varphi_0 \varphi^{-1}$ has degree zero and so $\log(\varphi_0 \varphi^{-1})$ is well-defined on $B \setminus \{0\}$. Thus,

$$a = -d \log \varphi = -d \log \varphi_0 - d \log(\varphi_0^{-1} \varphi) = -ikd(\arg z) - d \log(\varphi_0^{-1} \varphi)$$

and by Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{\partial B} \frac{i}{2\pi} a = \int_{\partial B} \frac{k}{2\pi} d(\arg z) = k,$$

which proves that $i * F_A = 2\pi k \delta_0$ as measures.

The computation above shows that after changing (A, φ) by the gauge transformation $u = \varphi_0 \varphi^{-1}$ we may assume that $a = -ikd(\arg z)$ and $\varphi = \exp(ik \arg z)$. Note that u has

degree zero. Then we define the complex gauge transformation $h(z) = |z|^k$. It it easy to see that $h(A)$ is the trivial connection and $h\varphi = z^k$. □

The next statement is the main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 3.36.

Proposition 3.43. *The spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are homeomorphic.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{X} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$. We will construct a continuous bijection $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{F}$. Since the domain is compact by Proposition 3.23 and the target space is Hausdorff by Lemma 3.32, such a map is necessarily a homeomorphism.

We want to associate a limiting configuration in $\mathcal{F}$ to every equivalence class $[A, \alpha, \beta, t]$ in $\mathcal{X}$. We follow the construction from [Doa]. By the definition of $\mathcal{X}$ we have $t = 0$, $\alpha \neq 0$, $\beta \neq 0$, and equations (3.13) are satisfied. Let D_1 and D_2 be the zero divisors of the holomorphic sections α and β respectively. We will interchangeably look at them as divisors or subsets of Σ. Let $D = D_1 \cup D_2$. We claim that there is a simple complex gauge transformation $h \in \mathcal{G}_0^c(\Sigma \setminus D)$ such that $(hA, h\alpha, h^{-1}\beta)$ is a limiting configuration. A necessary condition is

$$|h\alpha| = |h^{-1}\beta| \quad \text{on } \Sigma \setminus D. \tag{3.23}$$

A transformation satisfying (3.23) exists since α and β are both non-zero on $\Sigma \setminus D$ and we can set $h = \sqrt{|\beta|/|\alpha|}$. In fact, any other choice of h will differ from that one by an element of $\mathcal{G}_0(\Sigma \setminus D)$.

Set $(A', \alpha', \beta') = (h(A), h\alpha, h^{-1}\beta)$ and $\Psi' = (\alpha', \beta')$. Our goal is to show that (A', α', β') represents a class in $\mathcal{F}$. The Fueter equations are clearly satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{A'B}\alpha' = 0, \\ \overline{\partial}_{A'B}\beta' = 0, \\ |\alpha'| = |\beta'|. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have

$$|\Psi'| = \sqrt{|\alpha'|^2 + |\beta'|^2} = \sqrt{|h|^2|\alpha|^2 + |h|^{-2}|\beta|^2} = \sqrt{2|\alpha||\beta|}.$$

Integrating over Σ yields

$$\|\Psi'\|_{L^2} = \sqrt{\int_\Sigma 2|\alpha||\beta|}.$$

Therefore, after rescaling β we can also assume that $\|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1$. Such a rescaling corresponds to the action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ and does not change the class of $[A, \alpha, \beta, 0]$ in $\mathcal{X}$. We also see that $|\Psi| > 0$ on $\Sigma \setminus D$ and in a neighbourhood of every $x \in D$

$$|\Psi(y)| = O\left(\operatorname{dist}(y, x)^{\frac{\operatorname{ord}_x(\alpha) + \operatorname{ord}_x(\beta)}{2}}\right), \tag{3.24}$$

where $\operatorname{ord}_x(\alpha)$ and $\operatorname{ord}_x(\beta)$ denote the order of vanishing of α and β at x.

To see that (A', α', β') is a limiting configuration it remains to prove that A' is flat and the measure $i * F_{A'}$ is as in Definition 3.30. Let $\varphi_{\alpha\beta} \colon \mathcal{O}(D_1 - D_2) \to L^2$ be the A-holomorphic isomorphism from Lemma 3.39. The construction of Lemma 3.39 is local, so we can also define an analogous map $\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}$ corresponding to sections α' and β'. Since they are both nowhere vanishing, $\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}$ is an A'-holomorphic isomorphism of the trivial bundle over $\Sigma \setminus D$ and $L^2\big|_{\Sigma\setminus D}$. In other words, $\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}$ is a nowhere vanishing A'-holomorphic section of L^2 over $\Sigma \setminus D$. Moreover, following the construction of $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}$ we easily see that on $\Sigma \setminus D$

$$|\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}| = \frac{|\alpha'|}{|\beta'|} = 1.$$

and

$$\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'} = h^2 \varphi_{\alpha\beta}$$

By Lemma 3.42 the first equality implies that the tensor product connection $A \otimes A$ on L^2 is flat. Therefore, A itself is flat and for every $x \in D$ the weight of the measure $i/2\pi * F_A$ at x is equal to half of the degree of $\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}$ around x.

On the other hand, h has degree zero around each point $x \in D$. Thus, it follows from the second equality that the degrees of $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}$ around x agree. Denote this degree by $q_x \in \mathbb{Z}$. The section $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ is globally defined and its zero divisor is $D_1 - D_2$, so

$$\sum_{x \in D} q_x = \deg D_1 - \deg D_2 = \deg(L^2) = 2d.$$

Finally, observe that for every $x \in D$ we have

$$q_x = \operatorname{ord}_x(\alpha) - \operatorname{ord}_x(\beta) \leq \operatorname{ord}_x(\alpha) + \operatorname{ord}_x(\beta).$$

Together with (3.24) this shows that (A', α', β', D) satisfies all the conditions for a limiting configuration.

To sum up, to every triple $(A, \alpha, \beta, 0)$ representing an element of $\mathcal{X}$ we have associated a limiting configuration (A', α', β', D). It is easy to check that if we replace $(A, \alpha, \beta, 0)$ by a different quadruple in the same orbit of the $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma) \times \mathbb{C}^\times$-action, then the resulting limiting configurations are simple gauge-equivalent. Thus, the association $(A, \alpha, \beta, 0) \mapsto (A', \alpha', \beta', D)$ descends to a map $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{F}$. It remains to show that this map is bijective and continuous.

First, we prove injectivity. Suppose that two sets of holomorphic data $(A_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1, 0)$ and $(A_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2, 0)$ give rise to limiting configurations that are simple gauge equivalent. In particular they have the same singular set, D say. Suppose that β_1 and β_2 are scaled so that

$$\int_\Sigma 2|\alpha_1||\beta_1| = \int_\Sigma 2|\alpha_2||\beta_2| = 1.$$

Then, composing the simple gauge equivalence of the limiting configurations with complex gauge transformations satisfying (3.23) we obtain an element $t \in \mathcal{G}_0^c(\Sigma \setminus D)$ such that on $\Sigma \setminus D$ we have

$$A_2 = t(A_1), \quad \alpha_2 = t\alpha_1, \quad \beta_2 = t^{-1}\beta_1, \quad \varphi_{\alpha_2\beta_2} = t^2 \varphi_{\alpha_1\beta_1}.$$

Even though t is not defined at the points of D, the holomorphic data is. In particular, $\varphi_{\alpha_1\beta_1}$ and $\varphi_{\alpha_2\beta_2}$ have zeroes at every point of D. Moreover, the zeroes are of the same order – this is equivalent to the measures of the corresponding limiting configurations being equal. We conclude that t is bounded around D. Since it is also (A_1, A_2)-holomorphic it extends to a holomorphic isomorphism between $(L, \overline{\partial}_{A_1})$ and $(L, \overline{\partial}_{A_2})$. This shows that $(A_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1, 0)$ and $(A_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2, 0)$ give rise to the same point in $\mathcal{X}$ and the map $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{F}$ is injective.

Next, we prove surjectivity. Let $(A', \Psi' = (\alpha', \beta'), D)$ be a limiting configuration. We need to find $h \in \mathcal{G}_0^c(\Sigma \setminus D)$ such that the triple $(A, \alpha, \beta) \coloneqq (h(A'), h\alpha', h^{-1}\beta')$ extends smoothly to the whole of Σ. Furthermore, we should have $D = D_1 \cup D_2$ where D_1 and D_2 are the zero divisors of α and β respectively.

Let $\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'} \in \Gamma(\Sigma \setminus D, L^2)$ be as before. Then $\overline{\partial}_{A'} \varphi_{\alpha'\beta'} = 0$ and $|\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}| = 1$ on $\Sigma \setminus D$. We apply Lemma 3.42 to the connection $A' \otimes A'$ and section $\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}$. Thus, there exists a map $k \in \mathcal{G}_0^c(\Sigma \setminus D, L)$ such that $C \coloneqq k(A' \otimes A')$ extends to a connection on a line bundle $T \to \Sigma$ (possibly of a different topological type than L^2) and $k\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}$ extends to a *meromorphic section* of $(T, \overline{\partial}_C)$. We claim that T is in fact isomorphic as unitary bundles to L^2, that $k = h^2$ for some $h \in \mathcal{G}_0^c(\Sigma \setminus D)$, and that $C = A \otimes A$ for $A = h(A')$. This follows from the assumption on

the measure $i * F_{A'}$ induced by the limiting configuration (A', α', β', D). Indeed, by Lemma 3.42 for every point $x \in D$ the meromorphic section $h^2\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}$ vanishes to the order q_x defined by

$$\frac{i}{2\pi}F_{A'} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\in D} q_x\delta_x.$$

(When $q_x < 0$ the point x is a pole of order $|q_x|$). The claim is then a consequence of the assumption $\sum_{x\in D} q_x = 2d = \deg(L^2)$ and the fact that k has degree zero around each point of D.

We know so far that $A = h(A')$ extends. We still need to show that also $\alpha = h\alpha'$ and $\beta = h^{-1}\beta'$ extend. Observe that

$$|\alpha||\beta| = |\alpha'||\beta'| = \frac{1}{2}|\Psi'|^2,$$

where we have used the Fueter equation $|\alpha'| = |\beta'|$ and $\Psi' = (\alpha', \beta')$. As a consequence, for every $x \in D$

$$|\alpha(y)||\beta(y)| = O\left(\operatorname{dist}(x,y)^{|q_x|}\right).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{|\alpha|}{|\beta|} = |h^2|\frac{|\alpha'|}{|\beta'|} = \left|h^2\varphi_{\alpha'\beta'}\right|,$$

so around $x \in D$

$$\frac{|\alpha(y)|}{|\beta(y)|} = O\left(\operatorname{dist}(x,y)^{q_x}\right).$$

We conclude that

$$|\alpha(y)| = O\left(\operatorname{dist}(x,y)^{\frac{|q_x|+q_x}{2}}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad |\beta(y)| = O\left(\operatorname{dist}(x,y)^{\frac{|q_x|-q_x}{2}}\right),$$

which shows that both α and β are bounded over $\Sigma\setminus D$. Since they are also holomorphic, they extend to globally defined sections. We therefore get a holomorphic configuration $(A, \alpha, \beta, 0)$ representing a point in $\mathcal{X}$ and corresponding to the given limiting configuration (A', α', β', D). This proves surjectivity of the map $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{F}$.

The final step is to show that $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{F}$ is continuous. Suppose that (A_i, α_i, β_i) is sequence of holomorphic configurations converging smoothly to (A, α, β). Let $(A_i', \alpha_i', \beta_i', D_i)$ and (A', α', β', D) be the corresponding limiting configurations. Continuity will follow if we prove that $(A_i', \alpha_i', \beta_i', D_i)$ converges to (A', α', β', D) as limiting configurations. We easily check that the points of D_i concentrate around D and modulo simple gauge transformations

$$A_i' \to A', \qquad \alpha_i' \to \alpha', \qquad \beta_i' \to \beta'$$

smoothly over compact subsets of $\Sigma\setminus D$. In order to guarantee that $(A_i', \alpha_i', \beta_i', D_i)$ converges to (A, α, β, D) in the sense of limiting configurations we need to show also the weak convergence of measures $i * F_{A_i'} \to i * F_{A'}$. By Lemma 3.41 it is a consequence of what we have already proved provided that the sequence of total variations $\|i * F_{A_i'}\|$ is bounded independently of i. The total variation $\|i * F_{A_i'}\|$ is up to a constant equal to the degree of the divisor $D_1^i + D_2^i$, where D_1^i and D_2^i are the zero divisors of α_i and β_i respectively. By Lemma 3.40 this degree is bounded above. We have therefore proved that the map $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{F}$ is continuous. □

Proof of Theorem 3.36. We construct a bijective map $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ from the homeomorphisms $\mathcal{M}_{hol} \to \mathcal{M}$ from Theorem 3.12 and $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol} \to \mathcal{F}$ from Proposition 3.43. We already know that this map is continuous when restricted to $\mathcal{M}$ and its complement. In order to obtain the desired homeomorphism we need to show that the two maps glue continuously. Indeed, the domain $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$ is compact by Proposition 3.23 and the target space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is Hausdorff by Lemma 3.35, so any continous bijection between them is necessarily a homeomorphism.

To prove continuity we need to show what follows. Let $(A_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i, t_i)$ be a sequence representing points in $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ and (A_i', Ψ_i', t_i') the corresponding sequence of solutions to the scaled equations (3.20). Suppose that $t_i \to 0$ and (A_i, α_i, β_i) converges smoothly to (A, α, β) with $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$. This limit represents an element of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ and thus corresponds to a limiting configuration (A', Ψ', D). We need to show that after applying gauge transformations the sequence of Seiberg-Witten solutions (A_i', Ψ_i', t_i') converges (with measures and so forth) to a limiting configuration which is simple gauge-equivalent to (A', Ψ', D). The convergence statement is precisely the content of Theorem 3.26. That the limiting configuration is simple gauge-equivalent to (A', Ψ', D) follows from Proposition 3.43. This finishes the proof that the map $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a homeomorphism. □

3.4. **Fueter sections.** We are still in the setup of the previous subsection, that is $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ with the product metric g, and the background bundle E and connection B are pulled back from Σ. In this subsection we show that for a generic choice of such circle-invariant B there do not exist Fueter sections in the sense of Definition 2.25. Recall that the non-existence of Fueter sections is a crucial assumption in Theorem 2.37 which allows us to count Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles.

Theorem 3.44. *Fix a product Riemannian metric g on $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$. There exists a subset $\mathcal{A}(g) \subset \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ of the second Baire category such that for every $B \in \mathcal{A}(g)$ there exist no (g, B)-Fueter sections.*

Furthermore, suppose that $\{g_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ is a one-parameter family of product metrics, and $B_0 \in \mathcal{A}(g_0)$, $B_1 \in \mathcal{A}(g_1)$. Then there exists a subset of the second Baire category in the space of paths in $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ connecting B_0 and B_1 such that for every path $\{B_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ from this subset there are no (g_t, B_t)-Fueter sections all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ contains a compact subspace $\mathcal{N}$ consisting of holomorphic triples $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta)$ with $\beta = 0$. The following statement is a consequence of Theorems 3.26, 3.44, and Corollary 3.24.

Corollary 3.45. *For a generic choice of $B \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ we have*

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} = \mathcal{M}_{hol} = \mathcal{N}.$$

Corollary 3.46. *Suppose that $d - \tau = 0$. Then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is empty for a generic choice of $B \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$.*

The remaining part of the subsection is devoted to proving Theorem 3.44. First, we describe Fueter sections in terms of holomorphic data over Σ. Next, we show such data lies in the zero set of a Fredholm map of non-positive index, which is given by the Riemann-Roch formula. As a result, we can apply the Sard-Smale theorem to exclude the existence of such data for a generic choice of B.

Let (A, Ψ, Z) is a Fueter section as in Definition 2.25. Suppose that the singular set Z is in fact of the form $S^1 \times D$ for a finite subset $D \subset \Sigma$. Suppose moreover that the configuration

(A, Ψ) is pulled back from Σ. Then, as in subsection 3.1, the spinor splits into $\Psi = (\alpha, \beta)$ where

$$\alpha \in \Gamma(\Sigma \setminus D, E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}),$$
$$\beta \in \Gamma(\Sigma \setminus D, E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2}).$$

The Fueter condition implies that

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{AB}\alpha = 0, \quad \overline{\partial}_{AB}\beta = 0, \\ \alpha\beta = 0, \quad |\alpha| = |\beta|. \\ \|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1, \\ |\Psi| \in C^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } |\Psi|^{-1}(0) = D. \end{cases} \tag{3.25}$$

We have already seen a class of solutions (A, α, β, D) to (3.25), namely the limiting configurations of the previous subsection, see Definition 3.31. We will see momentarily that not every solution to (3.25) is a limiting configuration. However, the correspondence between limiting configurations and holomorphic data described in Proposition 3.43 extends to more general configurations satisfying (3.25). In order to show this we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.47. *If $D \subset \Sigma$ is a proper subset and (A, α, β) satisfy (3.25) on $\Sigma \setminus D$, then D is a finite collection of points.*

Proof. Since Σ is compact it is enough to show that D is locally finite. Hence, suppose that $\Sigma = B$ is a unit disc and unitary bundles L and E are trivial. The complement $B \setminus D$ is a non-compact Riemann surface and $(L, \overline{\partial}_A)$ defines a holomorphic line bundle over it. It is a classical result that such a bundle must be holomorphically trivial [For, Theorem 30.3]. In other words, there exists $h \in \mathcal{G}^c(B \setminus D)$ such that $h(A)$ agrees with the product connection on the trivial bundle $B \times \mathbb{C}$. The sections $h\alpha$ and $h^{-1}\beta$ correspond to holomorphic maps from $B \setminus D$ to $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Consider the tensor product $\gamma = (h\alpha) \otimes (h^{-1}\beta)$. It is a holomorphic map from $B \setminus D$ to $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}^4$. It satisfies

$$|\gamma| = |\alpha||\beta| = \frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^2,$$

so D is the zero set of $|\gamma|$. Thus, γ is continuous on B and holomorphic on $B \setminus D$. Let $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_4)$ be the components of γ. Each of them is a continuous function from B to $\mathbb{C}$ which is holomorphic outside its zero set. It follows from a theorem of Radó [Rud, Theorem 12.14] that γ_i is holomorphic on the whole of B. Therefore, γ is holomorphic and D is locally finite as the zero set of a holomorphic map. □

Next, we prove that every Fueter section is in fact gauge-equivalent to a solution of (3.25). This is analogous to the circle-invariance statement for generalised Seiberg-Witten monopoles, see Theorem 3.9.

Proposition 3.48. *Let (A, Ψ, Z) be a Fueter section as in Definition 2.25. Then $Z = S^1 \times D$ for a finite subset $D \subset \Sigma$. Moreover, there is a gauge transformation $u \in \mathcal{G}(Y \setminus Z)$ such that u has degree zero around each component of Z and $u(A, \Psi)$ is pulled-back from a configuration on $\Sigma \setminus D$ satisfying (3.25).*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.9. We continue using the notation from subsection 3.1 and ignore the background connection B (the general proof is similar). Let t be the coordinate on the S^1 factor of $S^1 \times \Sigma$. This time we cannot put A in a temporal

gauge, even after pulling-back to $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$, because *a priori* the singular set Z could intersect the t-axis in a complicated way. However, we still have

$$0 = \not{D}_A \Psi = -\sigma \nabla_t \Psi + \overline{\partial}_A \Psi, \tag{3.26}$$

where $\nabla_t = \nabla_A(\partial/\partial t)$ and $\overline{\partial}_A$ is the Dolbeault operator induced by A on the $\{t\} \times \Sigma$ slice. Let ∇_Σ be the part of the covariant derivative ∇_A in the Σ-direction. Since A is flat, we have

$$0 = \nabla_A^2 = \nabla_t \nabla_\Sigma + \nabla_\Sigma \nabla_t.$$

Applying σ and $\nabla_t^* = -\nabla_t$ to both sides of (3.26) and using the above commutation relation, we obtain

$$0 = \nabla_t^* \nabla_t \Psi + \sigma \overline{\partial}_A \sigma \overline{\partial}_A \Psi = \nabla_t^* \nabla_t \Psi + \overline{\partial}_A^* \overline{\partial}_A \Psi. \tag{3.27}$$

We would like to integrate this equality by parts as in the proof of Theorem 3.9 to conclude that $\nabla_t \Psi = 0$ and $\overline{\partial}_A \Psi = 0$. However, the equality holds only on the open set $Y \setminus Z$, so in order to integrate by parts we need to use a suitable cut-off function.

Choose a smooth function $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} f = 0 & \text{on } (-\infty, 0], \\ f = 1 & \text{on } [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

For every $\epsilon > 0$ we define the cut-off function $\chi_\epsilon \colon Y \to [0,1]$ by

$$\chi_\epsilon(x) = f\left(\frac{|\Psi(x)| - \epsilon}{\epsilon}\right).$$

Let Z_ϵ be the subset of points in Y satisfying $|\Psi(x)| < \epsilon$. It is an open neighbourhood of $Z = |\Psi|^{-1}(0)$. We see that

$$\begin{cases} \chi_\epsilon = 0 & \text{on } Z_\epsilon, \\ \chi_\epsilon = 1 & \text{on } Z_{2\epsilon} \end{cases}$$

and χ_ϵ is smooth on Y. Take the inner product of (3.27) with $\chi_\epsilon^2 \Psi$ and integrate by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_Y |\nabla_t(\chi_\epsilon \Psi)|^2 + \int_Y |\overline{\partial}_A(\chi_\epsilon \Psi)|^2 - \int_Y \left(|\partial_t \chi_\epsilon|^2 + |\overline{\partial} \chi_\epsilon|^2\right) |\Psi|^2 \\ &\geq \int_Y |\nabla_t(\chi_\epsilon \Psi)|^2 + \int_Y |\overline{\partial}_A(\chi_\epsilon \Psi)|^2 - 2 \int_Y |d\chi_\epsilon|^2 |\Psi|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

We need to show that the last term becomes arbitrarily small as ϵ tends to zero. By definition we have $|\Psi| \leq 2\epsilon$ on $Z_{2\epsilon}$. Let $P_\epsilon = Z_{2\epsilon} \setminus Z_\epsilon$. By Kato's inequality

$$\int_Y |\Psi|^2 |d\chi_\epsilon|^2 \leq \int_{P_\epsilon} |\Psi|^2 \frac{\left| f'\left(\frac{|\Psi(x)| - \epsilon}{\epsilon}\right)\right|}{\epsilon^2} |\nabla_A \Psi|^2 \leq 4 \|f\|_{C^1} \int_{P_\epsilon} |\nabla_A \Psi|^2.$$

On the other hand, it is proved in [HW, Proposition 7.1] that the last integral satisfies

$$\int_{P_\epsilon} |\nabla_A \Psi|^2 = O(\epsilon^\lambda)$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. Taking $\epsilon \to 0$ in (3.28) we conclude that on $Y \setminus Z$

$$\nabla_t \Psi = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\partial}_A \Psi = 0.$$

In particular, we have

$$\partial_t |\Psi|^2 = 2 \langle \nabla_t \Psi, \Psi \rangle = 0$$

so the function $|\Psi|$ is invariant under the circle action on $Y \setminus Z$. It is also continuous on the whole of Y and $|\Psi|^{-1}(0) = Z$, so necessarily Z is of the form $S^1 \times D$ for a proper subset $D \subset \Sigma$.

Now we can put A in a temporal gauge over $S^1 \times (\Sigma \setminus D)$ in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.9. The gauge transformation (3.9) used to do that is the exponential of a smooth function $\Sigma \setminus D \to i\mathbb{R}$ when restricted to each slice $\{t\} \times (\Sigma \setminus D)$. Therefore it has degree zero around the components of Z. We also show that $L\big|_{Y \setminus Z}$ is pulled back from a bundle on $\Sigma \setminus D$ and Ψ is pulled back from a configuration (A, α, β) on $\Sigma \setminus D$. The definition of a Fueter section is in this setting equivalent to (3.25). Finally, it follows from Lemma 3.47 that D is a finite set. □

Finally, we show that Fueter sections over $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ correspond to certain holomorphic data over Σ.

Proposition 3.49. *Suppose that (A, α, β, D) satisfies (3.25). Then there exist $h \in \mathcal{G}_0^c(\Sigma \setminus D)$ and divisors D_1, D_2 such that*

(1) $D = D_1 \cup D_2$ as sets and $D_1 + D_2$ is effective,
(2) $\tilde{A} = h(A)$ extends to a unitary connection on a line bundle over Σ, not necessarily isomorphic to L, defining a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L} \to \Sigma$,
(3) sections $\tilde{\alpha} = h\alpha$ and $\tilde{\beta} = h^{-1}\beta$ extend to holomorphic sections that fit into the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K^{-1/2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_1) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K^{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_2) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.29}$$

Conversely, every set of holomorphic data $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, D_1, D_2)$ satisfying conditions (1), (2), and (3) can be obtained from a solution to (3.25) in this way.

Proof. We proceed in the same way as in the surjectivity part of the proof of Proposition 3.43. Using Lemma 3.42, we find $h \in \mathcal{G}_0^c(\Sigma \setminus D, L)$ such that the connection $\tilde{A} = h(A)$ extends yielding a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}$, say, and $h^2\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ extends to a meromorphic section of $\mathcal{L}^2$. Let $\tilde{\alpha} = h\alpha$ and $\tilde{\beta} = h^{-1}\beta$. Then

$$\frac{|\tilde{\alpha}|}{|\tilde{\beta}|} = |h^2\varphi_{\alpha\beta}| \qquad \text{and} \qquad |\tilde{\alpha}||\tilde{\beta}| = |\alpha||\beta| = \frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^2.$$

Since $h^2\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ is meromorphic and $|\Psi|$ extends to a continuous function on Σ, it follows that $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ extend to meromorphic sections. Let D_1 and D_2 be the associated divisors of zeroes and poles. We have $D = D_1 \cup D_2$ as sets and the condition $D = |\Psi|^{-1}(0)$ implies that $D_1 + D_2 \geq 0$. The existence of the short exact sequence involving $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ was established in (3.21). □

We note in passing that the main difference between limiting configurations introduced in Definition 3.31 and configurations satisfying (3.25) is that divisors D_1 and D_2 in the latter case are not necessarily effective. We also do not have any restriction on the measure $i * F_A$. The example below shows that not all Fueter sections over $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ are limiting configurations.

Example 3.50. Suppose that the genus of Σ is positive so that the canonical divisor K is effective. Let C_1 and C_2 be two divisors satisfying $C_1 + C_2 = \frac{1}{2}K \geq 0$. Set

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(C_1 - C_2), \qquad D_1 = 2C_1, \qquad D_2 = 2C_2,$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K^{-1/2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_1) = \mathcal{O}(C_1 + C_2 - \frac{1}{2}K) = \mathcal{O},$$
$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K^{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_2) = \mathcal{O}(-C_1 - C_2 + \frac{1}{2}K) = \mathcal{O}.$$

Set $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$. A Fueter section is given by maps $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ making the sequence (3.29) exact. In the present setting, (3.29) is equivalent to

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}} \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0,$$

so there is an obvious choice of $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ making the sequence exact. According to Proposition 3.49, this gives rise to a Fueter section with singular set $D = D_1 \cup D_2$. However, such a Fueter section is not a limiting configuration unless both divisors C_1 and C_2 are effective.

The next lemma provides a restriction on the possible holomorphic bundles $\mathcal{E}$ fitting into the short exact sequence (3.29).

Lemma 3.51. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.49 there exists a holomorphic line bundle M satisfying $h^0(M^2) > 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes K^{1/2} \otimes M^{-1}) > 0$.*

Proof. Recall that by Lemma 3.39 we have $\mathcal{L}^2 = \mathcal{O}(D_1 - D_2)$. Set $M = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_1)$. Then

$$M^2 = \mathcal{L}^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(2D_1) = \mathcal{O}(D_2 - D_1 + 2D_1) = \mathcal{O}(D_1 + D_2).$$

We have $h^0(M^2) > 0$ because the divisor $D_1 + D_2$ is effective. On the other hand, multiplying exact sequence (3.29) by $M^{-1} \otimes K^{1/2}$, we obtain an injective map $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes M^{-1} \otimes K^{1/2}$, which is the same as a nowhere vanishing section of $\mathcal{E} \otimes K^{1/2} \otimes M^{-1}$. □

Lemma 3.52. *Fix $k \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ be the subset consisting of those connections B for which there exists a holomorphic line bundle $M \in J^k$ satisfying*

$$h^0(M^2) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h^0(\mathcal{E}_B \otimes K^{1/2} \otimes M^{-1}) > 0.$$

Then the complement $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E) \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ is of the second Baire category. Furthermore, for every pair of connections B_0 and B_1 from $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E) \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ the set of paths connecting B_0 and B_1 and avoiding $\mathcal{Z}$ is of the second Baire category in the space of all paths in $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ connecting B_0 and B_1.

Proof. The proof relies on a transversality argument similar to the one used to show Proposition 2.21. As in that case we pass to suitable Sobolev completions of the spaces of connections and sections, denoting them for simplicity by the same symbols as the spaces of smooth connections and sections. The statement for C^∞ topology will follow from Taubes' trick discussed in the proof of Proposition 2.21.

Let $T \to \Sigma$ be a unitary line bundle of degree k. Denote $F = E^* \otimes K^{1/2} \otimes T^{-1}$ and consider the map

$$\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E) \times \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, T) \times \Gamma(F) \times \Gamma(T^2) \to \Omega^{0,1}(F) \times \Omega^{0,1}(T^2),$$
$$(B, A, \psi, \alpha) \mapsto (\overline{\partial}_{AB}\psi, \overline{\partial}_A \alpha).$$

This map is equivariant with respect to the action of the complexified gauge group $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$, treated as the space of complex automorphisms of T, on the domain and co-domain. Let $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E) \times \mathcal{X}$ be the Banach vector bundle obtained from taking the $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$-quotient of the trivial bundle with fibre $\Omega^{0,1}(F) \times \Omega^{0,1}(T)$ over the open set $\{(B, A, \psi, \alpha) \mid \psi \neq 0,\ \alpha \neq 0\}$. Then the map introduced above descends to a smooth section $s \colon \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E) \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{V}$. For every

$B \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ the restriction $s_B = s(B, \cdot)$ is a Fredholm section whose index is the Euler characteristic of the elliptic complex

$$\Omega^0(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \Gamma(F) \oplus \Gamma(T^2) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(F) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(T^2). \tag{3.30}$$

The first arrow in the complex is the linearised action of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$, whereas the second is the linearisation of the map $(A, \psi, \alpha) \mapsto (\overline{\partial}_{AB}\psi, \overline{\partial}_A\alpha)$. This elliptic complex agrees up to terms of order zero with the direct sum of complexes

$$\Omega^0(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad \text{and}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(F) \oplus \Gamma(T^2) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_{AB} \oplus \overline{\partial}_A} \Omega^{0,1}(F) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(T^2).$$

By the Riemann-Roch formula, the Euler characteristic of this direct sum is

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}) - \chi(F) - \chi(T^2) = (1-g) - (\deg(F) + 2 - 2g) - (2\deg(T) + 1 - g) = 0$$

because $\deg(F) = 2g - 2 - 2\deg(T)$. We conclude that s_B is a Fredholm section of index zero for every $B \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$.

Next we show that s is transverse to the zero section at all points $[B, A, \psi, \alpha] \in s^{-1}(0)$ such that $\psi \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Before doing so, let us see how this finishes the proof of the lemma. If s is transverse to the zero section, it follows from Sard-Smale theorem that the same will be true for s_B for all B from a subset of $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ of the second Baire category. For every such B the set

$$\{[A, \psi, \alpha] \mid \overline{\partial}_{AB}\psi = 0,\ \overline{\partial}_A\alpha = 0,\ \psi \neq 0\ \alpha \neq 0\}$$

is a zero-dimensional submanifold of $\mathcal{X}$. We claim this submanifold is empty. Indeed, otherwise it would contain a copy of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ given by $[A, t\psi, \alpha]$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, which in turn would contradict the property of being a zero-dimensional manifold. This proves the first statement of the lemma: we have shown that for a generic B there cannot be a holomorphic line bundle $M = (T, \overline{\partial}_A)$ together with non-zero holomorphic sections $\alpha \in H^0(M^2)$ and $\psi \in H^0(\mathcal{E}_B \otimes K^{1/2} \otimes M^{-1})$. The statement for one-parameter families is proved in the same way: for a generic family $\{B_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ the set $\cup_{t\in[0,1]} s_t^{-1}(0)$ is a one-dimensional submanifold of $\mathcal{X}$. It must be empty because otherwise it would contain a subset homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^\times$.

It remains to show the transversality of s. At a point $[B, A, \psi, \alpha] \in s^{-1}(0)$ with $\psi \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$ the first map in the elliptic complex (3.30) is injective. Thus, in order to show that s is transverse to the zero section it is enough to prove the surjectivity of the operator combining the second map of (3.30) and the linearisation of $\overline{\partial}_{AB}$ with respect to B:

$$\Omega^{0,1}(\mathrm{End}(F)) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \Gamma(F) \oplus \Gamma(T^2) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(F) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(T^2)$$

$$(b, a, u, v) \mapsto ((b + a)\psi + \overline{\partial}_{AB}u, a\alpha + \overline{\partial}_A v).$$

The rest of the proof is the same as for Proposition 2.21. If the map was not surjective, there would exist a non-zero pair $(p, q) \in \Omega^{0,1}(F) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(T^2)$ orthogonal to the image with respect to the L^2-inner product. That would imply $\overline{\partial}^*_{AB}p = 0$, $\overline{\partial}^*_A q = 0$ and

$$\langle b\psi, p\rangle_{L^2} = 0, \qquad \langle a\alpha, q\rangle_{L^2} = 0$$

for all $b \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathrm{End}(F))$ and $a \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C})$. However, ψ and α are both non-zero and holomorphic. On the other hand, p and q are anti-holomorphic and at least one of them is

non-zero. Using a bump function as in the proof Proposition 2.21 it is easy to construct b and a such that

$$\langle b\psi, p\rangle_{L^2} + \langle a\alpha, q\rangle_{L^2} > 0.$$

The contradiction shows that the linearisation of s is surjective on the fibre of $\mathcal{V}$ at $[B, A, \psi, \alpha]$. As a consequence, s is transverse to the zero section. □

Finally, we prove the main result of the subsection.

Proof of Theorem 3.44. The theorem follows from what we have shown so far. Let B be a connection in $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}_B$ the holomorphic structure induced by B. Propositions 3.48 and 3.49 show that (g, B)-Fueter sections over $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ correspond to holomorphic triples $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta)$ fitting into the short exact sequence (3.29). On the other hand, by Lemmas 3.51 and 3.52 for a generic choice of B the holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E}_B$ does not fit into any such sequence. The same is true when B varies in a generic one-parameter family. □

4. Moduli spaces of framed vortices

We have shown that for a generic circle-invariant perturbation the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is homeomorphic to the compact space $\mathcal{N}$ of holomorphic data over Σ. In this section we prove that $\mathcal{N}$ is in fact a smooth Kähler manifold. As a consequence, we can apply Theorem 2.37 to express the count of multi-monopoles as the signed Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{N}$. This involves comparing $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ not only as topological spaces but as moduli spaces equipped with deformation theories. We end the section by describing the moduli spaces when the genus of Σ is zero, one, and two.

4.1. **Framed vortices.** We continue to assume throughout this section that E is an $SU(2)$-bundle and $d - \tau < 0$, where $d = \deg L$ and $\tau = \int_\Sigma i\eta/2\pi$. As before, most of the results below generalise easily to other cases. We will later discuss the role of the sign of $d - \tau$. Recall that $\mathcal{N}$ depends on the conformal structure on Σ, holomorphic structure $\mathcal{E}_B = (E^*, \overline{\partial}_B)$, and d. Its points can be interpreted in three ways.

(1) As isomorphism classes of pairs $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$, where $\mathcal{L} \to \Sigma$ is a degree d holomorphic line bundle and α is a non-zero holomorphic section of $\mathcal{E}_B \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}$.
(2) As $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$-equivalence classes of pairs

$$(A, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, L) \times \Gamma(\Sigma, E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2})$$

satisfying $\overline{\partial}_{AB}\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$.
(3) As $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$-equivalence classes of pairs (A, α) as above satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{AB}\alpha = 0 \quad \text{and } \alpha \neq 0, \\ i * F_A + |\alpha|^2 - i * \eta = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Solutions to equations (4.1) are called *framed vortices* [BGP]. We will therefore refer to $\mathcal{N}$ as the *moduli space of framed vortices*. However, we employ the second, holomorphic description to endow $\mathcal{N}$ with a complex analytic structure.

4.2. **Deformation theory.** We now relate the deformation theories of $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$. In this subsection we fix the degree $d = \deg L$ and write $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$ to stress the dependance on g and B. The main result is that for a fixed conformal structure g and a generic choice of $B \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ the moduli space $\mathcal{N}(g, B)$ is regular as the moduli space of framed vortices and Zariski smooth from the viewpoint of the Seiberg-Witten deformation theory developed in subsection 2.3.

Consider the elliptic complex associated to a solution (A, α) of $\overline{\partial}_{AB}\alpha = 0$:

$$\Omega^0(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{G^c_{A,\alpha}} \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \Gamma(E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}) \xrightarrow{T_{A,\alpha}} \Omega^{0,1}(E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}).$$

where $G^c_{A,\alpha}$ is the linearised action of $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$

$$G^c_{A,\alpha}(f) = (-\overline{\partial} f, f\alpha) \qquad \text{for } f \in \Omega^0(\mathbb{C}),$$

and $T_{A,\alpha}$ is the linearisation of the Dolbeault operator

$$T_{A,\alpha}(a^{0,1}, \phi) = (\overline{\partial}_{AB}\phi + a^{0,1}\alpha) \qquad \text{for } (a^{0,1}, \phi) \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \Gamma(E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}).$$

Denote by $H^0_{A,\alpha}$, $H^1_{A,\alpha}$, and $H^2_{A,\alpha}$ the homology groups of this elliptic complex. Since by definition $\mathcal{N}$ consists of solutions with $\alpha \neq 0$, we always have $H^0_{A,\alpha} = 0$. On the other hand, the deformation complex is isomorphic modulo lower order term to the sum of the Dolbeault complexes for $\overline{\partial}$ on $\Omega^0(\mathbb{C})$ and $\overline{\partial}_{AB}$ on $E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2}$ (with a shift). By the Riemann-Roch formula the expected complex dimension of $\mathcal{N}$ is

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1_{A,\alpha} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2_{A,\alpha} = \chi(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}) - \chi(\Sigma, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}) = g_\Sigma - 1 + 2d.$$

Theorem 4.1. *For every conformal class of a metric g on Σ there exists a subset $\mathcal{A}^{reg}(g) \subset \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ of the second Baire category such that for every $B \in \mathcal{A}^{reg}(g)$*

1. *$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$ is a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension $g_\Sigma - 1 + 2d$,*
2. *$\mathcal{M}_{hol} = \mathcal{M}_{hol}(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$ is Zariski smooth and the inclusion $\mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is a homeomorphism inducing an isomorphism of Zariski tangent spaces at every point*
3. *the relative orientation on the obstruction bundle $\mathfrak{Ob} \to \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is compatible with the orientation of the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ induced from the complex structure; equivalently, for every connected component C of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ we have $\operatorname{sgn}(C) = (-1)^{g_\Sigma - 1}$.*

Proof. We already know that $\mathcal{N}$ is compact. Moreover, by Corollary 3.45 for generic B we have $\mathcal{M}_{hol} = \mathcal{N}$. We could show that $\mathcal{N}$ is generically smooth by following the proof of Theorem 2.32. After showing that the universal moduli space is a smooth Banach manifold we would conclude from the Sard-Smale theorem that $\mathcal{N}$ is generically smooth and of expected dimension.

An alternative way is to interpret elements of the obstruction space $H^2_{A,\alpha}$ as Fueter sections. Indeed, we have

$$H^2_{A,\alpha} = \ker T^*_{A,\alpha} = \left\{ q \in \Gamma(E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{-1/2}) \mid \overline{\alpha} q = 0,\ \overline{\partial}^*_{AB} q = 0 \right\}.$$

Every non-zero element of $H^2_{A,\alpha}$ gives rise to a non-zero section $\beta = \overline{q} \in \Gamma(E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2})$ satisfying $\overline{\partial}_{AB}\beta = 0$ and $\alpha\beta = 0$. Thus, the triple (A, α, β) is an element of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ corresponding to a Fueter section as in Proposition 3.43. By Theorem 3.44 for a generic B such configurations do not exist and so it must be that $H^2_{A,\alpha} = 0$ for all $[A, \alpha] \in \mathcal{N}$. This implies that $\mathcal{N}$ is a complex manifold of dimension $g_\Sigma - 1 + 2d$ whose holomorphic tangent space at $[A, \alpha]$ is $H^1_{A,\alpha}$. It admits a natural Hermitian metric induced from the L^2-inner product on

the space of connections and sections. In order to see that it is Kähler we interpret $\mathcal{N}$ as the moduli space of solutions to the framed vortex equations (4.1), which allow us to see $\mathcal{N}$ as an infinite-dimensional Kähler quotient. Details are similar to the case of the classical vortex equations, see for example [Per]. In the setting of generalised vortex equations it was proved by Dey and Thakre [DT].

Next, we compare $H^1_{A,\alpha}$ with the Zariski tangent space to $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ at $[A, \alpha, 0]$. The former space consists of pairs $(a^{0,1}, u) \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \Gamma(E^* \otimes L \otimes K^{1/2})$ satisfying the linearised equation

$$\overline{\partial}_{AB} u + a^{0,1}\alpha = 0$$

together with the complex Coulomb gauge $(G^c_{A,\alpha})^*(a^{0,1}, u) = 0$. On the other hand, by (3.18) the Zariski tangent space to $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ at $[A, \alpha, 0]$ consists of triples $(a^{0,1}, u, v)$ where $a^{0,1}$, u are as above, $v \in \Gamma(E \otimes L^* \otimes K^{1/2})$, and they satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_{AB} u + a^{0,1}\alpha = 0, \\ \overline{\partial}_{AB} v = 0, \\ \alpha v = 0 \end{cases}$$

together with the complex Coulomb gauge fixing for $(a^{0,1}, u, v)$. Any non-zero v satisfying the conditions above would give an element (A, α, v) of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$. Since B has been chosen so that $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is empty, we must have $v = 0$. Then the equations obeyed by $(a^{0,1}, u, 0)$ are identical to the ones defining $H^1_{A,\alpha}$. We conclude that the Zariski tangent spaces to $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ are equal.

In order to prove the statement about the orientations we follow the argument of [Mor, Proposition 7.3.1]. Let (A, Ψ) be an irreducible solution to the Seiberg-Witten equations. We have $\Psi = (\alpha, 0)$ where (A, α) is a solution to the framed vortex equations. Consider the extended Hessian operator introduced in subsection 2.3

$$L_{A,\Psi} \colon \Omega^1(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Omega^0(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Gamma(E^* \otimes S \otimes L) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Omega^0(i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Gamma(E^* \otimes S \otimes L)$$

Write $L_{A,\Psi} = L_{A,0} + P$, where

$$L_{A,0} = \begin{pmatrix} *d & -d & 0 \\ -d^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \not{D}_{AB} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$P(a, v, \phi) = (i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle \Psi, \phi \rangle, -2 * \mu(\phi, \Psi), -a \cdot \Psi + v\Psi).$$

The kernel and cokernel of $L_{A,0}$ are naturally identified with

$$H^1(Y, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^0(Y, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \ker \not{D}_{AB}.$$

The isomorphism between $\det L_{A,0}$ and $\det L_{A,\Psi}$, defining the relative orientation on the obstruction bundle, factors through the determinant space $\det P$ of the finite dimensional map

$$P \colon H^1(Y, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^0(Y, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \ker \not{D}_{AB} \to H^1(Y, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^0(Y, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \ker \not{D}_{AB}$$

induced from the zeroth order operator P defined above (for simplicity we use the same letter to denote the induced finite dimensional map). As in the proof of Lemma 3.7 we have $H^1(Y, i\mathbb{R}) = H^1(S^1, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^{0,1}(\Sigma)$. Now consider the complex structure on $H^1(S^1, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^0(Y, i\mathbb{R})$ coming from the identification

$$H^1(S^1, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^0(Y, i\mathbb{R}) = i\mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{C}.$$

Let dt be the one-form spanning $H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$. Then under the Clifford multiplication dt acts as the multiplication by i on S, and so idt acts as the multiplication by -1. Therefore, under the isomorphism $H^1(S^1, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^0(Y, i\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}$, the map $(a, v) \mapsto (-a \cdot \Psi + v\Psi)$ is simply given by $(x + iy) \mapsto (x + iy)\Psi$ and so in particular it is complex linear. Next, we consider the first two components of P, that is the map $\phi \mapsto (i \operatorname{Im}(\Psi, \phi), -2 * \mu(\phi, \Psi))$. Decompose the moment map into $\mu = \mu_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mu_{\mathbb{C}}$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.7. The map $\phi \mapsto \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\phi, \Psi)$ is a complex linear from $\ker \not{D}_{AB}$ to $H^{0,1}(\Sigma)$. We are left with the map from $\ker \not{D}_{AB}$ to $H^1(S^1, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^0(Y, i\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$\phi \mapsto (i \operatorname{Im}(\Psi, \phi) - 2 * \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\phi, \Psi)).$$

Decompose the spinor ϕ into $\phi = (u, v)$ under the splitting $S = K^{1/2} \oplus K^{-1/2}$. Following the identifications from the proof of Lemma 3.7 we find that $*\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\phi, \Psi) = -2i \operatorname{Re}(\alpha, u)$ and so our map is

$$\phi = (u, v) \mapsto (i \operatorname{Im}(\alpha, u), -4i \operatorname{Re}(\alpha, u).$$

After modifying the identification $H^1(S^1, i\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^0(Y, i\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}$ by a factor of four on the second component (which has no effect on the orientation), the map above coincides with the complex linear map

$$u \mapsto -\operatorname{Re}(\alpha, u) + i \operatorname{Im}(\alpha, u) = -\overline{(\alpha, u)} = -(u, \alpha).$$

We conclude that the natural isomorphism between the determinant space $\det P$ and $\det L_{A,0}$ agrees with the orientations induced from the complex structures on the cohomology groups. The same is true for the isomorphism between $\det P$ and $\det L_{A,\Psi}$ where the complex structures on $H^1_{A,\Psi} = \ker L_{A,\Psi}$ and $H^2_{A,\Psi} = \operatorname{coker} L_{A,\Psi}$ come from the isomorphism of analytic spaces $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}_{hol}$ given by Theorem 3.12. The tangent and obstruction spaces to $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ are canonically identified with the tangent space to $\mathcal{N}$. Therefore, the relative orientation on the obstruction bundle agrees on the complex orientation on $T^*\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$. □

As a consequence we obtain the following regularity criterion.

Corollary 4.2. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{N}$ is regular as the moduli space of framed vortices.
(2) $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ is compact and equal to $\mathcal{N}$.
(3) There exist no triple $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta)$ consisting of a degree d holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L} \to \Sigma$ and non-zero holomorphic sections $\alpha \in H^0(\mathcal{E}_B \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2})$ and $\beta \in H^0(\mathcal{E}_B^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes K^{1/2})$ satisfying $\alpha\beta = 0 \in H^0(K)$.

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) follows from Corollary 3.24 and the identification of the obstruction bundle $\bigcup_{A,\alpha} H^2_{A,\alpha}$ with $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{hol}$, shown in the proof of Theorem 4.1. The equivalence of (2) and (3) is obvious from the definition of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}$. □

4.3. **Counting multi-monopoles.** Next we prove the main result of the section. It states that in the circle-invariant setting the count of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles is well-defined and equal to the Euler characteristic of the moduli space of framed vortices.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that the genus g_Σ of Σ is at least one. Let g be a product metric on $Y = S^1 \times \Sigma$ and $\sigma = (B, \eta)$ a circle-invariant perturbation. Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{A}^{reg}(g)$ and $\eta \in \Omega^2(\Sigma, i\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $d - \tau < 0$ so that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(g, \sigma)$ is Zariski smooth and*

diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{N}(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$. Then the count of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles $SW(g, \sigma)$ is well-defined and

$$SW(g, \sigma) = (-1)^{g_\Sigma - 1} \chi\left(\mathcal{M}(g, \sigma)\right),$$

where χ denotes the Euler characteristic. Moreover, for any other choice (g', σ') of the circle-invariant data satisfying the above conditions we have $SW(g', \sigma') = SW(g, \sigma)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. As explained in subsection 2.8, the number $SW(g, \sigma)$ is well-defined whenever $\mathcal{M}_{g,\sigma}$ is Zariski smooth and there are no (g, B)-Fueter sections. Then, by Theorem 2.37 it is equal to the signed Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{M}_{g,\sigma}$. On the other hand, Theorems 3.44 and 4.1 guarantee that for $B \in \mathcal{A}^{reg}(g)$ and $d - \tau < 0$ there are no Fueter sections and $\mathcal{M}_{g,\sigma} = \mathcal{M}_{hol}(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$ is Zariski smooth. The underlying complex manifold is biholomorphic to $\mathcal{N}(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$. Moreover, the sign assigned to every connected component of $\mathcal{N}(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$ is one, which proves that $SW(g, \sigma) = \chi(\mathcal{N}(g, \mathcal{E}_B))$.

Let (g_0, σ_0) and (g_1, σ_1) be two circle-invariant parameters as in the statement of the theorem. Consider the smooth path of metrics $g_t = tg_0 + (1 - t)g_1$. By Theorem 3.44, for a generic path $\{B_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ of circle-invariant connections connecting B_0 and B_1 there will be no (g_t, B_t)-Fueter sections for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Let σ_0' and σ_1' be small generic non-circle invariant perturbations for which $\mathcal{M}_{g_0,\sigma_0'}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{g_1,\sigma_1'}$ are regular. In other words, these moduli spaces are finite collections of points with orientations whose signed count is equal to $SW(g_0, \sigma_0)$ and $SW(g_1, \sigma_1)$ respectively. Now, by Theorem 2.34 we can find a path σ_t' of non-circle-invariant perturbations that connects σ_0' and σ_1' and satisfies the conditions

- B_t' stays close to B_t in the C^∞ topology,
- there are no reducible solutions for any (g_t, σ_t'),
- the one-parameter moduli space $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{M}_{g_t,\sigma_t'}$ is a one-dimensional oriented cobordism from $\mathcal{M}_{g_0,\sigma_0'}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g_0,\sigma_1'}$.

The first condition, together with Corollary 2.29, guarantees that $\mathcal{W}$ is compact. From this fact we conclude as in the proof of Theorem 2.37 that

$$SW(g_0, \sigma_0) = SW(g_0, \sigma_0') = SW(g_1, \sigma_1') = SW(g_1, \sigma_1).$$

□

Recall that $\mathcal{N}(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$ can be defined purely in terms of the complex geometry Σ. We will see in subsection 4.7 that the biholomorphism type of $\mathcal{N}(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$ can change when we vary $(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$. Nevertheless, Theorem 4.3 shows that the Euler characteristic $\mathcal{N}(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$ does not depend on g and the connection $B \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$, as long as B is generic. In fact, the proof of Theorem 4.3 shows that for two different generic choices (g_0, B_0) and (g_1, B_1) the moduli spaces $\mathcal{N}(g_0, \mathcal{E}_{B_0})$ and $\mathcal{N}(g_1, \mathcal{E}_{B_1})$ are fibres of a locally trivial fibration over $[0, 1]$, and hence they are diffeomorphic.

Corollary 4.4. *The diffeomorphism type of $\mathcal{N}(g, \mathcal{E}_B)$ does not depend on the conformal structure $[g]$ on Σ and the connection $B \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$, as long as B is generic.*

4.4. **Dependance on the perturbing two-form.** We have so far ignored the fact that for a fixed value of $d = \deg L$ there are two possible definitions of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ depending on the sign of $d - \tau$, see Definition 3.13 (the case $d - \tau = 0$ is uninteresting as the moduli space is generically empty). Recall that $\tau = \int_\Sigma i\eta/2\pi$ where η is a two-form on Σ used to perturb the equations. When $d - \tau < 0$, $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ consists of isomorphism classes of triples $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, whereas for $d - \tau > 0$ we require that $\beta \neq 0$. This is analogous to case of

the classical Seiberg-Witten equations for which the moduli space of solutions on $S^1 \times \Sigma$ is either $Sym^{d+g-1}\Sigma$ or $Sym^{-d+g-1}\Sigma$, depending on the sign of $d-\tau$. On the other hand, the Seiberg-Witten invariant does not depend on the choice of the perturbing two-form, which is reflected by the identity

$$\chi(Sym^{d+g-1}\Sigma) = \chi(Sym^{-d+g-1}\Sigma).$$

Thanks to Theorems 2.37 and 4.3 there is an analogous identity for the moduli spaces of multi-monopoles. Fix the parameters (g, B) with B generic, and denote by $\mathcal{M}^+_{hol}(d)$ and $\mathcal{M}^-_{hol}(d)$ the two moduli spaces corresponding to a given degree d and two choices of the sign of $d-\tau$. (In the previous subsections we have always assumed $\mathcal{M}_{hol} = \mathcal{M}^+_{hol}$.) The map $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\mathcal{L}^*, \beta, \alpha)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}^+_{hol}(d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^-_{hol}(-d)$. This is in agreement with the standard involution in Seiberg-Witten theory [Mor, section 6.8].

However, there is another symmetry in the picture. Suppose that the genus of Σ is at least one. Theorem 4.3 states that the count of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles does not depend on the choice of a generic circle-invariant perturbation as long as $d-\tau < 0$. Of course the same statement holds when $d-\tau > 0$, except that one needs to replace the space $\mathcal{M}^+_{hol}(d)$ by $\mathcal{M}^-_{hol}(d)$. The choice is immaterial from the viewpoint of the three-dimensional theory because according to Theorem 2.37 the number $SW(g, B, \eta)$ does not depend on the choice of the perturbation two-form η as long as the moduli space is Zariski smooth. We conclude that for a generic choice of $B \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ we have $\chi(\mathcal{M}^+_{hol}(d)) = \chi(\mathcal{M}^-_{hol}(d))$. Combining this with the involution introduced above, we obtain the following interesting observation.

Corollary 4.5. *Theorem 4.3 still holds if $d-\tau > 0$. In particular, for a generic choice of $B \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ we have*

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}^+_{hol}(d)) = \chi(\mathcal{M}^+_{hol}(-d)).$$

Although the moduli spaces in questions can be defined in term of the complex geometry of Σ, it is far from obvious how to prove the statement above without a reference to the three-dimensional theory. We will see in the next subsections that $\mathcal{M}^+_{hol}(d)$ and $\mathcal{M}^-_{hol}(d)$ can be non-homeomorphic.

4.5. **Generalised theta divisors.** In this and the following subsections we study the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ using methods of complex geometry. The conformal structure $[g]$ on Σ and spin structure $K^{1/2}$ will be fixed.

Definition 4.6. We shall denote by $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ the moduli space defined using $[g]$, degree d line bundle L, holomorphic structure $\mathcal{E}$ on E^*, and any perturbing two-form η satisfying $d-\eta < 0$. Likewise we denote $\mathcal{N}(d, \mathcal{E})$. A property will be said to hold for a generic $\mathcal{E}$ if it holds for all $\mathcal{E}$ of the form $\mathcal{E}_B = (E, \overline{\partial}_B)$ for B from a subset of $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ of the second Baire category.

In the special case when the degree d of L is zero, the moduli spaces of framed vortices are closely related to *generalised theta divisors*. The survey [Bea] is a good introduction to the subject. Let $\mathcal{E} \to \Sigma$ be a rank two stable bundle with trivial determinant. Denote by $g = g_\Sigma$ the genus of Σ. For any line bundle $A \in J^{g-1}$ the Riemann-Roch formula gives us

$$\chi(\mathcal{E} \otimes A) = 2\deg(A) + 2(1-g) = 0,$$

and so we expect that for a generic choice of A the cohomology groups $H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes A)$ and $H^1(\mathcal{E} \otimes A)$ will vanish. Let $\theta(\mathcal{E}) \subset J^{g-1}$ be the exceptional locus of those A for which a

holomorphic section appears:

$$\theta(\mathcal{E}) = \{A \in J^{g-1} \mid h^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes A) > 0\}.$$

One shows that $\theta(\mathcal{E})$ is in fact a divisor in J^{g-1}. (This is no longer true if $\mathcal{E}$ is of higher rank as it can happen that $\theta(\mathcal{E})$ is all of J^{g-1}.) As a divisor, it belongs to the linear system $|2\Theta| = \mathbb{CP}^{2g-1}$, where $\Theta \subset J^{g-1}$ is the classical theta divisor

$$\Theta = \{A \in J^{g-1} \mid h^0(A) > 0\}.$$

By a theorem of Lefschetz, the linear system $|2\Theta|$ is base-point free and thus gives rise to a holomorphic map from J^{g-1} to the dual projective space $|2\Theta|^*$. It follows that $\theta(\mathcal{E})$, as a subset of J^{g-1}, is the preimage of a hyperplane in $|2\Theta|^*$ under the map $J^{g-1} \to |2\Theta|^*$. This hyperplane is easy to identify – it is exactly $\theta(\mathcal{E})$ interpreted as a point in $|2\Theta|$ or equivalently as a hyperplane in $|2\Theta|^*$. Varying the background bundle $\mathcal{E}$, we vary the corresponding hyperplane and therefore the subset $\theta(\mathcal{E}) \subset J^{g-1}$.

The relevance of $\theta(\mathcal{E})$ to the study of framed vortices is as follows.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank two stable bundle with trivial determinant. There is a surjective morphism $\mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{E}) \to \theta(\mathcal{E})$ whose fibres are projective spaces.*

Indeed, a point in $\mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{E})$ is given by an equivalence class $[\mathcal{L}, \alpha]$ where $\mathcal{L} \in J^0$ and $\alpha \in H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2})$ is a non-zero section. Observe that $\mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}$ is of degree $g-1$. Since α is non-zero, we have $\mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2} \in \theta(\mathcal{E})$. The morphism $\mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{E}) \to \theta(\mathcal{E})$ is given by $[\mathcal{L}, \alpha] \mapsto \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}$. The preimage of $\mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}$ is the projective space $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2})$.

4.6. **General properties of the moduli spaces.** In this subsection we describe some properties of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ for a general Riemann surface Σ.

Proposition 4.8. *For a generic choice of $\mathcal{E}$ the following holds.*

1. *If $d < \frac{1-g}{2}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is empty.*
2. *If $d \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is non-empty.*
3. *If $d \geq g - 1$, then $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is Zariski smooth with the underying complex manifold biholomorphic to the projectivisation of a rank $2d$ vector bundle over J^d.*

Proposition 4.8 shows that the most interesting case is that of $(1 - g)/2 \leq d < 0$.

Question 4.9. Is $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ generically non-empty for $\frac{1-g}{2} \leq d < 0$?

Recall that we call $\mathcal{E}$ *stable* if for any holomorphic line bundle A the existence of a non-zero holomorphic map $A \to \mathcal{E}$ implies that $\deg(A) < 0$. The next lemma shows that when the genus of Σ is at least two, a generic connection on E induces a stable holomorphic structure. The main idea is that the locus of unstable bundles can be described as the zero set of a Fredholm map of non-positive index.

Lemma 4.10. *Assume that the genus of Σ is at least two. Then there is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ such that for every connection B from this subset the corresponding holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E}_B$ is stable.*

Proof. A rank two degree zero bundle $\mathcal{E}$ fails to be stable if and only if there is a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ of non-negative degree and a non-zero map $\theta \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{E}_B$. In other words, if L is a unitary bundle underlying $\mathcal{L}$ and A is a connection inducing the given holomorphic structure, then θ is a section of $L^* \otimes E$ satisfying

$$\overline{\partial}_{AB}\theta = 0.$$

Fix L and denote its degree by $d \geq 0$. Consider the subspace $\mathcal{U}_d$ of $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ consisting of those connections B for which the operator $\overline{\partial}_{AB}$ has trivial kernel for every $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, L)$. We will prove that $\mathcal{U}_d$ is open and dense. Before we do it, let us see how it implies that the intersection $\bigcap_d \mathcal{U}_d$ has the desired properties. It is dense by Baire's theorem. It is also open by the following argument. By (3.22), the existence of θ as above implies that

$$0 \leq d \leq h^0(\Sigma, \mathcal{E}_B) + 2g_\Sigma - 2.$$

The right-hand side of this inequality can only decrease when we replace B by a sufficiently close B'. (Indeed, if we split $\Gamma(\Sigma, E)$ into the kernel of $\overline{\partial}_B$ and its L^2-orthogonal complement, Q say, then by the elliptic estimate $\overline{\partial}_{B'}$ is non-degenerate when restricted to Q for all nearby connections B'. It follows that the projection from $\ker \overline{\partial}_{B'}$ to $\ker \overline{\partial}_B$ is injective. See also [Muk, Proposition 11.21] for an algebro-geometric proof.) Therefore, to guarantee that a nearby connection B' belongs to $\bigcap_d \mathcal{U}_d$ it is enough to check that it belongs to $\mathcal{U}_d$ for finitely many values of d. This shows that whenever $B \in \bigcap_d \mathcal{U}_d$, there are finitely many open neighbourhoods of B whose intersection lies entirely in $\bigcap_d \mathcal{U}_d$. It follows that $\bigcap_d \mathcal{U}_d$ is open.

It remains to prove that each $\mathcal{U}_d$ is open and dense. We begin with the former. For any A and $B \in \mathcal{U}_d$ there is a small neighbourhood of (A, B) in $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, L) \times \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ such that for all (A', B') from this neighbourhood we have $\ker \overline{\partial}_{AB} = 0$. On the other hand, this condition is invariant under the action of the complexified gauge group $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$ on $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, L)$. Since the quotient $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, L)/\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$ is a compact torus, there is a neighbourhood of B in $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ such that for all B' from this neighbourhood the condition $\ker \overline{\partial}_{AB'} = 0$ is satisfied for all A. Thus, all such B' belong to $\mathcal{U}_d$, which proves that $\mathcal{U}_d$ is open.

Next, we argue that $\mathcal{U}_d$ is dense. It is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.21, so we omit some details. In particular, in what follows we replace $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ and spaces of sections by suitable Sobolev completions. After we prove density with respect to the Sobolev topology, we easily pass to the C^∞-topology using Taubes' trick.

Yet again we make use of the fact that the quotient $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, L)/\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$ is a compact smooth manifold. Cover it by finitely many charts that can be lifted to $\mathcal{G}^c(\Sigma)$-slices in $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, L)$. Let U be such a chart. It is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ which parametrises a smooth family of connections $\{A_x\}_{x \in U}$ on L. We want to show that the subset of those $B \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ for which $\overline{\partial}_{A_x B}$ has trivial kernel for all $x \in U$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$. Note that this subset is open by the same argument as before. Since $\mathcal{U}_d$ is the intersection of such subsets corresponding to different charts U, and there are only finitely many such charts, it will follow that $\mathcal{U}_d$ is dense as well. To prove the density of the subset in question, consider the set

$$S = \{\theta \in \Gamma(\Sigma, L^* \otimes E) \mid \|\theta\|_{L^2} = 1\}$$

and the map

$$f \colon \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E) \times U \times S \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, L^* \otimes E)$$

$$f(B, x, \theta) = \overline{\partial}_{A_x B} \theta.$$

It is a smooth map of Banach manifolds (remember that we have passed to the Sobolev spaces of connections and sections). Moreover, for every fixed $B \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$ the restriction $f_B \coloneqq f(B, \cdot, \cdot)$ is a Fredholm map. Indeed, its derivative is the sum of (a restriction of) $\overline{\partial}_{A_x B}$, which is Fredholm, and the derivative with respect to x, which is a finite-dimensional operator since U is finite-dimensional. Using the Riemann-Roch theorem, we easily compute

the the real index of df_B equals

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ind} df_B &= \dim U + 2\operatorname{ind} \overline{\partial}_{A_x B} - 1 \\ &= 2g + 4(-d + 1 - g_\Sigma) - 1 \\ &= 2(-2d + 2 - g_\Sigma) - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where the -1 summand comes from the fact that we restrict $\overline{\partial}_{A_x B}$ to the tangent space to S, which is the real L^2-orthogonal complement to a unit vector in $\ker \overline{\partial}_{A_x B}$. The right-hand side of (4.2) is negative because $d \geq 0$ and we have assumed that $g \geq 2$. Moreover, a computation similar to that in the proof of Proposition 2.21 shows that the derivative of the full map f is surjective at every point in $f^{-1}(0)$. Thus, the implicit function theorem and Sard's theorem imply that the set $f_B^{-1}(0)$ is empty for B from a dense subset of $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma, E)$. Since $f_B^{-1}(0)$ consists of unit sections in the kernel of $\overline{\partial}_{A_x B}$ we conclude that for B from a dense subset the operator $\overline{\partial}_{A_x B}$ has trivial kernel for all $x \in U$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.8. First we prove (1). By Theorem 4.1, for a generic choice of $\mathcal{E}$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol} = \mathcal{N}$ is a compact complex manifold of dimension $g_\Sigma - 1 + 2d$. If $d < (1-g)/2$, then this dimension is negative and $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ must be empty.

Next we show that (2) holds. The case $d = 0$ was discussed in the previous subsection. Suppose that $d > 0$ and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a holomorphic line bundle of degree d. By the Riemann-Roch formula,

$$h^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}) - h^1(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}) = 2d > 0,$$

and we conclude that $\mathcal{L}$ lies in the image of the natural projection $\pi\colon \mathcal{M}_{hol} \to J^d$ given by $[\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta] \mapsto \mathcal{L}$. On the other hand, for a generic $\mathcal{E}$ we know, by Theorem 4.1, that $\mathcal{M}_{hol} = \mathcal{N}$ and so the preimage of $\mathcal{L}$ under π is simply the projectivisation of $H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}$.

Finally, we prove (3). We may assume that the genus of Σ is at least two (the cases $g_\Sigma = 0$ and $g_\Sigma = 1$ will be considered separately in the next subsection). By Lemma 4.10, a generic $\mathcal{E}$ is stable. Now, by Serre duality

$$h^1((\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}) = h^0((\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes K^{1/2}).$$

Any element of $H^0((\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes K^{1/2})$ gives a holomorphic map $\mathcal{L} \otimes K^{-1/2} \to \mathcal{E}^*$. The degree of $\mathcal{L} \otimes K^{-1/2}$ is

$$d - g + 1 \geq 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}^*$ is stable, it follows that such a holomorphic map $\mathcal{L} \otimes K^{-1/2} \to \mathcal{E}^*$ must be trivial. Therefore, $h^1((\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}) = 0$ and by the Riemann-Roch formula

$$h^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}) = 2d$$

for every $\mathcal{L} \in J^d$. We conclude that $\pi\colon \mathcal{M}_{hol} \to J^d$ is the projectivisation of the rank $2d$ vector bundle whose fibre over $\mathcal{L}$ is the cohomology group $H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}) = \mathbb{C}^{2d}$. □

4.7. **Low genus examples.** Finally we describe the topology of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}$ when Σ is a Riemann surface of genus zero, one, and two.

4.7.1. *Genus zero.* Suppose that $\Sigma = \mathbb{CP}^1$ with its unique complex structure. For $dk \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote by $\mathcal{O}(k)$ be the unique holomorphic line bundle of degree k. The space of holomorphic sections $H^0(\mathcal{O}(k))$ has dimension zero if $k < 0$ and $k+1$ when $k \geq 0$. Let $K^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(-1)$ be the unique spin structure. By a theorem of Grothendieck, any holomorphic bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^1$ is the direct sum of line bundles. In particular, any rank two holomorphic vector bundle with trivial determinant is of the form $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-k)$ for some $k \geq 0$, with $k = 0$ being the generic case. For fixed d and $\mathcal{E}$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ consists of equivalences classes of pairs (α, β) where

$$\alpha \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(k+d-1)) \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}(-k+d-1)),$$

$$\beta \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(-k-d-1)) \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}(k-d-1)),$$

and $\alpha \neq 0$, $\alpha\beta = 0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(-2))$. The last condition is automatically satisfied since $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ has negative degree and therefore no non-trivial holomorphic sections.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{E}$ is generic, so $k = 0$. When $d \leq 0$ we must have $\alpha = 0$ and so $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is empty (and as such regular). It follows that the count of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles is $SW = 0$. However, if $d > 0$, then $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{2d}$ and $\beta = 0$. It follows that $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{N}(d, \mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{CP}^{2d-1}$ and the count of Seiberg-Witten monopoles is $SW = \chi(\mathbb{CP}^{2d-1}) = 2d$. It should not be surprising that there are two possible outcomes depending on the sign of d. We have $b_1(S^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1) = 1$ and so we do not expect the count of multi-monopoles to be invariant, even when we can exclude Fueter sections. Indeed, according to Proposition 2.24 when $b_1 = 1$ there are two chambers in the set of parameters which are separated by a codimension one wall where reducibles appear. As we have discussed in subsection 4.4, replacing d by $-d$ can be alternatively described by varying the parameter τ so that the sign of $d - \tau$ changes from negative to positive. Unlike in the case $b_1 > 1$ any path of parameters joining these two situations will pass through the wall of reducibles.

It is worth to point out that the same description of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is valid even in the non-generic case $k \neq 0$ as long as $k \leq |d|$. On the other hand, when $k > |d|$ the moduli space is no longer compact and Fueter sections appear. For example, when $k > d > 0$ we have $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{k+d}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{k-d}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is the total space of the vector bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus(k-d)}$ over $\mathbb{CP}^{k+d-1}$. The compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is the $\mathbb{CP}^{k-d}$-bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^{k+d-1}$ obtained from the projectivisation of the vector bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus(k-d)} \oplus \mathcal{O}$.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $\Sigma = \mathbb{CP}^1$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-k)$ for $k \geq 0$.*

1. *If $d \leq 0$ and $k \leq |d|$, then $\mathcal{M}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is empty.*
2. *If $d > 0$ and $k \leq d$, then $\mathcal{M}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is Zariski smooth with underlying complex manifold biholomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^{2d-1}$.*
3. *If $k > |d|$, then $\mathcal{M}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is non-compact and its compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is homeomorphic to a locally trivial $\mathbb{CP}^{k-d}$-fibration over $\mathbb{CP}^{k+d}$.*

4.7.2. *Genus one.* Let Σ be the torus $S^1 \times S^1$ equipped with a complex structure that makes it into an elliptic curve. Isomorphism classes of line bundles of a given degree d on Σ form the Jacobian torus J^d which is isomorphic to the dual torus Σ^*. The canonical bundle of Σ is trivial and without loss of generality we can take $K^{1/2}$ also to be trivial. Holomorphic vector bundles over elliptic curves were classified by Atiyah [Ati]. A generic holomorphic $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is of the form $\mathcal{E} = A \oplus A^{-1}$ for a degree zero line bundle $A \to \Sigma$. We may moreover assume that $A^2 \neq \mathcal{O}$ since there are only four line bundles satisfying $A^2 = \mathcal{O}$. The

moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ consists of equivalence classes of triples $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha, \beta)$ where $\mathcal{L} \in J^d$ and

$$\alpha \in H^0(A \otimes \mathcal{L}) \oplus H^0(A^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}),$$

$$\beta \in H^0(A^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \oplus H^0(A \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}),$$

satisfying $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha\beta = 0$ in $H^0(\mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{C}$.

When $d < 0$ we must have $\alpha = 0$ and so the moduli space is empty. Let $d = 0$. Then the only choices of $\mathcal{L}$ for which α is possibly non-zero are $\mathcal{L} = A^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{L} = A$. They are different since $A^2 \neq \mathcal{O}$. Consider the case $\mathcal{L} = A^{-1}$. Then

$$\alpha \in H^0(\mathcal{O}) \oplus H^0(A^{-2}),$$

$$\beta \in H^0(\mathcal{O}) \oplus H^0(A^2).$$

We have assumed that A^2 and A^{-2} are non-trivial, so they have no non-zero sections. The only possibly choice for α, up to scaling, is therefore $\alpha = (1, 0)$ and the condition $\alpha\beta = 0$ forces β to be zero since the pairing $H^0(\mathcal{O}) \times H^0(\mathcal{O}) \to H^0(\mathcal{O})$ is simply the multiplication $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$. We repeat the same argument for $\mathcal{L} = A$ and conlude that $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ consists of two isolated points. In particular it is compact and so Zariski smooth thanks to Corollary 4.2. Since it is also has the correct dimension zero, we conclude that each of the points is actually regular and has orientation $+1$. The count of Seiberg-Witten multi-monopoles is in this case $SW = 2$.

Consider now the case $d > 0$. For every $\mathcal{L} \in J^d$ the Riemann-Roch formula gives us

$$h^0(\mathcal{L} \otimes A) - h^1(\mathcal{L} \otimes A) = d$$

and by Serre duality $h^1(\mathcal{L} \otimes A) = h^0(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes A) = 0$ because the degree of $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is negative. We conclude that $H^0(\mathcal{L} \otimes A) = \mathbb{C}^d$ and the same is true when we replace A by A^{-1}. Therefore, α is identified with a non-zero vector in $\mathbb{C}^{2d}$. On the other hand, $\beta = 0$ since $A^{\pm 1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ has no non-trivial sections. Since the above discussion is valid for any $\mathcal{L} \in J^d$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is a locally trivial $\mathbb{CP}^{2d-1}$-fibration over the Jacobian torus J^d. In fact, it is the projectivisation of a rank $2d$ holomorphic vector bundle over J^d given by the push-forward of the Poincaré line bundle $\mathcal{P} \to J^d \times \Sigma$ to the first factor. By the projective bundle formula, the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E}), \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic as $H^*(J^d, \mathbb{Z})$-modules to $H^*(J^d, \mathbb{Z})[H]/(H^{2d})$ where H has degree 2. In particular, the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})) = \chi(J^d)\chi(\mathbb{CP}^{2d-1}) = 0.$$

This is consistent with the fact that $\chi(\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E}))$ is invariant under the change $d \mapsto -d$.

Proposition 4.12. *Let Σ be an elliptic curve. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is generic, that is of the form $\mathcal{E} = A \oplus A^{-1}$ for $A \in J^0$ satisfying $A^2 \neq \mathcal{O}$.*

1. *If $d < 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is empty.*
2. *If $d > 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is Zariski smooth with the underlying complex manifold biholomorphic to the projectivisation of a rank $2d$ vector bundle over J^d.*
3. *If $d = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is regular and consists of two points.*

As in the genus zero case, it is worthwhile to discuss some non-generic examples. The cases when $\mathcal{E} = A \oplus A^{-1}$ and A is of non-zero degree or satisfies $A^2 = \mathcal{O}$, are similar to the ones previously considered. Another possibility is that $\mathcal{E}$ is indecomposable, in which case it is of

the form $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 \otimes A$ where $A \in J^0$ satisfies $A^2 = \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{E}_0$ is the unique non-trivial bundle obtained as an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The line bundle A is uniquely determined by $\mathcal{E}$.

Example 4.13. Suppose without loss of generality that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0$. It is shown in [Ati] that if $h^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ then either $\mathcal{L}$ has positive degree or $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}$, in which case we have $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = 1$. From this fact we conclude as before that when $d < 0$ or $d > 0$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is, respectively, empty or the projectivisation of a vector bundle over J^d. On the other hand, for $d = 0$ the only choice of $\mathcal{L}$ for which $h^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}) > 0$ is $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}$ and we seek holomorphic sections

$$\alpha \in H^0(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{C},$$
$$\beta \in H^0(\mathcal{E}^*) = \mathbb{C}$$

such that $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha\beta = 0$. Up to scaling, we must have $\alpha = 1$. We will show now that the pairing $H^0(\mathcal{E}) \times H^0(\mathcal{E}^*) \to \mathbb{C}$ is trivial and, as a consequence, β can be chosen arbitrarily. Observe that $\mathcal{E}$ admits a nowhere vanishing holomorphic volume form $\Omega \in H^0(\Lambda^2\mathcal{E})$ which in turns induce an isomorphism $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}^*$ given by $v \mapsto \Omega(v, \cdot)$. If α is a generator of $H^0(\mathcal{E})$, then $\gamma = \Omega(\alpha, \cdot)$ is a non-zero holomorphic section of $H^0(\mathcal{E}^*)$ and so it must be a generator. On the other hand, $\gamma(\alpha) = \Omega(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$ since Ω is skew-symmetric. This proves that $\alpha\beta = 0$ for every $\beta \in H^0(\mathcal{E}^*)$. Therefore, when $\mathcal{E}$ is indecomposable the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(0, \mathcal{E})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. Its compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{hol}}(0, \mathcal{E})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^1$.

4.7.3. *Genus two.* Suppose now that Σ is a genus two Riemann surface. According to Lemma 4.10, a generic holomorphic bundle on Σ is stable. We need the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 4.14. *Let W be a stable rank two vector bundle with trivial determinant over a genus two curve. If ξ is a degree 1 line bundle, then*

1. *The dimension of $H^0(W \otimes \xi)$ is either zero or one.*
2. *Any non-zero homomorphism $\xi^* \to W$ is everywhere injective.*

The proof is elementary and can be found in [NR].

Proposition 4.15. *Let Σ be a Riemann surface of genus two. For a generic stable bundle $\mathcal{E} \to \Sigma$ we have the following description of $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$.*

1. *If $d < 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is empty.*
2. *If $d = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is Zariski smooth with the underlying complex manifold biholomorphic to a closed Riemann surface of genus five.*
3. *If $d > 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(d, \mathcal{E})$ is Zariski smooth with the underlying complex manifold biholomorphic to the projectivisation of a rank $2d$ vector bundle over J^d.*

Proof. The cases $d < 0$ and $d > 0$ follow from Proposition 4.8 When $d = 0$ we use the relation between the moduli of framed vortices and theta divisors described in subsection 4.5. Let $\mathcal{E} \to \Sigma$ be a rank two stable bundle with trivial determinant. Let $\mathcal{SU}(2)$ be the compactification of the moduli space of such bundles obtained by adding S-equivalence classes of semi-stable bundles. As explained in [NR], we have $|2\Theta| = \mathbb{CP}^3$ and the map introduced in subsection 4.5

$$\theta \colon \mathcal{SU}(2) \to \mathbb{CP}^3$$
$$\mathcal{E} \mapsto \theta(\mathcal{E})$$

is an isomorphism. Recall that $\theta(\mathcal{E})$ can be interpreted in two ways: as the subset of the Jacobian $T = J^1$

$$\theta(\mathcal{E}) = \{A \in T \mid h^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes A) > 0\}$$

or as the corresponding point in $|2\Theta|$. We need to understand $\theta(\mathcal{E})$ as a subset of T.

The Jacobian T is a two-dimensional complex torus and the map $T \to |2\Theta|^* = (\mathbb{CP}^3)^*$ induces a degree four embedding of the Kummer surface $T/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus, as a subset $\theta(\mathcal{E}) \subset T$ is simply the preimage of the intersection of the Kummer surface in $(\mathbb{CP}^3)^*$ with the hyperplane $\theta(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{CP}^3$ under the quotient map $T \to T/\mathbb{Z}^2$. Since the map $\theta\colon \mathcal{SU}(2) \to \mathbb{CP}^3$ is an isomorphism, by changing the background bundle $\mathcal{E}$ we can obtain all hyperplanes in $(\mathbb{CP}^3)^*$. In particular, for a generic choice of $\mathcal{E}$, the hyperplane $\theta(\mathcal{E})$ will avoid all the 16 singular points of $T/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and the intersection $T/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cap \theta(\mathcal{E})$ will be a smooth complex curve of degree four and genus three. Its preimage under the branched double cover $T \to T/\mathbb{Z}_2$ will be therefore a smooth curve in T whose genus, by the Hurwitz formula, is five. Denote this curve by C.

Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{M}_{hol}(0,\mathcal{E}) \to C$ be the composition of the map $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(0,\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{N}(0,\mathcal{E})$ with the projection $\mathcal{N}(0,\mathcal{E}) \to \theta(\mathcal{E}) = C$ from subsection 4.5. We claim that this map is an isomorphism for a generic choice of $\mathcal{E}$. In order to prove that, it is enough to check that the fibre over any line bundle $\mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2}$ in C consists of one point. This is equivalent to showing that $H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{1/2})$ is spanned by a single non-zero section α and if $\beta \in H^0(\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes K^{1/2})$ is any section satisfying $\alpha\beta = 0$, then $\beta = 0$. The first claim follows immediately from Lemma 4.14. As regards the second part, assume that α and β are as above and $\beta \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 4.14, the homomorphisms

$$\alpha\colon \mathcal{L}^* \otimes K^{-1/2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta\colon \mathcal{L} \otimes K^{-1/2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^*$$

are everywhere injective. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is of rank two, the condition $\alpha\beta = 0$ implies the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^* \otimes K^{-1/2} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\beta^t} \mathcal{L}^* \otimes K^{1/2} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Since the determinant bundle of $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial, we conclude that $\mathcal{L}^2 = \mathcal{O}$. There are exactly 16 line bundles satisfying this condition, namely order two elements of J^0. For each of them, all possible non-trivial extensions $\mathcal{E}$ as above are classified by the corresponding extension class in $\mathbb{P}H^1(K^{-1}) = \mathbb{CP}^2$. (Note that the extension is non-trivial because $\mathcal{E}$ is stable.) Thus, all stable bundles $\mathcal{E}$ that can be represented as such an extension form a proper subvariety of $\mathcal{SU}(2) = \mathbb{CP}^3$ consisting of the images of 16 maps $\mathbb{CP}^2 \to \mathcal{SU}(2)$. A generic stable bundle $\mathcal{E}$ will not belong to this subvariety. In this case, we conclude that each fibre of the map π consists of a single point and π is an isomorphism. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_{hol}(0,\mathcal{E})$ is compact and therefore Zariski smooth by Corollary 4.2. □

Remark 4.16. Note that the last part of the proof was unnecessary; we already know that generically $\mathcal{M}_{hol} = \mathcal{N}$ is compact and Zariski smooth and so it is enough to show the isomorphism $\mathcal{N} = C$. On the other hand, the argument presented above identifies the locus of those semi-stable bundles $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{SU}(2)$ for which Fueter sections appear. It consists of strictly semi-stable bundles $A \oplus A^{-1}$, for some $A \in J^0$, which form the Kummer surface $T/\mathbb{Z}_2$ in $\mathcal{SU}(2) = \mathbb{CP}^3$, and stable bundles $\mathcal{E}$ that arise from an extension of the form (4.3) for some element $\mathcal{L} \in J^0$ of order two. The latter form a subvariety covered by the images of 16 maps $\mathbb{CP}^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^3$.

References

[Ati] M. F. Atiyah. Vector bundles over an elliptic curve. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 7:414–452, 1957.

[Bär] C. Bär. On nodal sets for Dirac and Laplace operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 188(3):709–721, 1997.

[Bea] A. Beauville. Vector bundles on curves and generalized theta functions: recent results and open problems. In *Current topics in complex algebraic geometry (Berkeley, CA, 1992/93)*, volume 28 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 17–33. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[BGP] S. B. Bradlow and O. García-Prada. Non-abelian monopoles and vortices. In *Geometry and physics (Aarhus, 1995)*, volume 184 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 567–589. Dekker, New York, 1997.

[Boh] M. Bohn. An introduction to Seiberg-Witten theory on closed 3-manifolds. *eprint arXiv:1407.6206*, 2007.

[BW] J. A. Bryan and R. Wentworth. The multi-monopole equations for Kähler surfaces. *Turkish J. Math.*, 20(1):119–128, 1996.

[Cal] M. Callies. Permuting actions, moment maps and the generalized Seiberg-Witten equations. *PhD thesis at Georg-August-Universität Göttingen*, 2015.

[CGMiRS] K. Cieliebak, A. R. Gaio, I. Mundet i Riera, and D. A. Salamon. The symplectic vortex equations and invariants of Hamiltonian group actions. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 1(3):543–645, 2002.

[Che] W. Chen. Casson's invariant and Seiberg-Witten gauge theory. *Turkish J. Math.*, 21(1):61–81, 1997.

[Dia] D.-E. Diaconescu. Moduli of ADHM sheaves and the local Donaldson-Thomas theory. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 62(4):763–799, 2012.

[DK] S. Donaldson and P. Kronheimer. *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Clarendon Press, 1990.

[Doa] A. Doan. Adiabatic limits and Kazdan-Warner equations. *eprint arXiv*, 2016.

[DS] S. Donaldson and E. Segal. Gauge theory in higher dimensions, II. In *Surveys in differential geometry. Volume XVI. Geometry of special holonomy and related topics*, volume 16 of *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, pages 1–41. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.

[DT] R. Dey and V. Thakre. Generalized Seiberg-Witten equations on a Riemann surface. *eprint arXiv:1502.01486*, 2016.

[FM1] R. Friedman and J. W. Morgan. *Smooth four-manifolds and complex surfaces*, volume 27 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[FM2] R. Friedman and J. W. Morgan. Obstruction bundles, semiregularity, and Seiberg-Witten invariants. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 7(3):451–495, 1999.

[For] O. Forster. *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*, volume 81 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. Translated from the 1977 German original by Bruce Gilligan, Reprint of the 1981 English translation.

[Hay1] A. Haydys. Gauge theory, calibrated geometry and harmonic spinors. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 86(2):482–498, 2012.

[Hay2] A. Haydys. Dirac operators in gauge theory. In *New ideas in low dimensional topology*, volume 56 of *Ser. Knots Everything*, pages 161–188. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2015.

[Hay3] A. Haydys. Topology of the blow-up set for the Seiberg-Witten equation with multiple spinors. *eprint arXiv:1607.01763*, 2016.

[Hay4] A. Haydys. G_2-instantons and Seiberg-Witten monopoles. *in preparation*, 2017.

[HW] A. Haydys and T. Walpuski. A compactness theorem for the Seiberg-Witten equation with multiple spinors in dimension three. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 25(6):1799–1821, 2015.

[KM] P. Kronheimer and T. Mrowka. *Monopoles and three-manifolds*, volume 10 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[Lim] Y. Lim. Seiberg-Witten invariants for 3-manifolds in the case $b_1 = 0$ or 1. *Pacific J. Math.*, 195(1):179–204, 2000.

[LM] H. B. Lawson, Jr. and M.-L. Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

[Mor] J. W. Morgan. *The Seiberg-Witten equations and applications to the topology of smooth four-manifolds*, volume 44 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996.

[MOY] T. Mrowka, P. Ozsváth, and B. Yu. Seiberg-Witten monopoles on Seifert fibered spaces. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 5(4):685–791, 1997.

[MS] D. McDuff and D. Salamon. *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, volume 52 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2012.

[MST] J. W. Morgan, Z. Szabó, and C. H. Taubes. A product formula for the Seiberg-Witten invariants and the generalized Thom conjecture. *J. Differential Geom.*, 44(4):706–788, 1996.

[MSWW] R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss, and F. Witt. Ends of the moduli space of Higgs bundles. *Duke Math. J*, advance publication, 2016.

[MT] G. Meng and C. H. Taubes. $\underline{\mathrm{SW}}$ = Milnor torsion. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 3(5):661–674, 1996.

[Muk] S. Mukai. *An introduction to invariants and moduli*, volume 81 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. Translated from the 1998 and 2000 Japanese editions by W. M. Oxbury.

[MW] V. Muñoz and B.-L. Wang. Seiberg-Witten-Floer homology of a surface times a circle for non-torsion spin$^{\mathbb{C}}$ structures. *Math. Nachr.*, 278(7-8):844–863, 2005.

[Nak] H. Nakajima. Towards a mathematical definition of Coulomb branches of 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories, I. *eprint arXiv:1503.03676*, 2015.

[NR] M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan. Moduli of vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 89:14–51, 1969.

[OP] A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande. The local Donaldson-Thomas theory of curves. *Geom. Topol.*, 14(3):1503–1567, 2010.

[Per] T. Perutz. Symplectic fibrations and the abelian vortex equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 278(2):289–306, 2008.

[Pid] V. Y. Pidstrigach. Hyper-Kähler manifolds and the Seiberg-Witten equations. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 246(Algebr. Geom. Metody, Svyazi i Prilozh.):263–276, 2004.

[Rud] W. Rudin. *Real and complex analysis*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, third edition, 1987.

[Tak] R. Takahashi. *The moduli space of S^1-type zero loci for $\mathbb{Z}_2$-harmonic spinors in dimension 3*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2015. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Harvard University.

[Tau1] C. H. Taubes. Nonlinear generalizations of a 3-manifold's Dirac operator. In *Trends in mathematical physics (Knoxville, TN, 1998)*, volume 13 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 475–486. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[Tau2] C. H. Taubes. The zero loci of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic spinors in dimension 2, 3 and 4. *eprint arXiv:1407.6206*, 2014.

[Wal] T. Walpuski. G2-instantons, associative submanifolds and Fueter sections. *eprint arXiv:1205.5350*, 2012.

Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, John S. Toll Drive, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

E-mail address: aleksander.doan@stonybrook.edu